```
1
    BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION
    OF THE CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD
 3
    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 4
    In the Matter of Planning Commission Agenda Minutes
 5
 6
    Address:
                                             )
 7
    West Hollywood Park Public Meeting Room )
 8
    625 N. San Vicente Boulevard
 9
    West Hollywood, California
10
11
                                     DATE OF MEETING: February 2, 2023
12
    PLANNING COMMISSION:
                                     STAFF:
13
    Stacey Jones, Chair
                                    Francisco Contreras, Long Range
14
    Marquita Thomas, Vice-Chair
                                    Planning Manager
15
    Erick J. Matos, Commissioner Jennifer Alkire, Current &
16
                                     Historic Preservation Plan Mg.
17
    Rogerio Carvalheiro, Commissioner Antonio Castillo, Senior
18
                                       Planner
19
    Michael A. Lombardi, Commissioner Isaac Rosen, Ass. City Atty
20
    David Gregoire, Commissioner David Gillig, Comm. Secretary
21
                                     Roger Rath, Associate Planner
22
    [ABSENT Kimberly Copeland, Commissioner
23
                                     Consultants:
```

Planning Commission Meeting

Thursday, February 2, 2023

Jones:

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Okay. The West Hollywood Planning Commission acknowledges that the land on which we gather and that is currently known as the City of West Hollywood is the occupied, unseated, seized territory of the Gabrielino Tongva and the Gabrielino Peach peoples. This planning commission meeting is being live broadcast and teleconferenced on the city's website and is also provided on a wide array of streaming media platforms to offer access to the public to the fullest extent possible. You may call in to make a comment and you may also listen to this meeting by dialing 669-900-6833. The meeting ID is 81714622812 and then press the pound sign. WeHo TV staff have confirmed that this Planning Commission Meeting is currently streaming successfully on Spectrum channel 10 and online at weho.org/wehotv. In addition, and as a courtesy, this meeting is also successfully streaming on the city's YouTube channel at youtube.com/wehotv. And on Roku, Apple TV, Fire TV, and Android TV. WeHo TV staff monitor this broadcast on all platforms throughout the meeting

69

70

71

72 | Carvalheiro:

and will notify the planning commission secretary should broadcast disruptions arise. Please do not interrupt the live meeting by calling or texting the planning commissioners about difficulties viewing the meeting. Please understand that internet speeds, device reliability, third-party platform reliability, and individual or personal technical issues are out of the scope of this broadcast. If you are experiencing viewing difficulties while watching this live stream, please reload the page or visit weho.org/wehotv to access our official live stream and to view a list of other available streaming options and a guide to trouble shoot your connection. If you continue to experience difficulties, you can also call 323-848-3151. Good evening everyone. Welcome to this regularly scheduled meeting of the West Hollywood Planning Commission. It is Thursday, February 2nd. Pledge of allegiance. Commissioner Carvalheiro, because I didn't think you were going to be here tonight and I'm so glad you were able to join us on very short notice, would you please lead us in the pledge of allegiance if you'd be so inclined? Right hand over your heart. I pledge allegiance to

73		the flag of the United States of America and to the
74		Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God,
75		with liberty and justice for all.
76	Jones:	Thank you very much. David, can you please do roll
77		call for us?
78	Gillig:	Thank you. Good evening commissioners. Tonight,
79		Commissioner Copeland is absent from the meeting.
80		So, all vote… role call votes will reflect her
81		absence. Commissioner Matos?
82	Matos:	Here.
83	Gillig:	Commissioner Lombardi?
84	Lombardi:	Here.
85	Gillig:	Commissioner Gregoire?
86	Gregoire:	Here.
87	Gillig:	Commissioner Carvalheiro?
88	Carvalheiro:	Here.
89	Gillig:	Vice-Chair Thomas?
90	Thomas:	Here.
91	Gillig:	Chair Jones?
92	Jones:	Here.
93	Gillig:	And we have a quorum.
94	Jones:	Great. Thank you. Item 4 is Approval of the Agenda.
95		Because of a number of changes and at least one
96		recusal, I would like to approve a different

120

cadence for the agenda tonight. Again, there was some last-minute changes if you haven't had a chance to look. At the request of the applicant, Item 10.A, which is 8497-8499 Sunset Boule... Boulevard is being continued to a date uncertain. We had quite a few public comments written in about that. So, I just want to make sure that anyone who has come to possibly speak on that item tonight is aware, again, that that item is being continued. The order I would like to propose for tonight's meeting because of that change and because of a recusal is to move Item 14.A and 14.B up to just after the Director's Report. So that would be Director's Report, then Planning Manager's Update, then the Subcommittee Management. Again, this is just proposed. I don't know, Jennifer, if that would be okay with you. Don't want to spring anything on you. Then we would move Item... Items 11.A and B up to just before Item 10.B. Item 10.B would... will be our only public hearing tonight. So, we would hear 11.A, which is the revised DEIR for 7811 Santa Monica Boulevard, then the Design Review Subcommittee appointment for the Coast Playhouse at Hot Committee, then 10.B, and then on down the

121		agenda. And I know it's a lot of changes, but I
122		just again, because of recusal and the changes that
123		have ensued, I want to make sure that we do this in
124		a way that, you know, encourages as much
125		participation from commissioners and public as
126		possible.
127	Gregoire:	I would move approval.
128	Matos:	I'll second.
129	Jones:	Great. Thank you. David, can you please call roll
130		or sorry, take the vote?
131	Gillig:	Thank you. Commissioner Gregoire?
132	Gregoire:	Aye.
133	Gillig:	Commissioner Matos?
134	Matos:	Yes.
135	Gillig:	Commissioner Lombardi?
136	Lombardi:	Yes.
137	Gillig:	Commissioner Carvalheiro?
138	Carvalheiro:	Abstain due to conflict of interest on Item 10.A.
139	Gillig:	Thank you. Vice-Chair Thomas?
140	Thomas:	Yes.
141	Gillig:	Chair Jones?
142	Jones:	Yes.
143	Gillig:	And the motion passes to noting 5 ayes, noting
144		Commissioner Carvalheiro abstaining from this vote,

145		and the absence of Commissioner Copeland. Approving
146		the agenda as amended for February 2^{nd} , 2023.
147	Jones:	Great. Thank you. So, continuing, Item 5 is
148		Approval of the adm of the Minutes. This would be
149		the minutes from January $19^{\rm th}$, 2023, which staff is
150		recommending for continuance to February 16th,
151		which is our next regularly scheduled meeting. Do I
152		have a motion or a change or a request for
153		changes?
154	Gillig:	Chair, this could just be consensus that it's
155		continuous.
156	Jones:	Okay. Is everybody okay with that? We're not going
157		to vote on the fact there are no minutes. Okay.
158		Great. Thank you, David. Item 6 is Public Comment.
159		I just want to be clear again that public comment,
160		there will be another opportunity for anyone who
161		has joined us from the public this evening to
162		speak. This is an opportunity for you to provide a
163		general comment. So, nothing that's on the agenda
164		tonight can be discussed in public comment. But if
165		you want to give a general comment, we certainly
166		would encourage you to do that. So, David, do we
167		have any public speakers at this time?
168	Gillig:	Chair, we do. I received one public request to

169		speak under this item, Victor Omelczenko
170		(phonetic). Victor, if you're on the platform, you
171		can turn there you go. You will have three minutes
172		to make a comment.
173	Omelczenko:	Good evening commissioners. And if I I do have one
174		quick question. My computer what has been
175		continued to February 16th that will help me with my
176		comments I think?
177	Jones:	Victor, that's item 10.A has been continued to a
178		date uncertain.
179	Omelczenko:	Oh, okay.
180	Jones:	(UNINTELLIGIBLE).
181	Omelczenko:	Oh, thank you, Chair. Well, okay so I want to talk
182		about disparate, unequal treatment about equity and
183		if you will, true inclusion. And I now see that you
184		have continued the hearing on the Sunset Billboard
185		to a date uncertain. And I'm curious, why so
186		uncertain when such a proposal is represented by
187		the lobbyists and lawyers and lots of
188		professionals? Shouldn't they be able to have I
189		know you probably said February 16, but when I see
190		that term "Date uncertain", when it comes to
191		appeals by the publics, neighborhood community
192		groups, those who do not have well healed lawyers
	1	

216

and lobbyists representing them, we only get 10 business days to appeal a big project. And so... and we have to gather 50 legal petition signatures in person, and it just seems so unfair, and especially during a pandemic no less. So here we are sitting, talking, the new year has begun, but a lot of things seem to be slipping through the cracks nowadays. I was involved with the Friends of Palm Avenue Appeal. That was regarding a senior living project on Palm Avenue that was going to destroy seven rents stabilized housing units with nothing given back to the citizens in return. And we had to gather signatures during the height of the pandemic in person, knocking on doors, and people were too scared to open their doors. So, I'm just saying that I think it's high time that we as members of the public, that you as our public planning commissioners, that you're representing interests of all of us, residents, developers, other groups. That we should really look at letting folks who are appealing projects have more than just 10 business days to file an appeal. Especially since we don't have the well-healed lobbyists, lawyers, and developers with their money pockets. And we need

217 help as members of the public. So, whatever you can 218 do to provide equitable, fair treatment to members 219 of the public and community neighborhood groups as 220 they appeal projects. We need more time. You give 221 date uncertain to developers. We don't ask for a 222 date uncertain for an appeal, but how about 223 starting the community conversation and maybe 224 extending the time to do an appeal and to gather 225 signatures to let's say 20 business days? That 226 would seem like being equitable, fair, and 227 including all voices. And thank you for letting me 228 speak. 229 Gillig: Thank you, Victor. And if you're... we have quite a 230 few people in... on the platform with us. If there is 231 anyone that would like to make a general comment 232 really quick, just raise your hand from the Zoom 233 application. And if you're calling in, star 9 for 234 me and we'll give you 3 minutes to speak under a 235 general public comment. Okay, Chair, and it looks 236 like we are all clear. 237 Jones: Okay. Great. Thank you very much. Item 7 is the 238 Director's Report. Is Mister John Keho with us this 239 evening? 240 Not this evening, but I will be providing that for Contreras:

264

you, Chair. So just a, a little bit of the reporting on what happened at the Monday's council meeting, the meeting for January 23rd, so the City Council did approve the recommended amendments to the accessory dwelling unit and junior accessory dwelling unit regulations. So that did pass. It did go into effect immediately because it was an urgency ordinance attached to that. So those regulations are now in, in full effect. The other item that they considered was the replacement of that billboard with a double-sided billboard at the Holloway Motel. So that also was approved by City Council at their last meeting. Coming up for the City Council on Monday are two very exciting projects. One of them happens to be the results of the Protected Biplane Feasibility Study for Santa Monica Boulevard. So, staff will be presenting the results of that study to council. And the council will also be reviewing potential design guidelines and recommendations for outdoor dining and out zones. Some of these sort of remnants from the pandemic. So, they will be providing new recommendations or regulations on how to proceed with some of those projects in the future. So, with

Jones:

that I will pass it back to the chair. Thank you. Great. Thank you. Any questions for Francisco at this time? Okay. I take that as a no. Thank you very much, Francisco. Nice to see you. Okay. As noted, we... again, we did move around the order of the agenda because of a continued item and because of a recusal. So, things are switched around a bit, so just bear with us, and thank you to the public for your patience. Next, we'll be doing Item 14.A which is the Planning Manager's Update.

Alkire:

Good evening. Thank you. I will update you on upcoming agenda items that we expect to see. All right. So, at the next meeting on February 16th, we'll be reviewing a zone text amendment for non-residential uses in residential zones. Just a heads up, I will not be at that meeting. It's not a current planning item, so I don't think that's a big deal. But I won't be there. For March 2nd, we have another zone text amendment coming up for multi-family part one for zoning... I'm sorry, for parking standards. And then we're looking to bring the first of a, a couple of trainings that we want to do with the commission on some of the updates and laws. This is something that we've talked about

312

and we've been trying to put on the agenda. So, we're hoping that March 2nd will be a good date for that. March 16th, we will have a billboard at 8590 Sunset Boulevard. And it looks like also a billboard at 9201 Sunset Boulevard. And, again, that's a little ways out. So, we will keep you posted on whether those stay on. For subcommittees... for subcommittees, we've got still one item coming up in March 23rd for design review subcommittee 1006 to 1010 Edinburg Avenue. It's a 14-unit residential building. That's on March 23rd. We'd like to setup Sunset Arts and Advertising Subcommittee on March 9th. So, if the members of that subcommittee are not available March 9th, let me know. We have right now it looked, you know, it ... it looks like we have about three items on that. I, you know, I hesitate to say that all 3 will stay on that agenda just because we are a ways out still, but it looks like it could be 8... 8433 Sunset Boulevard, the comedy store billboard; 9009 Sunset Boulevard, the rock seat billboard; and 9019 Sunset Boulevard, which is the rainbow billboard. I think that's actually 9015. And then we would also like to schedule... there's nothing on it at this moment,

336

but the April 6th for another Sunset Arts and Advertising Subcommittee date coming up. So, I will pause there. And if the members of the subcommittee for Sunset Arts and Advertising are unavailable on either March 9th or April 6th let me know. All right. Hearing nothing. I will move on. I just wanted to clarify something really fast because our public commenter brought it up and I just wanted to make sure that we all know and just so that the public knows as well, typically when something is continued to a date uncertain, that means there's a whole new round of noticing. And so, we do that a lot when we want... when we either don't know when it's going to come back or we want to make sure that they have that new noticing so that the public does know when it's coming. Date certain is when it's usually a very quick turn around and there isn't time for noticing and it doesn't have a new notice so that it's, it's made... it's made public in the meeting when it is continued that we're going to bring it back on a date certain. Usually, that's the next meeting or maybe two meetings later. Just so there's no confusion that, you know, really a date uncertain adds transparency and it adds

337		noticing. So, I just wanted to point that out.
338	Jones:	Jennifer, quick question for you. Did you say you
339		wanted to do a Sunset Arts and Advertising
340		Subcommittee meeting on April 6th? Because at least
341		on my calendar, I have that that's a Planning
342		Commission meeting that night.
343	Alkire:	Oh, you're right. April 13 th , I'm sorry.
344	Jones:	April 13 th ?
345	Alkire:	Yeah. Thank you.
346	Jones:	Okay, yup. I'm available on both those dates. So
347	Alkire:	Okay. All right. That was all I had as long as you
348		have questions.
349	Jones:	Okay. Great. Anybody have questions for Jennifer at
350		this time? Okay, I will take that as a no. Thank
351		you so much, Jennifer. I appreciate the
352		flexibility. I know we moved you up a bit. Great.
353		Thank you. So now we will move to Item 8, which is
354		Items from Commissioners. Would anybody like to
355		speak at this time?
356	Rosen:	Chair, are we doing 14.B as well at this time
357		(UNINTELLIGIBLE). Oh, I'm sorry. That was part of
358		Jen's (UNINTELLIGIBLE).
359	Jones:	Yeah.
360	Rosen:	Apology.

361	Jones:	No worries. I also thought it was going to be 11.B
362		and I (UNINTELLIGIBLE) in my mind and luckily
363		pushed it down. So, all good. Any comments from
364		commissioners at this time? Okay. No. All right.
365		Item 9 is Consent Calendar. There is none. And,
366		again, per the agenda change and I just want to say
367		one more time for anyone who might have joined
368		since we started the meeting, there have been some
369		changes so thanks for bearing with us. We will move
370		to Item 11.A. This is 7811 Santa Monica Boulevard.
371		This is a revised draft EIR or environmental impact
372		report comment period. This is an opportunity for
373		us to receive information pertaining to the revised
374		DEIR for a mixed-use project known as the Bond
375		Project located at the aforementioned address and
376		to provide comments on the adequacy of the
377		environmental document. I want to give an
378		opportunity to staff to give their presentation.
379	Castillo:	Yes. Good, good evening, Chair. I'm going to be
380		sharing my PowerPoint here. One moment. Okay. I
381		believe you can see my screen now. Is that correct?
382	Gregoire:	No, it's blank.
383	Jones:	Antonio, I actually can't see anything. It's, it's
384		black. Oh, there we go.

Castillo:

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

Oh, okay. My screen just froze for a moment. Okay, so you could see my screen? Okay. Thank you so much, Chair. Good evening, Chair Jones, Vice-Chair Thomas, and members of the commission. Antonio Castillo, Senior Planner with the Current and Historic Preservation Planning Division. The, the item before you this evening is, is a revised draft environmental impact report for the Bond Project. This is a proposed hotel mixed-use development located at 7811 Santa Monica Boulevard. The initial EIR came before this commission in October of 2019. And based on the comments received at that time and additional information received during the, the commission meeting, the city determined that two additional sections of the EIR should be included in the draft including the land use and planning section as well as the tribal cultural resources section. And that the draft EIR should be recirculated for public review to allow the public additional time to review the information. And as such, the, the initial draft EIR has been revised to include these two EIR sections among other updates. And it's currently being recirculated for public review as part of its 45-day public comment

432

period. So, the, the purpose of this meeting tonight is to provide the commission and members of the public and additional forum to provide comments to staff and the ci... the city's CEQA consultant on the adequacy of the environmental document during this public comment period. So, comments should be focused on the revised draft of, of the EIR. The commission will not be deliberating on the merits of the project or taking any position on the project at this time. And there's no formal action requested of the commission this evening. All, all the comments we receive and the comments we have been receiving will all be included as part of the final environmental impact report. The environmental document has been prepared by the city's CEQA consultant, Dudek. And this, Dudek is joining us this evening including Michele Finneyfrock, the environmental planner for Dudek. And so, in just a moment Michele will be providing a brief overview of the CEQA process and the status of the CEQA analysis for this project. Afterwards we'll be gathering comments on the ... on the document itself. And these comments will be responded to and addressed as part of the, the final EIR. That will

456

be considered by the Planning Commission during a, a future notice public hearing. And that's when the commission will, will consider the project in its entirety. The revised draft EIR is, is available on the city's website for review and download. And hard copies are also available at City Hall planning counter as well as the West Hollywood Public Library. The, the project site consists of three parcels, including 7811 Santa Monica Boulevard which is the main address for the project, as well as 1114 Orange Grove Avenue, and 1125 Ogden Drive. The, the parcel fronting Orange Grove is a rectangular shape property and currently used as a surface parking lot for, for public. The parcel fronting Santa Monica is an irregular Lshaped parcel and currently occupied by a, a gym and a surface parking lot. And the parcel fronting Ogden is rectangular in shape and currently occupied by a multi-family rental building. Together the, the, the three parcels encompass approximately 40,000 square feet of project site. The, the project includes the construction of a mixed-use development of approximately 212,000 square feet in building area with a range of 6

480

stories above ground, up approximately 71 feet from grade, in, in certain areas, with, with twosubterranean parking levels. The development would consist of approximately 36,000 square feet of commercial use including a 45-room hotel, a restaurant, and an art gallery. And approximately 87,000 square feet of residential space including 95 residential dwelling units. This would also include common open space area and a, a parking lot with 145 spaces. These are some renderings. This one in particular is, is, the Santa Monica Boulevard entrance. As you could see from the rendering, the frontage has five stories in height with a sixth story tucked towards the back and fronting onto Orange Grove as well. This is the Orange Grove rendering with the auto repair to the right and there's a school immediately to the... to the left. And this third rendering is the residential component fronting onto Ogden Drive. The... of the 95 residential units proposed for this project, 16 units would be affordable units including 8 very low-income units and 8 moderate-income units. The units would be composed of 13 three-bedroom units, 15 two-bedroom units, 21

one-bedroom units, and 46 studio units.

504

Approximately 100 parking spaces would be available to serve the proposed project's residents and, and commercial uses, with the remaining 45 flexible parking spaces included in the project to replace the ci... the spaces currently leased by the city for public parking. The, the construction of the project would involve approximately 10,000 square feet of commercial building fronting Santa Monica Boulevard, the parking lots adjacent to the building, and then the parking lot leased by the city along Orange Grove, as well as the seven-unit residential building located on, on the Ogden parcel. The access to the project would, would be available from three separate driveways. One would be on Santa Monica Boulevard, which is an entrance only. There would be one along Orange Grove, which is a two-way entrance and exit. And then a residential entrance and exit along Ogden Drive. In, in the coming week staff will gather all the comments received by the public and commissions and work with Dudek to respond to each of the comments received during this period. And subsequently, the Planning Commission will hold and notice public

528

hearing receive all public comments and go through its, its standard process of deliberation before ultimately taking an action whether to approve or deny the project and certify the final EIR. The, the comment period began when the revised draft EIR was published in January... on January 5th of this year for a 45-day period that was ending on Monday, February 20th. However, February 20th is, is a holiday. It's President's Day, so staff will be accepting public comments until the following day Tuesday, the 21st by 5 PM. The transportation commission held a, a similar meeting to gather public comments on January 18th, so recently. And so bef... before I pass the next portion of our presentation to Michele from Dudek, I do want to mention that staff has been receiving various comments from members of the public. And as I stated earlier, all the comments will be addressed in the... in the final EIR. So, everyone has an opportunity to see the comments and the responses. And so, with that, I'm going to pass the next portion of our presentation to Michele Finneyfrock from Dudek. And then we'll conclude our presentation, Chair. One moment.

Finneyfrock:

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

Hi. Good evening commissioners and members of the public. As Tony mentioned, I'm Michele Finneyfrock, and I will be providing a brief overview of the California Environmental Quality Act as well as the EIR process that has been undertaken for the Bond Project. So, this slide shows some high-level points on the California Environmental Quality Act, which is often referred to as CEQA. One of the main purposes of CEQA is to disclose the potential environmental effects of projects to decision makers as well as to the public. CEQA also provides a vehicle for members of the public and agencies to comment on the potential environmental impacts of projects. And it requires that any significant environmental impacts be reduced through the identification of feasible mitigation measures and/or consideration of project alternatives. Next slide. So, this slide shows an overview of the EIR process that the city has undertaken for the Bond Project. I think Tony has already done a great job of describing the history of the Bond Project and how, you know, we're recirculating the EIR for public review. So, I will just quickly state that we are currently on step number 10 that's shown on

573

574

575

576

553 this slide, which is to collect public comment on 554 the revised draft EIR. And the next steps will be 555 to review all of the comments that the city 556 collects and to provide responses to them in the 557 form of a final EIR. Next slide. This slide lists 558 the environmental topical areas that we have 559 analyzed in detail in the revised draft EIR. For 560 most of these topical area impacts were determined 561 to be below a level of significance with no 562 mitigation required. However, for the categories of air quality, cultural resources, and noise, 563 564 potentially significant impacts were identified and mitigation measures are provided in the revised 565 566 draft EIR that would reduce those impacts to below 567 a level of significance. And with that I will turn 568 it back over to Tony to wrap up. 569 Castillo: 570 571

Thank you, Michele. Okay, Chair, so just to conclude, I wanted to put up the information here for any members of the public to reach staff, to provide any, any comments. As I mentioned earlier, we'll, we'll be accepting comments until Tuesday, February 21st by 5 PM. And those comments can be provided in writing either by emailing me directly at acastillo, that's A-C-A-S-T-I-L-L-O@weho.org or

577 by emailing those comments to planning@weho.org. 578 And you can also drop off at City Hall at the 579 planning counter or mail any public comments. We'll 580 be accepting comments in any manner that's 581 convenient for the public. And so, with that, this 582 concludes our presentation, Chair. And we're happy 583 to take any comments from the commission and from 584 members of the public. Thank you. 585 Jones: Great. Thank you. So, I just want to clarify with 586 the city attorney. So, this isn't a typical public 587 hearing, this is actually ... because there's no 588 action that we're taking, this is a new business 589 item. So, Isaac, what is the best way to go about 590 here? Would we just run it like we do with public 591 hearings where we would have commissioners ask any 592 questions of staff then take comments from the 593 public and then have commission give their 594 comments? Or what do you recommend? 595 Rosen: Yeah, I think that's appropriate. And, you know, 596 the commissioner's comments will be primarily I 597 think questions of clarification since the 598 commission will be deliberating this evening on... or 599 taking any action in advance of having the formal 600 EIR finalized and coming back to the commission.

601		And I think, Chair, the way you described the
602		process works and is, is applicable to tonight's
603		item.
604	Jones:	Okay. Great. Thank you. So just in keeping with how
605		we would normally do this, do we have any questions
606		from commissioners for staff at this time about
607		anything contained in the DEIR or the Staff Report?
608		Vice-Chair Thomas?
609	Thomas:	Thank you, Chair. I had one question. I saw in the
610		land use section, I believe it was 3.11, that this
611		is a this is an HAA project, correct?
612	Castillo:	That is correct.
613	Thomas:	And I just wanted to understand the math on that
614		just a little bit because it's 212,508 square feet
615		which it would need to be 67 percent residential,
616		correct? And so that's 67 percent of the habitable
617		square footage, not the overall square footage,
618		correct?
619	Castillo:	It would be the square footage pertaining to the,
620		the residential uses. So that, that's all-square
621		footage pertaining to residential uses within the
622		entire project.
623	Thomas:	Okay. So, then it needs to be 67 percent of what
624		number exactly?

625	Castillo:	That would give me one moment. I'll pull up the
626		square footage here. The total gross square footage
627		for the project is 2 212,818 square feet. And that
628		includes so that includes the residential, hotel,
629		common area, parking, and so forth. The gross
630		residential area is approximately 80 87,000 square
631		feet. And the hotel is the hotel and commercial
632		area is 36,000 square feet. Then there's common
633		areas for the residential, public, private private
634		patio areas for the for residential and then
635		parking structure and so forth.
636	Thomas:	Okay. So that all that all adds up to the 212. So,
637		67 percent would be 140,000 square feet. I think
638		that's where I'm a little bit lost.
639	Castillo:	So, there's okay, I'm going to have to just some
640		calculations here. I'm not looking at percentages,
641		just at square footages. That's that is the, the,
642		the project proposal to, to for this to be a
643		majority residential project. So, if you allow me,
644		I'll take a look at the square footages here and
645		come up with some percentages.
646	Alkire:	We can probably come back with that and move on
647		with questions for now.
648	Jones:	Okay. Great. I just didn't know if we were I
	1	

649		didn't want to put Antonio on the spot and make him
650		do calculations, like, as we're waiting.
651	Thomas:	No, that, that was my only question, Chair. So, I'm
652		perfectly fine to get that answered later.
653	Jones:	Okay. Okay. Cool. Thank you, Vice-Chair Thomas. Any
654		other questions? Commissioner Lombardi, please go
655		ahead.
656	Lombardi:	Thank you. Mister Castillo, apologies if I'm
657		putting you on the spot or if I missed this during
658		the meeting, but I was just wondering that surface
659		parking lot, do we know how many spaces
660		approximately are there? And then some of them are
661		were specific city uses, how many spots is that?
662		(UNINTELLIGIBLE).
663	Castillo:	Are you referring to the Orange Grove parking lot?
664	Lombardi:	Correct. The Orange Grove parking lot.
665	Castillo:	Yes. That would be 45 spaces that currently exist.
666	Lombardi:	Okay. And then are those uses broken out and do you
667		have that information? How many are for the public
668		versus a specific city use?
669	Castillo:	No, those are all public
670	Lombardi:	Okay.
671	Castillo:	public parking spaces.
672	Lombardi:	Okay. Thank you. And then I was looking, I didn't
ı		

673		see very much synopsis from the transportation
674		commission, so I was curious if you have anything
675		to note from the outcome of that meeting.
676	Castillo:	Staff received various comments from mostly from
677		members of the public, but also from the
678		commissioners. There were there were a variety of
679		different, different comments that we'll be
680		assessing.
681	Lombardi:	Okay.
682	Castillo:	And the recording for that meeting, as this one,
683		will be is available to the public.
684	Lombardi:	Okay. And that is available now? I feel like it
685		wasn't up previously. Will you make that available?
686	Castillo:	Yes. We, we will be making that available. This,
687		this for planning commission, it will be available
688		on the city's website. The transportation
689		commission, since it's that one that one is, I
690		believe was being uploaded recently. So, if it's
691		not already, it will be available to the public
692		very soon.
693	Lombardi:	Okay. That would be that would be great. I know
694		that there's a limited time for comment. So, for
695		those that were not able to hear that meeting, that
696		would be helpful. And that's the only other

697		question I have at this time. Thanks.
698	Jones:	Great. Thank you. Any other questions of
699		commissioners for staff at this time? Okay. All
700		right, then we will go to public comment for this
701		item. David, do we have any public speakers?
702	Gillig:	Thank you, Chair. Yes, we do. We received a few
703		public comments for this item. If there is anybody
704		on the platform that would like to speak and make a
705		comment regarding this, if you're on the platform
706		you can use the raise hand feature. If you're
707		calling in, please hit star 9 for me and that will
708		let me know that you'd like to speak on that. Our
709		first public speaker will be Steve W. Steve, go
710		ahead and unmute. You can turn your camera on if
711		you'd like. You will have three minutes.
712	Weinstein:	Hi, can you hear me?
713	Gillig:	Yes, we can. Go ahead, Steve.
714	Weinstein:	Hi, my name's Steve Weinstein(phonetic). I live on
715		Ogden Drive for many, many years directly across
716		from the Ogden portion of this project. In the EIR,
717		this revised EIR, there is an alternative to sever
718		the residential lot on Ogden from the commercial
719		project on the other two streets. I strongly
720		recommend that you take them up on that idea

744

because if this driveway connects to the commercial project, it will ruin our street. There will be delivery trucks, guests of the hotel, Ubers, Lyfts, all kinds of things just going in and out on a tiny little residential street called Ogden. Also, you'll be losing eight, I think it's seven or eight, rent-controlled units on Ogden. So, if you can sever that, that would be great. And the other thing is you're building two very large buildings that are inaccessible. If you're coming on Santa Monica East just past Fairfax, you cannot turn left on Orange Grove. There's a medium with plants there. If you proceed further to where the hotel driveway is, you cannot turn left there either. It's a double-yellow line and there is a crosswalk, pedestrian crosswalk light right there. So, cars will not be able to get into this project, either of the two large buildings. They will have to continue forward to Ogden or Genesee and try and make a U-turn in those streets. The only other option would be for them to go up to Fountain. Now, Fountain is... right now you're planning to maybe change Fountain to one lane each way to prevent accidents, prevent pedestrian fatalities. If that

happens, nobody will be able to make a left down the street from... on to Orange Grove to attend or get home or attend the art gallery or attend the restaurant or whatever they're trying to do. So, I think you really need to come and look at these streets and see that traffic cannot service these two very large buildings. If you made them smaller, if there was a way to direct traffic with traffic lights and whatnot, but there really isn't. And then if you're going to put a bike lane on Santa Monica, which I know is another study of the city, it's going to be impossible with all this extra traffic. So, I just wish you would take that into consideration and realize this is way too large project for this particular lot. Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

Gillig:

Thank you, Steve. Our next speaker will be Mike Carter. Mike Carter will be followed by Danielle Wilson. Go ahead Michael, you'll have three minutes.

765 | Carter:

764

766

767

768

Greetings and good evening. Mike Carter, Ogden
Orange Owls Neighborhood Watch Captain reporting
from the epicenter of the Bond Hotel Project impact
zone. A great concern to our constituents who

792

provided 223 signatures tonight in protest of the Bond Project is land use. In particular, overlaying hotel use on an aggregate parcel that's 10,000 square feet short of municipal zoning code requirement. In that, we are calling this a concession instead of a violation of WHMC. Particularly insidious is that this project reaches outside the 1936170A project description defined as standard for and substantiate residential use in density over the CC2. No standard is found for it in 1936170A. In a Type A project, residential uses occur only on the residentially zoned parcels for which the density standard is supplied. Further, 1936170A 4.A is easily understood in terms of residential use being exclusive to residential parcels in a Type A project but becomes unmanageable and even untenable if residential use is found on CC2. Did we invoke some other set of standards to add residential to the CC2 in order to create a hybrid AB project? Originally, this project was a Type B project. Residential on top of the two existing CC2 parcels. A tip was dropped from city government that a third driveway would be required to get approval. So, one piece of R3B

816

property was snatched up for 3.2 million as if it were some kind of a Lego piece to be then snapped on to the 7811 Type B project. But presto, changeo, not only did we have the desperately required driveway, but we have 1936170 Type A mixed-use project with residential on the CC2. More simply, we have what is still a Type B project that snatched up a residential parcel for a driveway. A hybrid in search of a concession. Does the Bond then mitigate the effects of its massing in height and environmental impacts to the maximum extent feasible? No. It's mass and scale are severely impactful to any neighborhood. The concession to 1936170 A.1 150,000 square feet is dangerous. 1936170A 4.B, transportation commissioners are concerned for traffic flow in and out of the bond. A ludicrous suggestion was made to allow commercial hotel driveway use on the residential parcel. Well, WHMC will not tolerate this. 1936170 A.10 55 or so feet of driveway setting 3 side of the block makes a mockery of this WHMC standard. The Bond needs to go back to the drawing board, sever the R3B parcel from the project. These three infill lots on three sides of the block need to be developed separately

817 or the proper parcel size should be acquired. As 818 it is, the Bond Project is all take and no give, 819 replete with conflicts, and impactful inadequacies. 820 I wish you a good evening. 821 Gillig: Thank you, Mike. Our next speaker will be Danielle 822 Wilson, and Danielle will be followed by Lynn 823 Hoopengarner. Danielle, go ahead and unmute. You 824 may turn on your camera if you'd like and you will 825 have three minutes. 826 Wilson: Good evening, Chair Jones and honorable planning 827 commissioners. My name is Danielle Wilson. I live 828 leth... less than a quarter of a mile from the 829 project site on Ogden, south of Santa Monica. I 830 frequent the whole foods. I go to the gym at Brick 831 which is part of the project site. This is my 832 neighborhood and I strongly support this project. 833 Tonight, I'm speaking on behalf of Unite Here Local 11, the hotel workers union here in West Hollywood. 834 835 Our members, dishwashers, housekeepers, and servers 836 who are the working poor and therefore the canorous 837 and the cole mind for climate change, are 838 struggling with the dueling impacts of the housing 839 and environmental crisis impacting our region. 840 They're being pushed further and further away from

864

job centers, like West Hollywood due to the lack of affordable housing and transmit... transit infrastructure near good jobs. This project, which combines nearly 100 housing units, including units for very low-income families like our members, and sustainable development is exactly the kind of development our community needs. This project is close to existing rapid bus service as well as to the proposed future metro subway extension. It balances the parking needs of residents like myself, visitors, and workers with the regional need to encourage the use of transit. The combination of jobs, housing, and walkable destination such as art galleries and studio space ensure that the project will also encourage pedestrian activity. This project will positively impact the environment. It will replace the surface parking lots with sustainably constructed affordable housing. What was once covered by black tops, will now be shaded by cool roofs or energy star-approved roofing with solar power, plants, and other green features. This will be a model project for our neighborhoods. I am just going to have to join 24 Fitness and that's okay. I truly hope to be

866

868

867

869

870

871

872

873

874875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

able to work with my neighbors to welcome a project like this into the city with its desperately needed housing. And I hope you will keep our thoughts in mind during future deliberations. Thank you.

Gillig:

Thank you, Danielle. Our next speaker will be Lynn Hoopengarner followed by our last speaker that I have Victor Omelczenko. Lynn, good evening. Go ahead. You have three minutes.

Hoopengarner:

Thank you. Good evening commissioners. I'm going to stick to the high points on this one. Most importantly what I was unable to find is what is the deemed complete date. You might recall we just went through this two weeks ago on the 8555 Santa Monica Project. And at that point, there was a 60,000-square-foot requirement for the whole project in order to span commercial and residential properties. That call was in place in 2016. In 2019, the code was changed to reduce that requirement to 50,000 square-feet. Therefore, this is an important date. When this EIR first was processed, the 60,000 square foot law was in place. Was... what is the deemed complete date and are we applying the same rules to this project as were applied to 8555 Santa Monica? And as relates to

912

that, the changes in 2019 amended 19.36.178 on mixed-use projects that span both residential and commercial zoning districts. Importantly, A.8 where it says "Development as separate sites: the residential and commercially zoned portions of the site shall be developed as separate sights in compliance with the requirements of the applicable district including density, setbacks, height, and other applicable development standards. Except a subterranean parking may be constructed as connected underneath, etc., etc." In addition, number 9, 1 canopy tree for every 6,000 square feet of site area. That is not incorporated in here. And these are just a couple of items. So, one, what is the deemed complete date? And two, what code is being applied to this project? I see that the applicant has requested a concession to not comply with the 50,000 square feet and reduce it to somewhere in 40,000 square feet, but this is not a concession-able item. This is an objective standard that is a condition upon which they can even consider doing a project spanning commercial and residential lots. And with that, I will leave it to my neighbors to discuss the transportation issues

and the many, many other issues that need to be addressed before this is ready for primetime. Thank you.

||Gillig:

Thank you, Lynn. And our final speaker will be

Victor Omelczenko. Victor, hang on just a second. I

want to make sure that everyone gets an opportunity

to make a comment. So, if you haven't and you would

like to, if you're on the platform, please raise

your hand. If you're calling in, star 9 for me.

Okay, Victor, go ahead. You will have three

minutes.

Omelczenko:

Well, good evening again commissioners. Well, a new project this on the east side. I live in the center city, but I'm interested in what happens throughout our fair city. And I just want to say that I did submit on Wednesday, February 1st, at 1:31 PM a whole slew of questions to this planning commission and to Mister Gillig, that I hope that Dudek and Miss Finneyfrock will answer. I've learned over the years when you make a comment or say a feeling or say, "Oh, I don't know and I'm concerned in that", I believe that the questions should be asked, not just the comments. So, I look forward to answers to all of the questions that the public has submitted

960

regarding what I see at this point as an extremely over-rock project. Why has no new traffic study been completed that takes into account not only the cumulative impacts of ongoing development at this area, but also the influx of all this ride-sharing? Exactly how is the public benefiting from a new hotel in this city? Is that part of our general plan? What is the justification? Let's talk about land use and policy planning, how exactly does this project meet the city's requirement that a new development that traverses commercial and residential zones like I was learning must now be 50,000 square feet in land area? And in the presentation that I just saw, I just jotted down that it only has 40,189 square feet. This is a concession? I don't think so. How is this concession, Dudek please explain it to us. How does this project meet the city's rules and regulations and ordinances? Why is this proposal, Dudek and everybody, even being reviewed now if it does not have 50,000 square feet of total land? And yes, indeed, let's find out, what was the deemed complete date? Why is this such a secret and so hard to get a handle out? That said, about the, the

984

housing, yes. The project will add 16 new affordable housing units, but what the community needs to know is that 7 seven rent-stabilized housing units are being demolished for this bizarre driveway that's going to feed into the residential neighborhood on Ogden. And that is really we're losing seven and only getting nine. Wouldn't you think that a well-healed developer could offer up more than just a net new nine affordable housing units? Let's talk about that in the housing, housing draft EIR. So, I bring up these issues because I'm just wondering, how does such demolition of rent-stabilized housing serve the city's housing needs and why can't the developer offer more affordable housing? These are questions that I hope are all answered in the new final EIR. Thank you so much for the extra time, David. And thank you, Victor. And Victor is our last public speaker on this item, Chair. Great. Thank you. Okay, so again, this is not an item that we're deliberating. We're not taking any action on this tonight. If staff has answers to the questions that we asked earlier, that would be great. If not, we can also give you more time.

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

There's absolutely no problem. But otherwise, I think we can just go down the line and, and give comments if they haven't already been given. Would anyone like to speak? Does no one... I mean, do we

not have comments? Commissioner Lombardi, I had a

feeling. Go ahead.

Lombardi:

I have comments. I, I really don't want to go first. If anyone else wants, wants to go. But since everyone's so quiet, I'm happy to go. We heard some good questions from the public. So, I do hope that those are answered. Let me check here and see where I want to start. So, I guess I am a little bit confused about how this project is coming forward and I realize this maybe that's not specific to the DEIR, but it, it has some impact. So, here's what I'm going to ask, I know that there's an alternative within this... with this... within this revised DEIR, and I'm trying to understand if is the intent with the proposed alternative that the lots would be developed as separate parcels? Considering that there's a potential concession to try to consolidate everything which does not match up to our municipal code given the overall amount of project area. What is the intent with the

1009		alternative, which notes the various negative
1010		impacts such as less housing? And shouldn't an
1011		alternative be proposed that maybe navigates this
1012		issue that's been flagged? I mean, I could get an
1013		answer to that question. That's my question.
1014	Alkire:	Yeah, we're, we're really just taking comments at
1015		this meeting mostly.
1016	Lombardi:	Understood.
1017	Alkire:	So yeah, as far as
1018	Lombardi:	(UNINTELLIGIBLE).
1019	Alkire:	if you have suggestions or comments on the
1020		alternatives then we can certainly take those in
1021		and look at them. But
1022	Lombardi:	Okay.
1023	Alkire:	we don't want to speak qualitatively on any of
1024		these issues (talking over).
1025	Lombardi:	Understood. Okay. So that's one question and
1026		comment that I have. I do want to reiterate and I'm
1027		glad that it was brought up by the public, my
1028		concern about the application of the affordable
1029		housing and I'm a little bit confused right now on
1030		what the intent is. It seems like we're losing at
1031		least 7, if not 8 existing units that would be
1032		potentially rent stabilized to gain 16 units. And

1056

so that doesn't seem like a very high number for the amount of residential units that would be provided for this project. And then I'm also curious how that relates in density bonus calculations if they're asking for density bonus calculations based on 16 new affordable units, but you're losing units. Is there not a measure within or code that acknowledges the removal and the need to replace those units? I thought there was. So, I'm not sure if I understand how that's being applied and if it's being applied correctly. And what that means in terms of the analysis of this DEIR and the, the benefits if they're properly being assessed. I think there needs to be clarity there. I'm also concerned in general about the traffic conditions in this area. I know it well. I frequent the area regularly. Santa Monica and Fairfax is a busy intersection. There is a bus stop there, which is very convenient for this location, but traffic does back up. So, if cars are headed east bound on Santa Monica and expected to turn into the property, I think that that's going to be an issue. There isn't a turn lane. I don't know if there's a way to implement a traffic light, but I

1080

feel like that's probably too close to Fairfax and Santa Monica. So, this seems like a very tricky thing to navigate. And I'm curious if there could be better study into the traffic patterns and how this is managed. During rush hours in particular, the traffic really backs up along this corridor and it's hard enough to turn left anywhere much less if this project is pulling in, you know, that many people for parking or the housing, for the hotel, the art gallery. So, I'm not sure that that's been assessed well and I am very concerned about it. Also, the removal of the Orange Grove parking lot, it doesn't seem like those 45 spaces are being fully recaptured with this new development. And I'm concerned about that. I understand, you know, there's calculations that are made with all of this. But the, the commercial strip that includes Whole Foods that is right next door has a lot of traffic. People depend on that lot as overflow and it's used frequently during busy hours. I know I've used it quite a bit. I'm not sure how people navigate out of this parking garage and over into that space. And if they are using it, how much capacity they have. So, I think this is just going

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

Gregoire:

to create more issues with pedestrian traffic,

traffic flow, of cars turning in, people coming out

trying to go over to that area, and I don't know if

that's been studied properly and addressed based on

what I'm seeing in this DEIR. And, and right now

that's what I have for comments.

Jones: Okay. Great. Commissioner Gregoire, please go ahead.

Thank you, Commissioner Lombardi, for going first. You pretty much touched on every one of the points I was going to make. But I also just wanted just to repeat concern over that we're only getting a net gain of nine affordable units. We hate to see the, the loss of rent-stabilized units. Obviously, we need to take a, a hard look at that. And I really appreciated a lot of the public comments about the ... just the impact on parking and transportation and Whole Foods. Whole Foods is crazy, you know, there's always overflow from Whole Foods onto that street and into that parking lot. And the school there, it would be great for them to really address sort of the impacts as with respect to some of these other businesses like the school and Whole Foods. And as Commissioner Lombardi said about the,

1105 the transportation issues, the trying to gain access to the hotel from Santa Monica Boulevard 1106 1107 going east bound is going to be a challenge. So 1108 that should be further developed as well. And 1109 (UNINTELLIGIBLE) my last ... it's my last thought was, 1110 you know, my usual concern about any new 1111 residential development, is it going to be adequate 1112 parking? You know, we know what we're allowed to 1113 require, but realistically, is there going to be 1114 overflow? Are there going to be residents wanting to park on the residential streets? And what's the 1115 1116 impact going to be on, on the neighborhood? What, 1117 what we require, what can be required is very 1118 different from the true needs of the neighborhood. 1119 So, I want to make sure that's considered as well 1120 in the, the revised final EIR. And that's my 1121 comment. 1122 Great. Thank you, Commissioner Gregoire. Jones: 1123 Commissioner Matos, please go ahead. 1124 Matos: Thank you, Chair Jones. So, I have a couple of 1125 comments. You know, I think a few of them have been 1126 touched on. I want to get a little bit more in 1127 specific to the DEIR. 3.86 talks about mitigation 1128 measures and project design features. It goes in to

1152

provide a project design feature for transit. There's a number one and number two. It's talking about restricting, restricting northbound traffic into the neighborhoods by requiring vehicles that exit the driveway to travel southbound during peak hours. It's talking about implementing the design feature of a sign to steer traffic in that direction. I think it would be helpful to potentially explore additional or alternative design features that could help, you know, basically firm up that position to try to mitigate the traffic component and keep vehicles that are leaving the transit... that are leaving the project site, rather, from moving toward the neighborhood. You know, I don't know if it had been explored in addition to signs to implementing, you know, medians that would require turn in that... in the correct direction or any type of other mitigation effort or design features that could be implemented that would help address some of those concerns. The other thing that I wanted to talk about that I didn't think was adequately addressed in the EIR... or the draft EIR is there is a part about rideshare passenger loading and unloading. It kind of

1176

goes into... it says the project will accommodate passenger loading and unloading on site should ride-share pickup and drop off activity become excessive and generate traffic issues on the residential portions of Orange Grove Avenue or Ogden, the city will work with the owner to remedy those concerns. I kind of would love to see, you know, what the remedy options would be and, you know, a little bit more into where, you know, in the project side or different alternatives that, that could be hosted to try to mitigate the issues that it's talking about. Just a little bit more indepth in the plan for that would be interesting. And then, yeah, I mean, my other concern was about, you know, we love affordable housing. We need more of it certainly. But also looking at, you know, with the elimination of existing rent-stabilized units, would there be a rent-stabilized unit requirement beyond what's being proposed in affordable housing for them to maintain as part of the overall housing stock? So, if there's, you know, X amount of affordable units in this project, I believe it's 16, then, you know, are there an additional 8 separate and apart from that, that are

1177		then designated rent-stabilized units? So that's
1178		something that I think should be explored a little
1179		bit more. Yeah. I mean, those are those are my
1180		comments.
1181	Jones:	Great. Thank you very much. I don't want to put
1182		anybody on blast. I mean, you certainly don't have
1183		to speak. Commissioner Carvalheiro, please go
1184		ahead.
1185	Carvalheiro:	Yeah, I don't have additional comments. All of my
1186		comments have been touched on at this point.
1187	Jones:	Vice-Chair Thomas?
1188	Thomas:	I have a question of staff in regards to when rent
1189		control units are they'd leave the market and
1190		there's a new project. Isn't, isn't there a
1191		recapture program or I just seem to remember
1192		something about when rent control projects are
1193		removed from the market and then a project is put
1194		in that place. Don't a certain number of the units
1195		isn't there a I just felt like there was a program
1196		regarding that and I just couldn't remember. So, I
1197		didn't does this sound at all familiar?
1198	Alkire:	So, I can speak to that a little bit. I'm not an
1199		expert, but I can let you know that if there are
1200		people who are ellissed from a rent-controlled
	1	

1201		building removed from the rental market who qualify
1202		for our rents for our inclusionary program, I
1203		believe that they get some level of priority on the
1204		list. But not necessarily in this project because
1205		they may need housing before this project is
1206		completed. So, I think that it's just as units come
1207		up, they, they have some level of priority for
1208		that.
1209	Thomas:	Okay. Thank you.
1210	Jones:	Do we have any additional questions for staff or
1211		comments at this time?
1212	Matos:	I did sorry, Chair Jones, if I may?
1213	Jones:	Please, go ahead.
1214	Matos:	Yeah, yeah. I did just want to follow up on
1215		Commissioner Thomas's question. I also had a
1216		similar question about how that math works out. So,
1217		I just wanted to just second that and follow up on
1218		the response.
1219	Castillo:	Yes. Chair, I have a, a response to Commissioner
1220		Thomas's earlier question on square footage. So,
1221		the, the square footage that I had mentioned
1222		earlier, the 212,000, that, that is that includes
1223		parking and a variety of other square footages
1224		throughout the structure. But when we're looking at
	1	

1225		the, the square footage the growth square footage
1226		of residential area versus commercial, the
1227		residential, residential square footage is
1228		approximately 100,995 which ends up being 73.65
1229		percent of the square footage for the, the
1230		buildings. So, we have a residential square footage
1231		of about 100,995 and the commercial square footage
1232		is 36,132. Then there's the parking area. For
1233		example, of the square footage for the parking, 69
1234		spaces would be for residential, 31 would be for
1235		commercial. So, the project does exceed the 2/3
1236		requirement for as Commissioner Thomas mentioned.
1237	Thomas:	I appreciate that. Thank you.
1238	Castillo:	No problem. One, one additional comment,
1239		Commissioner Jones.
1240	Jones:	Go ahead.
1241	Castillo:	So, the, the project was deemed complete January
1242		31 st of 2019.
1243	Jones:	December 21st of 2019? Is that what you said?
1244		
1211	Castillo:	January 31st.
1245	Castillo: Jones:	January $31^{\rm st}$. January $31^{\rm st}$ of 2019. Okay. Thank you. My questions
		_
1245		January 31st of 2019. Okay. Thank you. My questions

1249		Matos, go ahead.
1250	Matos:	Thank you. Thank you, Chair Jones. I just wanted to
1251		add one thing just as part of my comments,
1252		specifically the comments relating to 3.8.6, which
1253		is the mitigation measures and project design
1254		features related to traffic and transportation. You
1255		know, we had asked for I had asked for, you know,
1256		alternatives or exploration of further design
1257		features beyond just the use of a sign. I think
1258		that I would love to see this even go into ex
1259		exploring a night lane similar to what we have on
1260		Hammond, where there's the up and down barrier that
1261		prevents traffic from continuing through the
1262		street, you know, during the night hours and
1263		specified hours where it rises out of the street.
1264		It's near my home. I see it all the time. So, I
1265		think I would, you know, if that's possible to add
1266		to additional comments or exploration features for
1267		this project design that would be great.
1268	Jones:	Great. Thank you. Does staff have any questions for
1269		commission at this time in terms of clarification
1270		or any additional feedback? Or are you good?
1271	Castillo:	I have none, Chair.
1272	Jones:	Anyone else? No?

1273	Thomas:	I, I have one final
1274	Jones:	Commissioner Thomas, go ahead.
1275	Thomas:	I, I'm I just want to circle back to the rent-
1276		stabilized units. The new would the new project
1277		have to provide a one-to-one replacement of those
1278		units?
1279	Castillo:	The, the Jennifer, perhaps you might want to
1280		correct me on this, but that requirement would not
1281		apply to this project that was deemed complete in
1282		early 2019. The, the… this project would be
1283		eliminating seven residential units and be
1284		including 16 affordable units.
1285	Thomas:	Okay.
1285 1286	Thomas: Jones:	Okay. Okay. If there are no further comments or question
1286		Okay. If there are no further comments or question
1286 1287		Okay. If there are no further comments or question we can move onto the next item, which is Item 11.B.
1286 1287 1288		Okay. If there are no further comments or question we can move onto the next item, which is Item 11.B. Thank you very much to everyone for coming out and
1286 1287 1288 1289		Okay. If there are no further comments or question we can move onto the next item, which is Item 11.B. Thank you very much to everyone for coming out and for commenting and also for, again, being patient
1286 1287 1288 1289 1290		Okay. If there are no further comments or question we can move onto the next item, which is Item 11.B. Thank you very much to everyone for coming out and for commenting and also for, again, being patient with us as we had to move some things around on
1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291		Okay. If there are no further comments or question we can move onto the next item, which is Item 11.B. Thank you very much to everyone for coming out and for commenting and also for, again, being patient with us as we had to move some things around on tonight's agenda. So, Item 11.B is the Design
1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292		Okay. If there are no further comments or question we can move onto the next item, which is Item 11.B. Thank you very much to everyone for coming out and for commenting and also for, again, being patient with us as we had to move some things around on tonight's agenda. So, Item 11.B is the Design Review Subcommittee Representative appointment for
1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293		Okay. If there are no further comments or question we can move onto the next item, which is Item 11.B. Thank you very much to everyone for coming out and for commenting and also for, again, being patient with us as we had to move some things around on tonight's agenda. So, Item 11.B is the Design Review Subcommittee Representative appointment for one current design review subcommittee member to

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

everyone for their interest in this. It is my inclination to appoint Commissioner Carvalheiro to this position and that is largely because he was the one who served on the city manager's advisory report for this project. I think continuity is important. He has the expertise that we need, but I certainly don't want to overlook anyone else's talents. So, again, thank you everyone for your interest and for being so enthusiastic about moving things in our community forward. Commissioner Carvalheiro?

Carvalheiro:

Thank you. Thank you.

Jones:

Thank you very much. Okay and with this we will...

again, just to note that Item 10.A, again, if

you're just joining us, Item 10.A has been

continued to a date uncertain. Again, that's item

10.A. 8497 to 8499 Sunset Boulevard. We will be

moving on to Item 10.B., which is 8549 to 8551

Santa Monica Boulevard. This is a public hearing

regarding a request to allow overnight boarding on

an existing dog daycare facility located at the

aforementioned address in our fair city. I believe

we do have a recusal and I want to give the

commissioner an opportunity to recuse himself

1321 before we move forward. 1322 Gregoire: Hello everyone. I am going to have to recuse myself 1323 from this matter as the subject property 8549 to 1324 8551 Santa Monica Boulevard is within 500 feet of a 1325 property that I live in and own. So, I will be 1326 signing off and saying goodnight to everyone. Have 1327 a good evening. 1328 Jones: Great. Thank you, Commissioner Gregoire. Okay. So, 1329 again, this is our... actually, our only public 1330 hearing now this evening Item 10.B. I am going to 1331 turn the reins over to staff for them to give their 1332 presentation. 1333 Rath: Thank you. Please bear with me for a moment. I'm 1334 just going to share my screen at the PowerPoint 1335 presentation. All right. So, my PowerPoint slide 1336 should be on the screen. If it isn't will you feel 1337 free to stop me, but I'm just going to continue on. 1338 So good evening, Chair Jones and commissioners. My 1339 name is Roger Rath, and I am one of the associate 1340 planners in the Current and Historic Preservation 1341 Planning Division. The item before you today is a 1342 request to allow overnight boarding at an existing 1343 dog daycare facility at 8549 to 8551 Santa Monica 1344 Boulevard. The subject property is located on Santa

1368

Monica Boulevard near the corner of West Knoll Drive. The yellow box indicated in this photo is the tenant space. The tenant space occupies the first and second floor of the building. The surrounding uses are primarily commercial uses except to the north which are residential properties. This subject property may look familiar to the commission as it was approved on January 19th, 2023, at the previous hearing on the separate development permit application to demolish all existing structures and construct a new five-story mixed-use development. The applicant is aware that the... of this development and intends to utilize the space into other development compasses. This CUP, if granted, would go away when the structure is demolished. The entrance to the tenant space is located on the west elevation facing an existing surface parking lot. As seen here, the building is separated from the residential properties by a steep hill slide... hill side, which results in both properties being located at different grades. The first floor is where the existing dog daycare is located. This includes the check-in area, open dog play area, and the washroom. The second floor is

1392

where the overnight boarding will take place. As seen in the floor plan here, the general sizes for the dog boarding facility will be four feet wide by four feet in length with options for larger spaces. The operation of the overnight boarding use for intake and outtake would be limited to 7 AM to 9 PM. Any dogs left with the operator past 9 PM will stay overnight and may be picked up on the following day during these public (UNINTELLIGIBLE) operating hours. The overnight boarding use will be required to be staffed and be limited to 42 dogs overnight. All activities will take place inside the building. Although the topography is appropriate for the overnight boarding use, two possible irritants for the neighboring properties may be odor and noise. The applicant has included measures to control odor due to means of a ventilation system and permeable concrete floors, commercial cleaning supplies, staff training, and waste receptacles throughout the facility. For possible noise control, the applicant currently has a standard operating procedure that evaluates the dog's barking. As the dog is deemed a chronic barker, it would not be permitted at this location.

1415

1416

Jones:

Matos:

1393

This practice will continue with the addition of overnight boarding. This (UNINTELLIGIBLE) space is also constructed with a thick cinderblock concrete wall with no windows or doors facing the residential properties, which will also help contain the noise. As conditioned, the proposed overnight boarding to an existing dog daycare is well suited for this site. It will benefit local residents as a neighboring ser... neighborhood serving use. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the application with the adoption of draft resolution PC 23-1505. I have to state that there is a typographical error that I would like to highlight and edit for the record. On the first page of the resolution, section two states the date as February 2^{nd} , 2022. The correct date should be this year, which is 2023. Otherwise, that concludes my presentation. I'm available for any questions and so is the applicant. Thank you. Great. Thanks very much. Do we have questions for staff about items contained in the staff report or about their presentation from commissioners at this time? Commissioner Matos? I just have a quick question for staff. With the

1417		implementation of this new CUP and them having
1418		overnight boarding, is there any type of
1419		requirement for them to have any type of, like,
1420		evacuation plan or anything like that posted? Is
1421		there any new requirements along those lines?
1422	Rath:	So, the Los Angeles County of Animal Care and
1423		Control reviews that. So, they do have that part of
1424		their requirement for licensing, which requires
1425		them to have a plan specific to this property and
1426		be kept and posted on-site at all times.
1427	Matos:	Okay. Thank you.
1428	Rath:	Sure.
1429	Jones:	Thanks, Commissioner Matos. Any additional
1430		questions for staff at this time? Vice-Chair
1431		Thomas?
1432	Thomas:	Thank you, Chair. Is the applicant here today or
1433	Rath:	Yes. They are. They are.
1434	Thomas:	Okay. You know what, I'll actually ask the
1435		applicant my question. Thank you.
1436	Rath:	Okay. No problem.
1437	Jones:	Commissioner Lombardi, please go ahead.
1438	Lombardi:	Thank you. Following up with Commissioner Matos's
1439		question, I was just wondering when I was looking
1440		at the plans, are can you help me understand where

1441		the egress routes are? And I guess in particular,
1442		I'm trying to understand if the dogs are on the
1443		second floor, what's the egress route from the
1444		second floor?
1445	Rath:	Sure. Let me just share my screen with the plans
1446		again if you don't mind. Okay. So hopefully
1447		everybody can see this. If you don't,
1448		(UNINTELLIGIBLE) me again. But these are the egress
1449		points that building safety would be reviewing in
1450		terms of location, would be, you know, coming down
1451		the stairs here which leads to the first floor down
1452		here to the Foyer Foyer. Sorry. That leads out to
1453		the property. And the other egress the other
1454		egress location would be this exit corridor here
1455		that would lead to the other parking area on the
1456		on the, I guess the same property, which I can show
1457		you maybe here. I believe it exits out to this
1458		location here.
1459	Lombardi:	Okay. Thank you. And then I did have a question
1460		that maybe relates to some of the items in the
1461		resolution, but I just wanted to note that there's
1462		a couple of different dates on the plans.
1463	Rath:	Oh.
1464	Lombardi:	And I want to make sure we have the most current
	i .	

1465		plans because Sheet A.1 is the 16th of August, and
1466		then some are dated September 15 th , and then
1467		another one's August 24 th . That might be a tidying-
1468		up thing, but I just wanted to make sure we are
1469		looking at the current plans giving all the various
1470		dates on these sheets.
1471	Rath:	Right. Yeah, so these this is most current plan.
1472		I'll have the applicant update that once, once it
1473		comes to
1474	Lombardi:	Okay.
1475	Rath:	if we approve it.
1476	Lombardi:	And then I think I'll reserve my other questions
1477		for after the applicant's presentation.
1478	Jones:	Okay. Great. Thank you. Did I see another hand? Did
1479		I imagine that? Have I called on everyone?
1480		Commissioner Carvalheiro, please go ahead.
1481	Carvalheiro:	Yeah, just one quick question. So, will drop off
1482		and pickup happen off of Santa Monica Boulevard or
1483		off, off of West Knoll?
1484	Rath:	The pickup and drop off would happen at the front
1485		of the business, so in the parking lot area.
1486	Carvalheiro:	The lower area?
1487	Rath:	Yeah, exactly.
1488	Carvalheiro:	So, the upper area's only emergency exit?
	i	l l

1489	Rath:	Right.
1490	Carvalheiro:	Great. Thanks.
1491	Jones:	Any additional questions for staff at this time?
1492		Okay, great. I know staff mentioned the applicant's
1493		here. Does the applicant the applicant has
1494		typically we would do a 10-minute presentation,
1495		then public comment, and then a rebuttal. You
1496		certainly don't have to present if you don't want
1497		to, but I do want you to make it known that that is
1498		your prerogative. So, if you want to give a
1499		presentation, you can certainly do that. You have
1500		10 minutes.
1501	Heynan:	Great. And I'd love to just add some comments.
1502	Jones:	Sure, please go ahead.
1503	Heynan:	So, thank you, Chair Jones, Vice-Chair Thomas, and
1504		commissioners. My name's Micky Heynen. I'm a co-
1505		owner of Stardogs Clubhouse. My co-owner and
1506		friend, Andy Wiltz (phonetic), is also on the call.
1507		We appreciate your time tonight. We're also greatly
1508		appreciative of the time and attention the staff
1509		have put into the review for the past few months,
1510		with particular thanks to Roger Rath for the time
1511		he has spent reviewing questions with me. Stardogs
1512		Clubhouse has been a member of the West Hollywood

1536

business community for over four years. First in our little shop on Nemo Street and then more recently in our location at 8549 Santa Monica Boulevard. Since our founding, we have lovingly cared for over 900 dogs in this community. Many of our dogs come to us multiple times per week and some come every day. They and their parents or pet quardians are a part of our family and they treat us as a part of their families. Stardogs prides ourselves on enriching the lives of the dogs who stay with us through physical and mental activity, through healthy and fear-free services, and through play and socialization. That enrichment is accelerated because of our ability to interact on a regular basis. So, we see the dogs grow and develop. And we often identify when there are concerns that the parents need to know about. Many of those concerns we can help alleviate through wellness services and training. We're here tonight requesting the ability to add just an overnight boarding component to our services. Our dog parents have long asked us to do boarding because their dogs know us and trust us and because those dogs can spend their days in daycare with their friends

1560

and their caretakers that they know. Over 75 of those parents signed a petition requesting approval of this conditional-use permit which we have provided to staff. I won't rehash all the written narrative and I thank you for having reviews... reviewed those details. I would like to review and just highlight some main points and then can take any questions that you have. My points tonight will primarily cover five areas: safety, our staff, minimizing noise, ins... ensuring cleanliness and the odor and handling any odor, and our boarding accommodations themselves. Regarding safety, we have multiple systems in place to ensure the safety and well-being of our... of our guests. We have top of the line perimeter security, cameras, and monitor fire sensors. With boarding, we would have staff on site 24/7. We have systems of redundant doors to prevent a dog from running loose and getting outside accidentally. We have emergency procedures that are documented regarding evacuations and in case of fire or what to do in case of earthquake. We also have emergency procedures for the cases of power outages, the need to boil water, and other such scenarios. We have

1584

documented operational policies and procedures, including redundant leashes and requiring dogs to be on leash as they enter and exit the building. As Mister Rath pointed out, the LA County Animal Control reviewed our business for numerous aspects around housing facilities, food, water, sanitation, safety, exercise and socialization, permits and documentation, medical care, and our adherence to regulations. We scored 100 percent on that review in November of 2022. The LA County Fire Department also reviewed our facilities for access and egress, emergency signage and lighting, fire extinguishers, and many other facets and we passed all aspects of that review. We do temperament tests on all the dogs that come in, vaccination reviews, and wellness reviews before admitting them to the clubhouse. And we have the ability to quickly isolate any dog should we encounter one that has fleas or illness, while we take action to help it and to protect the pack. Regarding... my second point is regarding our staff. Our staff go through multiple rounds of interviews to ensure that we're hiring experienced, caring, and empathetic individuals. They then go through weeks of training

1608

on how to appropriately handle and socialize dogs. Many of our staff are Fear Free Certified, a certification program on how to interact with dogs in a way that minimizes anxiety and maximizes beneficial interactions. And they also participate in other training programs on how to lead the individual dogs and the whole pack. From a noise perspective, my third point, our building is ideal for a dog daycare and boarding. We have very little shared wall footage and other... with other businesses. Again, as Mister Rath's presentation showed we have parking lots and alley spaces separating us from any other spaces in the north, east, and west sides. The building's built into the hill and we are not at the same elevation as the few residents to the north. There are no windows facing those residences and there are thick cinderblock walls. Inside we use human interaction to alleviate any barking and we also use noise dampening materials to further alleviate any barking that does occur. Regarding sanitation, cleanliness, and smell, we regularly get people who walk into our facility and comment how ours does not have that funky smell that most dog daycares

1632

have for boarding (UNINTELLIGIBLE). It's because we clean our facility regularly throughout the day and deep clean each week. And it's also because we have effective air circulation and filtration throughout our facilities. Regarding pet waste, pee goes down the drain via our pee pad stations. Poop gets bagged and goes into the trash. Pee accidents are cleaned immediately using animal-safe industryspecific cleaning agents and wet mops rather than rags like some businesses have that would sit around and accumulate order... odor. And our floors are sealed to prevent liquid from seeping in. Also, we have our own laundry facilities and wash dirty towels, etc. every night. And my, my last point is regarding accommodations. We use industry-leading enclosures that are comfortable, comfortable for dogs the size of our quests. We also have a number of larger suites for parents who want more space or to have multiple dogs sleeping together, like their dogs. We have cameras for those parents to view their pups when they're sleeping. We use industry leading Kuranda beds to maximize dogs comfort. We accommodate using parent-supplied food or else provide organic and fresh food for our guests. We

1633		ensure water's always available for dogs when they
1634		are in their overnight accommodations. And when
1635		they are not in those accommodations, when they're
1636		not sleeping or resting, they're spending time in
1637		our daycare rather than any kind of fence like
1638		other places that you might have heard of.
1639		Hopefully, my comments give some clarity to our
1640		ability to operate this kind of business to a high
1641		degree of confidence. We're a proud member of the
1642		West Hollywood community. We look forward to
1643		continuing our, you know, service our neighbors for
1644		many years to come. Thank you again for your
1645		consideration.
1646	Jones:	Great. Thank you very much. Does anyone have
1647		questions for the applicant at this time?
1648		Commissioner Matos, please.
1649	Matos:	Thank you, Chair Jones. Mister Hey is it Heynen?
1650		Am I saying that correctly?
1651	Heynen:	Yeah. My, my grandfather made it Heynen. I don't
1652		know why.
1653	Matos:	Heynen. Okay.
1654	Heynen:	Yeah.
1655	Matos:	Heynen, thank you for, you know, joining us this
1656		evening. I have a quick question. The resolution

dogs max in overnight boarding. And the resolution, you know, in a different section, specifically 4.10 says that the operates should... shall ensure that there is at least one employee within the tenant space to care for the supervised dogs during the overnight boarding hours. I'm curious, at what point would your business consider deploying a second person? Like at what number of dogs would you consider doing that?

before us for the CUP stipulates that there are 42

Heynen:

Yeah, I... for our intents and purposes, our shifts would overlap between the daycare team and the overnight team. So, making sure the dogs are, you know, socialized, that they've had food, that they're getting into their overnight enclosures, etc. And so, one person is sufficient to oversee dogs that are in their enclosures. But we would consider having a second person if those... if... let's say we're getting really full, all right? Because 42 is actually... we're limited by space. The LA County has approved 85 dogs in our daycare facilities. And for 85 dogs we regularly have a team of three. There's, there's regularly working three, maybe four sometimes. And then a staff of

1681		nine altogether rotating shifts. So, I would say as
1682		we get to be full, we would reassess and note, you
1683		know, are we able to properly attend to all the
1684		dog's needs, you know. I, I don't know that we have
1685		a hard and fast number, but it would have to be as
1686		we get closer to the maximum capacity.
1687	Matos:	Okay. So, you would be putting in a there would be
1688		a second employee if you guys were at near at or
1689		near the capacity of 45?
1690	Heynen:	That's the intention. We would assess it as we're
1691		as we're going there. And, like, our, our business
1692		plan, we may not reach maximum capacity before this
1693		building's torn down. It's just a factor of where
1694		we are with time.
1695	Matos:	Yeah. Okay. And then I had asked staff about an
1696		emergency evacuation plan because, you know, to my
1697		understanding a lot of the boarded dogs would be on
1698		the second floor, if not all of them.
1699	Heynen:	Yup.
1700	Matos:	Do you, I mean, it sounds like it's being required
1701		already by the county or animal care and control
1702		agency
1703	Heynen:	Yes.
1704	Matos:	do you have that prepared and on-site for your
	I	

1707

1708

1709

1710

1711

1712

1713

1714

1715

1716

1717

1718

1719

1720

1721

1722

1723

1724

1725

1726

1728

1706 Heynen: employees that are visible in the (talking over).

We have an evacuation plan that states where we are to leave the premises. The emergency response plan that we have includes a, a kit that is in our... is that my time? Sorry. We have a whole set of policies, procedures, and materials that are, you know, where they're stored, how to use them, which situation are we responding to, where are we supposed to go, what are we supposed to bring, you know, how many leashes are available, when do we grab them, how to essentially... when and how to grab the dogs and to go out which exits, and includes flashlights and batteries and first aid kits. And it does differ if you're talking about certain types of emergency situations. But one thing to note, the second floor if you go out to the parking lot on the Santa Monica side, it does involve going downstairs. If you go out the emergency exit, which is on the east side, that goes out and essentially you have to go up about six or seven stairs to that parking lot... or maybe it's eight stairs to that parking lot. So, the elevation is different. Okay. So, you... the answer is you do have an emergency evacuation plan and it is available and

Matos:

1727

1729		accessible to your employees and you
1730	Heynen:	Yes. Yeah, those, those were required in order for
1731		us to get just the dog daycare.
1732	Matos:	Okay. Cool. And then I just have one last question,
1733		and this is an odd question, but here it goes, are
1734		you guys going you guys offer grooming services,
1735		correct?
1736	Heynen:	Yes, that's correct.
1737	Matos:	Are those going to be those are those going to be
1738		done in the overnight boarding part of
1739	Heynen:	No, we actually we have in the floor plan that
1740		Roger was showing, right behind our lobby, there is
1741		a grooming-specific room with grooming tables and
1742		it's, you know, got a window accessible as you can
1743		see it. And then there's a separate room where we
1744		have multiple bathtubs to do washing and drying and
1745		that kind of stuff. And those are all on the first
1746		floor.
1747	Matos:	But the those services won't be deployed
1748		overnight?
1749	Heynen:	No. Those will not be deployed overnight.
1750	Matos:	Okay. I just ask because there's a there is
1751		actually a section of the West Hollywood municipal
1752		code, specifically Chapter 5.18.010, that has very

1753		specific standards around grooming and things like
1754		that. So that's why I was just curious.
1755	Heynen:	Yeah.
1756	Matos:	Other than that, I have no questions. Thank you
1757		very much for answering them.
1758	Heynen:	Thanks.
1759	Jones:	Thank you. Any additional questions for the
1760		applicant at this time? Commissioner Lombardi?
1761	Lombardi:	Thank you. I have just a couple of questions. Some
1762		of them were just touched on by Commissioner Matos.
1763		You know, regarding noise, I have a couple of
1764		follow-up questions on this. So, the property does
1765		seem well suited in that it's isolated from some of
1766		the adjacent buildings. You mentioned cinder block
1767		construction. What is the scope of renovation that
1768		you're doing and are you doing anything with the
1769		ceiling systems in particular on the second floor?
1770	Heynen:	The so the renovation that would be required to
1771		handle all of the boarding have been done in
1772		anticipation of this, the CUP. And there is no
1773		further need according to the, the process that we
1774		went through with the daycare, which also has
1775		exposed the ceiling from the large play area. And
1776		there was no additional construction or anything to

1777		the ceiling.
1778	Lombardi:	What is your
1779	Heynen:	(UNINTELLIGIBLE).
1780	Lombardi:	Do you know what your ceiling condition is on the
1781		upper floor where the overnight boarding occurs? Is
1782		it hard (UNINTELLIGIBLE) ceiling? Is it acoustic?
1783		Or
1784	Heynen:	It, it is a vaulted how do I describe it? I mean,
1785		it's, it is hardwood covered with rolled shingle.
1786		And there to my knowledge beyond like the normal
1787		acoustical application over that on the inside,
1788		there's no (talking over).
1789	Lombardi:	And I understand this might be a, a difficult and
1790		somewhat technical question to ask. The reason why
1791		I'm asking is because as I'm sure you know, with
1792		the grading at that site, there's neighbors that
1793		are above, right? So, I'm, I'm making more about
1794		the noise transmission up through the roof than,
1795		than the walls.
1796	Heynen:	Sure. Yeah.
1797	Lombardi:	So, my, my hope would be that you would be open to
1798		and as you move forward that you'd be looking at
1799		acoustical treatments. In particular, making sure
1800		your ceiling is isolated. Would you have more of an

1801		open ceiling system, maybe there's some acoustical
1802		material that's applied right up onto that ceiling.
1803		Or if you have a, like , a drop ceiling or
1804		something that has some acoustical properties built
1805		into it although those aren't the prettiest things
1806		in my opinion.
1807	Heynen:	Yeah.
1808	Lombardi:	I know you're doing ventilation and other stuff.
1809		So, I would just hope that you would look at that.
1810		That's why I asked that question.
1811	Heynen:	And I appreciate your question. When we did build
1812		off the space, we had an acoustical expert working
1813		with us.
1814	Lombardi:	Okay.
1015		
1815	Heynen:	And their suggestion was application of sound
1815	Heynen:	And their suggestion was application of sound panels on the walls.
1816	Heynen: Lombardi:	
1816	_	panels on the walls.
1816 1817	Lombardi:	panels on the walls. Okay.
1816 1817 1818	Lombardi:	panels on the walls. Okay. With the way that barking noise would progress, it
1816 1817 1818 1819	Lombardi:	panels on the walls. Okay. With the way that barking noise would progress, it would be reverberating primarily off the walls
1816 1817 1818 1819 1820	Lombardi: Heynen:	panels on the walls. Okay. With the way that barking noise would progress, it would be reverberating primarily off the walls amplifying the sound in that direction.
1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821	Lombardi: Heynen: Lombardi:	panels on the walls. Okay. With the way that barking noise would progress, it would be reverberating primarily off the walls amplifying the sound in that direction. Of the kennels. Okay.

1837 | Heynen:

have an acquisition looking at this, it probably have a sense of (UNINTELLIGIBLE) construction. I know noise can go both ways. It is going to hit the wall first, but that was a concern I had. The other, other question I have and I'm really curious to hear as you've described with all the efforts you're making this is quite an undertaking. I mean, you already have a, have a business here. But understanding that there's a life span that this can occur, that you see this is a viable thing with the necessary construction and measures that are in place, you're... okay. And then...

Not, not only viable, it's, it's necessary for our, our business. We have looked at this space and we... when we negotiated a lease, we were informed that the, the application to demolish the building had been submitted, that it had been underway for a while. The landlord, you know, the owner couldn't tell us if it would be another five years, ten years. We signed a five-year lease with a five-year extension knowing that after three years, they could call us and say it's time to go. We did put significant money into renovating this space. It used to be a massage parlor or something like that.

1849		We put in over \$200,000 dollars to make this space
1850		suitable for the dogs and not only suitable, but
1851		probably the best place you'll find in town. And it
1852		is our desire to make this our flagship because we
1853		are looking to represent a type of service that we
1854		can offer to the community knowing that as we grow
1855		it, as we offer this service, it is a service that
1856		we would then need to move to the next place.
1857	Lombardi:	Okay.
1858	Heynen:	So, we don't want to wait.
1859	Lombardi:	And did you have it understood thank you. Did you
1860		have a date when you sign the five-year lease with
1861		a five-year extension approximate?
1862	Heynen:	May of 2022.
1863	Lombardi:	Okay. And you, you realize that with as a
1864		resolution you stated right now, you're going to
1865		have up to five years basically to
1866	Heynen:	We're hoping to have to.
1867	Lombardi:	(Talking over).
1868	Heynen:	If we have to, we can maybe make back some money
1869		to, you know, move to another spot in West
1870		Hollywood.
1871	Lombardi:	Understood. And then the other question I have
1872		relates to safety, safety of the dogs maybe as well

1873		as the employee. So, I was wondering, it's been a
1874		long time since I've had a pet or needed to board a
1875		pet, but it seems to me like if you have 40 plus
1876		animals that two people would be really helpful.
1877		And I say that because if somebody has an emergency
1878		and they have to leave, you know, something
1879		happens, right? Then who's going to cover? Also,
1880		for safety having two people there, you know,
1881		should they get in the situation having some
1882		support versus being alone with the animals. And
1883		so, I'm wondering if you would be amenable to
1884		requiring that there's two people.
1885	Heynen:	There are kind of significant costs impact on us
1886		especially when we're starting a business from
1887		scratch. You can imagine that in March, April, May,
1888		June, July, we may only be averaging two dogs a
1889		night, three, four.
1890	Lombardi:	Uh-huh (AFFIRMATIVE).
1891	Heynen:	That would essentially guarantee that we would be
1892		losing money on this business. And it would be
1893		unnecessary. To Commissioner Matos's question, I
1894		would be amenable if we get to the point where, you
1895		know, a significant number of dogs is present.
1896		Again, it takes time to build up to that type of

1897 business. And we do also have policies and procedures in place in anticipation of this 1898 1899 business, where we have, you know, folks that are 1900 close by, we have staff members that live down the 1901 street. There is the ability to call for somebody 1902 else to, to come. But we do recognize that there 1903 are some things if, if the worker themselves was in 1904 trouble, then, I mean, that could create some 1905 issues. But yeah, we can only do so much. 1906 Lombardi: So maybe a follow-up question, thank you for that 1907 answer, is there a number that ... so I, I guess I 1908 didn't really think about how the business model 1909 operates and that it may take a while to build up 1910 that number of, of, you know, people to be boarding 1911 pets. I... but I would think maybe that could happen 1912 pretty quickly given that you're established and 1913 have clients. So is there a number that is around 1914 that threshold that, you know, two makes sense. So, 1915 I totally get it. You got one or two dogs, two 1916 people, that's a lot especially asking someone to 1917 work over night. 1918 Heynen: Is there any established standard that is applicable to businesses that are currently 1919 1920 operating in West Hollywood that we could follow?

1921		Because I'm hesitant to say that a new standard
1922		should be created for us.
1923	Lombardi:	Yeah, that's a good question. I guess that's a
1924		question for staff. Maybe we could ask that.
1925		That's, that's actually my, my last question.
1926	Heynen:	I also would just invite my business partner, Andy,
1927		if you want to add anything. He, he's been running
1928		I mean, they regularly he's also an owner of other
1929		businesses. This while boarding is new to
1930		Stardogs, it is not new to us. And so, I just want
1931		to invite him to also comment.
1932	Jones:	I just want to be clear just quickly because I know
1933		that your time has actually expired
1934	Heynen:	Okay.
1935	Jones:	is this still in response to a question?
1936	Heynen:	Yes, to the overnight
1937	Jones:	You're welcome to speak during the rebuttal too.
1938	Heynen:	Yeah, just to the overnight requirements.
1939	Jones:	Okay, please.
1940	Wiltz:	Yeah, so I was going to talk. So, I own several
1941		doggy daycares and we do boarding at all of them
1942		except for Stardogs. And then I've got one other
1943		one where we don't have overnight boarding. And
1944		we've had, over the years, overnight staff. Because
	1	

what Michael is talking about with all of our dogs that are going to be boarding with us, they also participate in day play. The way we set up the schedule is all of the dogs get put up while there's more staff there. And because the dogs are playing all day long, they're actually tired and worn out. And so, during that overnight staff, they're not getting the dogs out and letting them be in play group and stuff when really most of the accidents happen. And you actually kind of ... you try to avoid being around the dogs overnight. More... we're obviously still in the building and still in the area, but you're not going around cleaning that room while the dogs are trying to sleep just so they can get a good night of sleep. So yes, there are things that can happen with the facility or with weather or with the individual. But we, we don't let the dogs interact with each other, which is usually the biggest issue that we could have if there's an individual there.

1965 || Lombardi:

Okay. Thank you.

1966 | Jones:

1967

1968

Great. Thank you. Any additional questions for the applicant at this time? Okay. I'm a bit remiss, I should have asked if we had any disclosures before

1969 we moved to the applicant's presentation. But 1970 before the public comment, I would like to give 1971 everyone an opportunity to disclose whether or not 1972 they met and/or discussed items contained in the 1973 staff report with the applicant. I do not have any 1974 disclosures for this item. Okay. Great. Thank you. 1975 All right. With that we're going to move into 1976 public comment. This will be an opportunity for the 1977 public to ask questions about the project and give 1978 their comments and feedback. David, do we have any 1979 public speakers? 1980 Gilliq: Chair, yes we do. We do have one public speaker 1981 that has requested to speak. If there is anybody 1982 left on the platform that would like to speak, 1983 please use the raise hand feature. Or if you're 1984 calling in, star 9 for me. Our first and only 1985 speaker at this time is Lynn Hoopengarner. Lynn, go 1986 ahead. You will have three minutes. 1987 Hoopengarner: Thank you, David. And hello again, commissioners. 1988 Just for the record, once again I did not receive 1989 notice for this hearing. Staff and legal has 1990 informed me that I live within 500 feet, yet it 1991 seems that for noticing purposes for every project 1992 at this location I do not live within 500 feet. I

2016

think... the applicant sounds like they have a really great business model and they've really thought through all of these items. But I do feel it's important to address what Commissioners Matos and Lombardi brought up about the nighttime staffing. And this is not a standard, but this... you're being asked to approve a conditional use permit which does go with the land. And so, as such, I think it would be appropriate to have a, a threshold after which a second caregiver would be required in the evening, and that you would modify... you called out the number. I forgot off the top of my head, that says that after, you know, 26 dogs or something that there is a second person in, in attendance in the evenings. As to the noise abatement, I, I think that this is... it sounds like I'm... unfortunately it wasn't in the staff report that sounds walls had already been installed. So that's a great... a great remediation. It does... didn't mention the, the "residents" in the "air quotes" of the Ramada Hotel. (UNINTELLIGIBLE). While the condos might be impacted, the hotel would also be impacted. So, I'd want to make sure that the noise that's going out the door on there isn't impacting the hotel in the

Gillig:

2038 || Jones:

2036

2037

2039

2040

neighborhood. I'm particularly curious as to the, the approvals for the development that you saw two weeks ago. And this was not discussed at any time that the fact that there was actually a five-year lease in place. And I'm curious as to what promises have been made to the app... to the applicant and the business owner from the developer regarding these timelines. Because I think it does play into all of this. It's an important business that we, we all need. I know many of you are dog owners or/and parents and partners. So, I just want to make sure that this is done as thoughtfully as possible. And those are my... and thank you for answering my questions about the TI improvements because you had, you do have a lot of sunk costs. And I would hate for this applicant to lose all of their sunk costs by all of a sudden losing their business. So, I'd like to just make sure that our business owners are as protected as our neighborhood. Thank you. Thank you, Lynn. And Chair, that is our last public speaker for this item. Great. Thank you very much. The applicant will have five minutes to rebut. You don't have to rebut, but you can use the time to rebut, or you can, you

2041		know, use the time to make any additional comments
2042		you might have before we close the public comment
2043		portion of the public hearing.
2044	Heynen:	I, I don't think we have any additional comments at
2045		this time.
2046	Jones:	Okay. Great. Thank you very much.
2047	Heynen:	Thanks.
2048	Jones:	Okay. With that, I'm going to close the public
2049		comment portion of the public hearing and we can
2050		move into deliberation at this time. Do I have
2051		someone who would like to speak first? Don't be
2052		shy. I'm not doing it, so I always go last as you
2053		know. Do we have a motion? Or do we want to
2054		discuss? Commissioner Lombardi, please go ahead.
2055	Lombardi:	Before we rush to a motion, I do have comments. So
2056	Jones:	Go for it.
2057	Lombardi:	One actually may be a question for, for staff to
2058		help with deliberation if that's appropriate. Can I
2059		ask that? I'm looking at Item 1.6. I guess I'm just
2060		trying to understand if this condition that we're
2061		asking for would be reviewed by this planning
2062		commission if it ever were to be extended, and it's
2063		temporary. And I think Item 1.6 covers that for
2064		maximum time period of up to 6 years. I just want

2065		to make sure I'm understanding what we're voting
2066		on. So, let's say the other project doesn't happen
2067		or this business were to leave, there's a maximum
2068		period this would come back to the planning
2069		commission again and we would make a decision on
2070		that conditional use permit. Is that correct as per
2071		1.6? Or does staff have any comment?
2072	Alkire:	Yeah, I can jump in. That condition is only if they
2073		don't commence the use. So, if, if the CUP isn't
2074		if they don't enact the use within a certain period
2075		of time, it expires. But once they start the use,
2076		then it can continue indefinitely until they close.
2077	Lombardi:	However okay, thank you. That's helpful. And then
2078		so moving up onto Item 1.5, it does note that
2079		there, there can be two or more extensions max on
2080		time period trying to approve permit that exceeds
2081		five years. So, is that just the permit? Or is that
2082		the maximum?
2083	Alkire:	Since they already have the use going, I would
2084		assume that they would be beginning the overnight
2085		boarding right away once this becomes effective.
2086		And so those two conditions are a sort of moot at
2087		that point because those are only those are only
2088		expiration of the of the CUP if the use does not
l	1	

2089		commence. Does that make sense?
2090	Lombardi:	Okay. Yeah, so if it doesn't commence, then anyone
2091		else has the right and then it, it has those terms
2092		upon does that make sense? These permits shall
2093		expire 36 months from the date of approval.
2094	Alkire:	Uh-huh (AFFIRMATIVE).
2095	Lombardi:	Unless they're doing construction on (talking
2096		over).
2097	Alkire:	Unless (talking over). Or the use authorized here
2098		by have commenced. So, once the youth commence,
2099		then the permit is effective and that doesn't apply
2100		anymore. It, it mostly comes
2101	Lombardi:	(Talking over).
2102	Alkire:	into play with construction projects, right?
2103	Lombardi:	Got it. Yes.
2104	Alkire:	Because it takes a while to get through plan and
2105		check and stuff like that. So, if this CUP went
2106		with a brand-new building, maybe it would take a
2107		while for them to start the use.
2108	Lombardi:	Understood.
2109	Alkire:	So, they only have that amount of time.
2110	Lombardi:	Thank you for that clarification. Okay. So, it
2111		really is, is anticipating construction delay or
2112		something like that. So, if the other project

2113		didn't happen, this could continue on. There's no
2114		real time limit. Thank you. I did make some notes
2115		about the, the dates of the drawing, so those might
2116		want to be cleaned up. But in terms of other
2117		questions, I think that was it, you know, in terms
2118		of deliberation since that's where we are. I'm
2119		generally in support of this, but I do wonder if we
2120		could maybe set a threshold. I wish there would be
2121		two, two employees pending to the overnight
2122		boarding. And I'm curious if other people on this
2123		commission have thoughts on that. And in terms of
2124		other, other comments, I think that's it. I'll
2125		check my notes again.
2126	Jones:	Commissioner Carvalheiro, please go ahead.
2127	Carvalheiro:	Yeah, Jennifer, I have a quick question again in
2128		regards to the CUP, because the public comment
2129		mentioned that it stays with a land that is what
2130		we're accustomed to. But I heard in our staff
2131		presentation that when this business stops, the CUP
2132		goes away. So, is did I hear that correctly or
2133		does it stay with the land?
2134	Alkire:	So, it does run with the land, but it's for that
2135		tenant space. So, when the building is demolished,
2136		then it

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

2137 | Carvalheiro: It goes away.

Alkire: ... goes away.

2139 | Carvalheiro: Okay. Correct. Yeah, I'm in support of this

project. I... when you look at the plans, there's a good buffer between the daytime play area and the people behind you. And there's so many doorways between open play area and the Ramada that I can't imagine noise ever getting to the Ramada or getting... or arriving at the condos, especially when you have a sleeping area on the upper floor and the lower ever... lower areas basically in the hillside. I, you know, and at nighttime, they'll be sleeping unless there's something really strange happens. I can't imagine there being any noise issues. In regards to the drawing dates, I just want to let everybody know that typically, like, when we up... when we update a page, we don't update the date on the entire set we just update the date on that one page. So often you'll go to a drawing set and see multiple dates on, on a single set because we typically only update the date on the day that sheet is updated. And that keeps it all... that's how we've done it typically. So, it's not that we don't have the must current drawings, it could be that

2161		that's how this drawing was how this package was
2162		developed. And I'm I, I mean, I'm very familiar
2163		with these types of facilities and I know how these
2164		door all these door systems work and most of these
2165		facilities, when you walk into that entry check-in
2166		area, you can barely hear the play area. So, I'm,
2167		I'm really okay with the noise thing. I know that
2168		the, they sound very professional. They sound very
2169		responsible and (UNINTELLIGIBLE) yeah, I'm in
2170		support of this project. I'm not keen on the
2171		telling them how to run their business at nighttime
2172		and having a threshold for two people, especially
2173		given what they what they have said in terms of
2174		the difficulty if they only have two or three dogs.
2175		As I, I trust them that they would put the right
2176		amount of people because if they didn't have the
2177		right amount of people up there on the second floor
2178		during nighttime, they would probably lose business
2179		and it would be an issue. So yeah, that's where I
2180		stand with the project.
2181	Jones:	Great. Thank you very much, Commissioner
2182		Carvalheiro. Commissioner Matos?
2183	Matos:	Thank you.
2184	Jones:	I saw you on mute.

Matos:

2185

2186

2187

2188

2189

2190

2191

2192

2193

2194

2195

2196

2197

2198

2199

2200

2201

2202

2203

2204

2205

2206

2207

2208

Chair Jones. Yeah, I'm also supportive of this. I think, you know, it's going to be a great resource to the community. I think the applicant did a great job at outlining, and the staff as well did a great job in outlining the critical components of this and how, you know, noise and smells and different factions would be mitigated. You know, I was inclined, you know, to consider the addition of a, you know, or a requirement for a second person if they were at or near capacity just for the sake of an emergency situation or, you know, just staffing reasons. But it sounds like, you know, the applicant is saying that that would be an issue for the business model and I am sensitive to that. It sounds like the dogs, you know, are going to be contained in their enclosures at night, you know. I'm, I'm hesitant to deploy the condition, but I would be open to it if it were, like, at a high capacity. Like, if they're at full capacity, they should probably have two people. But at the end of the day, that's I guess a business decision and we can... I would support, you know, a condition for if they are at capacity they should have two people. But I'm also happy to move it forward as is.

	I .					
2209	Jones:	Great. Thanks, Commissioner Matos. Additional				
2210		comments?				
2211	Carvalheiro:	I'd like to make a motion to approve this project				
2212		according to the staff report.				
2213	Thomas:	I'll second.				
2214	Jones:	Commissioner Lombardi, please go ahead.				
2215	Lombardi:	I was going to say I would second it. So				
2216	Jones:	Okay.				
2217	Lombardi:	I guess, Chair Jones, you get to pick who seconds				
2218		it. The only thing I was going to add that would				
2219		add, not to get hung up on the dates, but Item 2.3,				
2220		it says that the plans date stands February $2^{\rm nd}$.				
2221		So, I trust that staff can clean that up to an				
2222		appropriate date.				
2223	Rosen:	And to, to Commissioner Lombardi's point too, I				
2224		just want to note and confirm with the motion				
2225		makers that the staff's recommendation will include				
2226		the modification that Roger mentioned off the top				
2227		to the resolution section two to change February				
2228		2 nd , 2022, to February 2 nd , 2023.				
2229	Jones:	Great. Thank you. I don't want to stifle				
2230		discussion, but we have a motion and a second on				
2231		the floor. Are we ready to call a vote?				
2232	Gillig:	Motion by Commissioner Carvalheiro, seconded by				

2233		Vice-Chair Thomas. Commissioner Matos?			
2234	Matos:	Yes.			
2235	Gillig:	Commissioner Lombardi?			
2236	Lombardi:	Yes.			
2237	Gillig:	Commissioner Carvalheiro?			
2238	Carvalheiro:	Yes.			
2239	Gillig:	Vice-Chair Thomas?			
2240	Thomas:	Yes.			
2241	Gillig:	Chair Jones?			
2242	Jones:	Yes.			
2243	Gillig:	And the motion carries as amended noting five			
2244		"ayes", and Commissioner Copeland as absent and			
2245		Commissioner Gregoire as recused on this item. And			
2246		we do have an appeal process. The resolution the			
2247		Planning Commission just approved moralizes the			
2248		commission's final action on this matter. This			
2249		action is subject to appeal to the city council.			
2250		Appeals must be submitted within 10 calendar days			
2251		from this date to the City Clerk's Office. Appeals			
2252		must be in writing and accompanied by the required			
2253		fees. The City Clerk's Office can provide appeal			
2254		forms and information about waiver of fees.			
2255	Jones:	Great. Thank you very much, David. All right.			
2256		Moving right along. So again, because we moved			

2257		things around, we will move to Item 12 which is
2258		Unfinished Business. There is none. Item 13 is
2259		Excluded Consent Calendar, there is none. We've
2260		already covered Item 14. Item 15 is Public Comment.
2261		David, do we have any public speakers at this
2262	Gillig:	I received no public requests to speak on this
2263		item. We have a few people left. If anybody would
2264		like to make a comment, you have three minutes. Use
2265		the raised hand feature in the Zoom or star 9. And
2266		Chair, we are all clear for this item.
2267	Jones:	Great. Thank you very much. Item 16 is Items from
2268		Commissioners. Do we have any comments from
2269		commissioners? Commissioner Lombardi?
2270	Lombardi:	Just really quickly, thank you everyone for
2271		productive discussions today. And just wanted to
2272		say, I feel we're in good hands with Commissioner
2273		Carvalheiro. I'm glad that you're continuing to
2274		participate on the new Ad Hoc Committee for the
2275		City Playhouse Design.
2276	Carvalheiro:	Thank you.
2277	Jones:	Any additional comments from commissioners at this
2278		time? Okay. If not, I'm going to thank everyone for
2279		coming this evening. And I will move to adjourn us.
2280		We will adjourn to a regularly scheduled meeting on

2281		Thursday, February 16 th at 6:30 PM. I do want to
2282		confirm with David, David, will the next meeting
2283		also be virtual?
2284	Gillig:	Yes, it will be virtual. It has already everything
2285		has already been legally noticed, so it has to be
2286		on virtual.
2287	Jones:	All right. Excellent. Thank you very much. I will
2288		see all of you at our next meeting, I hope. Thank
2289		you very much for coming everyone. Goodnight.
2290	Alkire:	Thank you. Goodnight.
2291	Carvalheiro:	Goodnight.
2292	Lombardi:	Bye.
2293		

Planning Commission Minutes February 2, 2023 Page 97 of 98

2294	PASSED, APPROVED A	AND ADOPTED	by the Plann	ing Commiss	sion of the				
2295	City of West Hollywood at a regular meeting held this $6^{\rm th}$ day of								
2296	April, 2023 by the following vote:								
2297									
2298	AYES: Commiss	ioner: Carv	alheiro, Gr	egoire, Lor	mbardi,				
2299		Mato	os, Vice-Cha	ir Thomas,	Chair				
2300		Jone	es.						
2301									
2302	NOES: Commiss	ioner: None	·						
2303									
2304	ABSENT: Commiss	ioner: None	2 •						
2305									
2306	ABSTAIN: Commiss	ioner: Cope	eland.						
2307			Mara F	· M					
2308		_0	0	000					
2309		STAC	CEY E. JONES	, CHAIRPERS	SON				
2310	ATTEST:								
2311									
2312									
2313									
2314	6	10							
2315		ella.							
2316	DAVID K. GILLIG, COMMIS	SSION SECRETA	ARY						
2317	,								

Page 98 of 98



worldwide transcription services

CERTIFICATION BY TRANSCRIBER

I, Gabriel Salinas, hereby declare as follows:

I am located at 5837B E. Los Angeles Avenue, Somis, California 93066. I am the person who transcribed the foregoing Planning Commission audio February 02, 2023.

I have transcribed this transcript to the best of my ability and certify that this written transcript is a true and accurate account thereof. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties in the foregoing matter or in any way interested in the outcome of the matter set forth in this transcript.

EXECUTED this 8th day of February 2022, at Somis, California.

Gabriel Salinas

Gabriel Salinas
WRITTEN COMMUNICATION, INC.