8.0 RESPONSES to COMMENTS on the RECIRCULATED DRAFT EIR

This section includes the written comments received during recirculation of the Draft Environmental Impact Report ("Recirculated Draft EIR") prepared for the 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-use Project and responses to those comments.

The Recirculated Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review period that began on October 14, 2021 and concluded on November 29, 2021. The City received 29 written comment letters on the Recirculated Draft EIR. During the comment period, the City held hearings on the Recirculated Draft EIR before the Transportation Commission on November 17, 2021 and before the Planning Commission on November 18, 2021.

Section 8.1 provides the written comment letters received and responses to those comments. Section 8.2 contains a summary of the verbal comments received during the two public hearings that occurred during the Recirculated Draft EIR comment period and written responses to CEQA-comments received at the hearings.

8.1 Comments and Responses - Letters and Emails

Written responses to each comment letter received on the Recirculated Draft EIR are provided in this chapter. Comment letters are provided in their entirety. The commenter and the page number on which each commenter's letter appears are listed in the table below. The comment letters and responses follow. Each comment letter has been numbered sequentially and each separate issue raised by the commenter has been assigned a number. The responses to each comment identify first the number of the comment letter, and then the number assigned to each issue (Response 1.1, for example, indicates that the response is for the first issue raised in comment Letter 1).

| | | r ugo nor | | |
|--------|---|-----------|--|--|
| Agency | | | | |
| 1. | Los Angeles County Fire Department | 8-3 | | |
| 2. | Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department | 8-10 | | |
| 3. | Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts | 8-20 | | |
| Public | | | | |
| 4. | Richard Drury, Molly Greene, and Stacey Osborne | 8-25 | | |
| 5. | Johnny Jong | 8-28 | | |
| 6. | Michael O'Reilly | 8-30 | | |
| 7. | Abundant Housing LA | 8-34 | | |
| 8. | Mr. Greenberg | 8-36 | | |
| 9. | Binnur Karaevli | 8-38 | | |
| 10. | Binnur Karaevli | 8-42 | | |
| 11. | Linda Russ | 8-48 | | |
| 12. | Adam Koffman | 8-50 | | |

Letter No. and Commenter Page No

| Letter No. and Commenter | Page No. |
|--------------------------|----------|
| 13. Sam Borelli | 8-69 |
| 14. Kyle McNally | 8-71 |
| 15. Timothy Milius | 8-73 |
| 16. Michail Sykianakis | 8-75 |
| 17. Adam Koffman | 8-77 |
| 18. Mitchell M. Tsai | 8-82 |
| 19. Cam T. | 8-357 |
| 20. Binnur Karaevli | 8-359 |
| 21. Mark E. Lehman | 8-361 |
| 22. Justin D. | 8-367 |
| 23. Lynn Russell | 8-369 |
| 24. Mark Hughes | 8-371 |
| 25. Michael Lombardi | 8-373 |
| 26. Richard Drury | 8-378 |
| 27. Adam Koffman | 8-380 |
| 28. Jamie T. Hall | 8-409 |
| 29. Mitchell M. Tsai | 8-433 |

Letter No. and Commenter



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

FIRE DEPARTMENT

1320 NORTH EASTERN AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90063-3294 (323) 881-2401 www.fire.lacounty.gov

"Proud Protectors of Life, Property, and the Environment"

DARYL L. OSBY FIRE CHIEF FORESTER & FIRE WARDEN

| $\left(\right)$ | Letter 1 | |
|------------------|----------|--|
| $\overline{\ }$ | \smile | |

November 4, 2021

Laurie Yelton, Associate Planner City of West Hollywood Department of Planning and Development Services 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069

Dear Ms. Yelton:

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, "8555 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD MIXED-USE PROJECT," WOULD INVOLVE THE DEMOLITION OF THE THREE EXISTING TWO-STORY COMMERCIAL STRUCTURES AS WELL AS FOUR EXISTING ONE-STORY SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCES AND SURFACE PARKING AREAS, AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT ON THE SAME SITE, LOCATED AT 8555 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD, WEST HOLLYWOOD, FFER 2021010817

The Notice of Availability of a Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report has been reviewed by the Planning Division, Land Development Unit, Forestry Division, and Health Hazardous Materials Division of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department.

The following are their comments:

PLANNING DIVISION:

We have not comments.

For any questions regarding this response, please contact Kien Chin, Planning Analyst, at (323) 881-2404 or Kien.Chin@fire.lacounty.gov.

AGOURA HILLS ARTESIA AZUSA BALDWIN PARK BELL BELL GARDENS BELLFLOWER BRADBURY CALABASAS

1

CARSON CERRITOS CLAREMONT COMMERCE COVINA CUDAHY DIAMOND BAR DUARTE

SERVING THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND THE CITIES OF: GLENDORA HAWAIIAN GARDENS HAWTHORNE HERMOSA BEACH HIDDEN HILLS HUNTINGTON PARK

EL MONTE

GARDENA

INDUSTRY

INGLEWOOD **IRWINDALE** LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE I A HARRA LA MIRADA LA PUENTE LAKEWOOD LANCASTER

8-3

LAWNDALE LOMITA LYNWOOD MALIBU MAYWOOD NORWALK PALMDALE PALOS VERDES ESTATES PARAMOUNT

PICO RIVERA POMONA RANCHO PALOS VERDES ROLLING HILLS ROLLING HILLS ESTATES ROSEMEAD SAN DIMAS SANTA CLARITA

SIGNAL HILL SOUTH EL MONTE SOUTH GATE TEMPLE CITY VERNON WALNUT WEST HOLLYWOOD WESTLAKE VILLAGE WHITTIER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

HILDA L. SOLIS FIRST DISTRICT

HOLLY J. MITCHELL SECOND DISTRICT

> SHEILA KUEHL THIRD DISTRICT

JANICE HAHN FOURTH DISTRICT

KATHRYN BARGER FIFTH DISTRICT

Laurie Yelton, Associate Planner November 4, 2021 Page 2

LAND DEVELOPMENT UNIT:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 1. The development of this project shall comply with all applicable code and ordinance requirements for construction, access, water main, fire flows, and fire hydrants.
 - 2. Every building constructed shall be accessible to Fire Department apparatus by way of access roadways with an all-weather surface of not less than the prescribed width. The roadway shall be extended to within 150 feet of all portions of the exterior walls when measured by an approved route around the exterior of the building. See Chapter 5 of the County of Los Angeles Fire Code for specific requirements.
 - 3. When involved with subdivision in a city contracting fire protection with the County of Los Angeles Fire Department, Fire Department requirements for access, fire flow, and hydrants are addressed during the subdivision tentative map stage.
 - 4. The proposed development shall provide adequate water for firefighting purposes and comply with Appendix B and Appendix C of the County of Los Angeles Fire Code. Actual fire flow for the proposed development shall be established upon submittal of the Tentative Map/Building Plans for Fire Department review.
 - Fire Hydrant Spacing shall comply with Appendix C of the County of Los Angeles Fire Code.
 - 6. Fire hydrant spacing shall be 300 feet and shall meet the following requirements:
 - a. No portion of the lot frontage shall be more than 200 feet via vehicular access from a public fire hydrant.
 - b. No portion of a building shall exceed 400 feet via vehicular access from a properly spaced public fire hydrant.
 - c. Additional hydrants may be required if hydrant spacing exceeds specified distances.
 - 7. Disruptions to water service shall be coordinated with the County of Los Angeles Fire Department and alternate water sources shall be provided for fire protection during such disruptions.

The County of Los Angeles Fire Department's Land Development Unit comments are only general requirements. Specific fire and life safety requirements will be addressed at the building and fire plan check phase. There may be additional requirements during this time.

The County of Los Angeles Fire Department's Fire Prevention, Land Development Unit appreciates the opportunity to comment on this project. Should any questions arise regarding subdivision, water systems, or access, please contact the County of Los Angeles Fire Department Land Development Unit's, Inspector Nancy Rodeheffer at (323) 890-4243.

Laurie Yelton, Associate Planner November 4, 2021 Page 3

FORESTRY DIVISION - OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS:

The statutory responsibilities of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department's Forestry Division include erosion control, watershed management, rare and endangered species, vegetation, fuel modification for Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones, archeological and cultural resources, and the County Oak Tree Ordinance. Potential impacts in these areas should be addressed.

Under the Los Angeles County Oak tree Ordinance, a permit is required to cut, destroy, remove, relocate, inflict damage, or encroach into the protected zone of any tree of the Oak genus which is 25 inches or more in circumference (eight inches in diameter), as measured 4 1/2 feet above mean natural grade.

If Oak trees are known to exist in the proposed project area further field studies should be conducted to determine the presence of this species on the project site.

10 The County of Los Angeles Fire Department's Forestry Division has no further comments regarding this project.

For any questions regarding this response, please contact Forestry Assistant, Nicholas Alegria at (818) 890-5719.

HEALTH HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DIVISION:

The Health Hazardous Materials Division of the Los Angeles County Fire Department has no comments or requirements for the project at this time.

Please contact HHMD senior typist-clerk, Perla Garcia at (323) 890-4035 or <u>Perla.garcia@fire.lacounty.gov</u> if you have any questions.

If you have any additional questions, please contact this office at (323) 890-4330.

Very truly yours,

Rould

RONALD M. DURBIN, CHIEF, FORESTRY DIVISION PREVENTION SERVICES BUREAU

RMD:ac

COMMENTER: Ronald M. Durbin, Chief, Forestry Division, Prevention Services Bureau, County of Los Angeles Fire Department

DATE: November 4, 2021

Response 1.1

The commenter states that the Recirculated Draft EIR has been reviewed by the Planning Division, Land Development Unit, Forestry Division, and Health Hazardous Materials Division of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department. The commenter states that the Planning Division has no comments on the Recirculated Draft EIR.

The comment is noted. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required. Please see responses 1.2 through 1.11 for responses to specific comments from other Divisions.

Response 1.2

The commenter states that the development of this project must comply with all applicable code and ordinance requirements for construction, access, water mains, fire flows, and fire hydrants.

As identified in Section 14.04.010 of the Municipal Code, the City of West Hollywood has adopted the 2020 Los Angeles County Title 32 (Fire Code), an amended California Fire Code (2019 edition), and an amended International Fire Code (2018 edition). The City's Fire Code is based on the Los Angeles County Fire Code supplemented by the other fire codes identified. The Fire Code contains regulations related to construction, maintenance and design of buildings and land uses. The proposed project has been designed to comply with applicable Fire Code requirements. The County Fire Department would review and approve all project plans as part of the City's building permit review and plan check process to ensure compliance.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.3

The commenter states that every building constructed must be accessible to Fire Department apparatus by way of access roadways with an all-weather surface of not less than the prescribed width. The commenter also states the roadway must be extended to within 150 feet of all portions of the exterior walls when measured by an unobstructed route around the exterior of the building.

Please see Response 1.2 regarding compliance with applicable Fire Code requirements. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated

Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.4

The commenter states that when involved with subdivision in a city contracting fire protection with the County of Los Angeles Fire Department, Fire Department requirements for access, fire flows, and hydrants are addressed during the subdivision tentative map stage.

The project does not involve a subdivision. Please see Response 1.2 regarding compliance with applicable Fire Code requirements. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.5

The commenter states that the development must provide adequate water for firefighting purposes, in compliance with Appendix B and Appendix C of the County of Los Angeles Fire Code. The commenter also states that Actual fire flow for the proposed development will be established upon submittal of the Tentative Map and Building Plans for Fire Department review.

Please see Response 1.2 regarding compliance with applicable Fire Code requirements. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.6

The commenter states that fire hydrant spacing must comply with Appendix C of the County of Los Angeles Fire Code and fire hydrant spacing must be 300 feet and that the project must meet the following requirements:

- No portion of lot frontage shall be more than 200 feet via vehicular access from a public fire hydrant.
- No portion of a building shall exceed 400 feet via vehicular access from a properly space public fire hydrant.
- Additional hydrants will be required if hydrant spacing exceeds specified distances.

Fire hydrants are located at the southwest corner of the project site in the Santa Monica Boulevard sidewalk and across West Knoll Drive from the project site in the sidewalk in front of 8525 Santa Monica Boulevard approximately 75 feet east of the site. Please also see Response 1.2 regarding compliance with applicable Fire Code requirements. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.7

The commenter states that disruptions to water service must be coordinated with the County of Los Angeles Fire Department and alternative water sources shall be provided for fire protection during such disruptions.

No disruptions to water service are anticipated. Nonetheless, as discussed in Response 1.2, the project will comply with all applicable Fire Code requirements. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.8

The commenter states comments provided are general and specific fire and life safety requirements will be addressed at the building and fire plan check phase and there may be additional requirements during this time.

The project applicant would comply with applicable requirements during the construction phase. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.9

The commenter states the statutory responsibilities of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department's Forestry Division include erosion control, watershed management, rare and endangered species, vegetation, fuel modification for Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones or Fire Zone 4, archeological and cultural resources, and the County Oak Tree Ordinance and potential impacts in these areas should be addressed.

Impacts associated with erosion, watersheds, wildlife, fire hazards, and cultural resources are addressed in Sections IV (Biological Resources), V (Cultural Resources), IX (Hazards and Hazardous Materials) and X (Hydrology and Water Quality) of the Initial Study included in Appendix A of the EIR and were found to be less than significant or less than significant with mitigation incorporated. The proposed project is in the City of West Hollywood and would not be subject to the County's Oak Tree Ordinance. There are no oak trees present on the project site. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the

Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 1.10

The commenter states that the Los Angeles County Fire Department's Forestry Division has no further comments regarding the project.

This is noted. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required. Please see the responses to comments 1.2 through 1.9.

Response 1.11

The commenter states that the Los Angeles County Fire Department's Health Hazardous Materials Division has no comments or requirements for the project at this time.

This is noted. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required. Please see the responses to comments 1.2 through 1.9.



OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

Letter 2

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES HATELOF JUSTICE



ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF

November 18, 2021

Laurie Yelton, Associate Planner City of West Hollywood Planning & Development Services Department 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard, West Hollywood, California 90069-6216

Dear Ms. Yelton:

1

REVIEW COMMENTS NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT 8555 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD MIXED-USE PROJECT

Thank you for inviting the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (Department) to review and comment on the October 2021 Notice of Availability (NOA) of a Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report (Recirculated DEIR) for the 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project (Project). The proposed Project, a mixed-use commercial and residential development located at 8527-8555 Santa Monica Boulevard and 8532, 8538, 8546, and 8552 West Knoll Drive in the City of West Hollywood (City), involves the development of 111 apartment units (17 of which would be designated as affordable housing), 3,983 square feet of restaurant and café uses, 12-unit 15,494-square-foot live/work use, 14,488 square feet of retail space, a 3,643 square-foot hair salon, and 6,711 square feet of creative office space. The proposed Project would also include three levels of parking with 346 vehicle parking spaces, which is 10 spaces less than required number of parking spaces for the proposed Project, and 133 bicycle parking spaces. One level of the parking structure would be fully subterranean while first and mezzanine levels would be partially subterranean.

The Department recommends that the general principles of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) are incorporated in the design plans. The goal of CPTED is to reduce opportunities for criminal activities by employing physical design features that discourage anti-social behavior, while encouraging the legitimate use of the site. The overall tenets of CPTED include defensible space, territoriality, surveillance, lighting, landscaping, and physical security.

211 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012

A Tradition of Service - Since 1850 -8-10

Ms. Yelton

1, cont. With advanced notice, Station personnel can be available to discuss CPTED with the Project developer.

A Construction Traffic Management Plan should also be established as part of the proposed Project to address construction-related traffic congestion and emergency access issues. If temporary lane closures are necessary for the installation of utilities, emergency access should be maintained at all times. Flag persons and/or detours should be provided as needed to ensure safe traffic operations, and construction signs should be posted to advise motorists of reduced construction zone speed limits.

The proposed Project is located within the service area of the Department's West Hollywood Sheriff's Station (Station). Accordingly, the Station reviewed the Recirculated DEIR and authored the attached review comments (see correspondence dated November 18, 2021, from Captain Edward C. Ramirez).

Also, for future reference, the Department provides the following updated address and contact information for all requests for review comments, law enforcement service information, California Environmental Quality Act documents, and other related correspondence:

> Tracey Jue, Director Facilities Planning Bureau Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department 211 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Attention: Planning Section

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (323) 526-5657, or your staff may contact Ms. Rochelle Campomanes of my staff, at (323) 526-5614.

Sincerely,

ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF

Tracey Jue, Director Facilities Planning Bureau

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

"A Tradition of Service Since 1850"

OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE: November 18, 2021 FILE NO:

FROM:

EDWARD C. RAMIREZ, CAPTAIN WEST HOLLYWOOD STATION

TO: TRACY JUE, DIRECTOR FACILITIES PLANNING BUREAU

SUBJECT: REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A RECIRCULATED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE 8555 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD PROJECT

As requested by Facilities Planning Bureau (FPB), the West Hollywood Station (Station) of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department (Department) reviewed the Notice of Availability (NOA) of a Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report (Recirculated DEIR) for the 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Project (Project). The proposed Project, a mixed-use commercial and residential development located at 8527-8555 Santa Monica Boulevard and 8532, 8538, 8546, and 8552 West Knoll Drive in the City of West Hollywood (City), involves the development of 111 apartment units (17 of which would be designated as affordable housing), 3,983 square feet of restaurant and café uses, 12-unit 15,494-square-foot live/work use, 14,488 square feet of retail space, a 3,643 square-foot hair salon, and 6,711 square feet of creative office space. The proposed Project would also include three levels of parking with 346 vehicle parking spaces, which is 10 spaces less than required number of parking spaces for the proposed Project, and 133 bicycle parking spaces. One level of the parking structure would be fully subterranean while first and mezzanine levels would be partially subterranean.

Upon review of the Recirculated DEIR, the proposed Project's buildout now encompasses approximately 1.4 acres, a slight increase from 1.27 acres while its parking garage increased from three levels to 4

subterranean/partially subterranean parking levels, resulting in a slight increase in the number of parking spaces from 337 to 346. In addition, approximately 181 residents and 85 employees are expected to occupy the proposed Project anticipated to be fully operational in 2035 per Recirculated DEIR Section 5.1 on pages 5-1 - 5-2. This would be within the Southern California Association of Government's growth forecasts and within the City's General Plan population forecast. The Station generally concurs with this assessment because these net increases in Project-related population are 4, cont. relatively minimal. The slight increases in both proposed Project's physical parameters and the anticipated growth in resident, daytime and evening population would have less than significant impact to our law enforcement services.

Recirculated DEIR Section 4.6 analyzed the potential impacts of the proposed Project to the local transportation and circulation systems. Eleven streets (4 arterial streets, 2 collector streets, and 5 local streets) that serve the proposed Project site were identified, studied and analyzed. Most of the land use identified for the proposed Project summarized in Table 4.6-2 on page 4.6-8 of the Recirculated DEIR would incrementally increase traffic levels and the proposed Project would generate an estimated 838 New Net Weekday Daily Trips under existing-plus-project conditions. However, the amount of traffic added to these streets would not exceed established thresholds, thus, impacts would be less than significant. As indicated on Recirculated DEIR Section 4.6 also concluded that the proposed Project would not disrupt existing or planned transit, roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities, or conflict with applicable program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing transportation and circulation.

The Station does not dispute the information or findings stated in the Recirculated DEIR. However, the Station remains concerned that continued growth and intensification of multi-use land uses within the service area will ultimately contribute to significant cumulative impacts from this Project and other developments within the City on our Department resources and operations. It is reasonable to expect that continued development will lead to a significant increase in the demand for law enforcement services. Meeting such demands require additional resources, including patrol deputies, other sworn deputies, support personnel, and attendant assets, such as patrol vehicles, support vehicles, communications equipment, weaponry, office furnishings/equipment, etc.

As mentioned in our previous letter dated August 25, 2017 during Draft EIR phase for the Project, the Station remains concerned with the potential vandalism and more importantly, burglaries at the proposed Project site. Construction sites are a haven for potential criminal activities due to presence of equipment, tools, materials such as copper, etc. Therefore the proposed Project site may require extra patrol checks and/or deputy/personnel for security purposes during "off-hours." The Station recommends a collaborative partnership be coordinated with the City, public safety agencies, and the Project Developer to establish strong traffic and security plans be developed to address these potential issues. Since the potential impacts to emergency access were not discussed in the

5

6

7, cont. Recirculated DEIR, the implementation of Construction Mitigation Plan should be included.

Also, the Station reviewed the March 2021 drawings prepared by DFH Architects (DFH) located in Appendix B of the Recirculated DEIR and provided review comments on the attached DFH's drawings in addition to the following comments:

1. Special Protection Requirements or Recommendations:

- a. The proposed Project will benefit from a landscaping maintenance program that would minimize opportunities for individuals to hide. The surrounding areas have experienced an increase in the amount of homeless persons loitering on the streets and sleeping encampments, and improvements deterring this practice would be beneficial. The Station also recommends limiting the height of hedge-type plants around security gates to allow visibility from the street.
- b. The Station recommends the installation of security cameras and building lights with motion sensors. It is also recommended that appropriate gate hardware such as keypad/keycard access, automatic gate closer, and tire spike strips to limit unauthorized access and for easy monitoring be implemented. In addition, proposed locations of exterior building security cameras shall be located in areas where they can adequately identify vehicle license plates upon entry/exit into the proposed Project with adequate lighting to enhance visibility. The Station also recommends installation of security cameras inside the building at each level's entry/exit points, at the elevators, and at the stairwells in addition to interior keypad/keycard access.
- c. The Station reviewed site plans provided by DFH, which appears to indicate that there are no streetlights and no parking lot lights to be installed at the proposed Project. We recommend the installation of low-level site security lighting throughout the site as required.
- d. The Station also recommends the installation of video monitoring system.

At this time, the Station has no further comments on the proposed Project. However, the Station reserves the right to amend or supplement our

8, cont.

assessment upon subsequent reviews of the proposed Project once additional information becomes available.

Thank you for including the Station in the review process for the proposed Project. Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Rochelle Campomanes, Departmental Facilities Planner I, at (323) 526-5614, of our Facilities Planning Bureau.

ECR:WM:wm

COMMENTER: Tracey Jue, Director, Facilities Planning Bureau, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and Edward C. Ramirez, Captain, West Hollywood Station, County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department

DATE: November 18, 2021

Response 2.1

The commenter recommends incorporation of the general principles of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) into the design plans to reduce opportunities for criminal activities by using certain physical design features.

The proposed project includes conditions of approval to address design and public safety, including Condition 11.1 which states:

- 11.1) Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall submit to the Public Safety Officer a public safety and security plan for the project. The following design and security measures should be considered as appropriate in the security plan:
 - a) alarms and surveillance cameras;
 - b) security lighting;
 - c) on-site security personnel;
 - d) light colored paint for interiors of the parking structure;
 - e) clear and identifiable address indicators;
 - f) avoidance of visually isolated pockets within the parking areas.
 - (Public Safety)

In addition, the proposed project design plans would be reviewed by the City Department of Building and Safety for Building Code compliance and to ensure project design meets applicable safety standards.

Response 2.2

The commenter states that a Construction Traffic Management Plan should be established to address construction-related traffic congestion and emergency access issues.

Per the conditions of approval for the project, a Construction Period Mitigation Plan is required to be prepared by the applicant and submitted to the Building Official, City Engineer, and Planning and Development Services Director for approval prior to issuance of building permit. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 2.3

The commenter provides updated contact information and states the proposed project is within the service area of the Department's West Hollywood Station and that, accordingly, the West Hollywood Station reviewed the Recirculated Draft EIR for the project. The commenter attaches a letter from Captain Edward C. Ramirez dated November 18, 2021.

See responses 2.4 through 2.8 for responses to specific comments raised in the November 18, 2021 letter.

Response 2.4

The commenter states concurrence with the Recirculated Draft EIR conclusion that the project's estimated increase in population density would be less than significant, as the estimated increase of persons is within the Southern California Association of Governments and City of West Hollywood population forecast, and "the net increases in project-related population are relatively minimal." The commenter agrees that the increases in the project's physical parameters and the anticipated growth in resident population would have a less than significant impact to law enforcement services.

The commenter accurately describes the project and changes to the project and notes that they agree with the conclusions of the Recirculated Draft EIR. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 2.5

The commenter summarizes the findings of the Recirculated Draft EIR in Section 4.6, *Transportation*, noting that the project would incrementally increase traffic but would not exceed established thresholds, therefore, impacts would be less than significant. The commenter states that the project would not disrupt or conflict with applicable transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities or plans and impacts would be less than significant.

As noted in Section 4.6, *Transportation*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, SB 743 eliminated level of service ("LOS") as a basis for determining significant transportation impacts under CEQA and provides a new performance metric, vehicle miles traveled ("VMT"). The analysis in the Recirculated Draft EIR is based on the City of West Hollywood's adopted VMT Guidelines and the CEQA Guidelines Appendix G checklist questions. All impacts were found to be less than significant. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 2.6

The commenter states that the station does not dispute the information or findings stated in the Recirculated Draft EIR. The commenter also states concern that continued development in West Hollywood will ultimately contribute to a cumulative impact on the station's ability to maintain adequate levels of law enforcement services. The commenter states that meeting such demands will require additional resources, including deputies, support personnel, and attendant assets.

As discussed in Section XV, Public Services, of the Initial Study included in Appendix A of the Draft EIR, the proposed project would be within the growth projections contained in the City's General Plan. The City's General Plan EIR found that impacts related to police protection services would be less than significant with implementation of proposed General Plan policies and required mitigation measures. The mitigation measures required the City to conduct activities to ensure proper police protection levels, such as updating the City's assessment of impacts of new development on policy services, coordinating with service providers during the Capital Improvement Program process, establishing a public safety impact fee, updating the West Hollywood Emergency Management Plan, continuing public education programs, establishing communication protocols, support neighborhood watch programs, and creating design recommendations for "eyes on the street." The City is implementing these required mitigation measures. The proposed project and all other projects in the City must comply with the City's requirements and procedures for ensuring that proper public services are provided. Because the project is consistent with the General Plan, it would not contribute to a significant cumulative impact. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 2.7

The commenter states concern about potential vandalism and burglaries at the project site, especially during construction. The commenter also states that the proposed project site may require extra patrol checks and/or deputy personnel for security purposes during "off-hours". The commenter recommends coordination of a collaborative partnership with the city, public safety, and the developer, to ensure strong traffic and security plans are developed to address these issues. The commenter also states that implementation of a Construction Mitigation Plan should be included.

As discussed in Responses 2.1 and 2.2, the applicant would be required to prepare a public safety and security plan and a Construction Period Mitigation Plan (CTMP). The plan must describe construction-period security measures, including any fencing, lighting, and security personnel and would be reviewed and approved by the City prior to start of construction. The City will continue to coordinate with the West Hollywood Station to minimize vandalism and burglaries at all construction sites. The City will also encourage the applicant to work with the West Hollywood Station to implement appropriate techniques to deter vandalism and burglary.

Emergency access and emergency response and evacuation were addressed in Section IX, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, and Section XVII, Transportation/Traffic of the Initial Study, attached as Appendix A to the Draft EIR.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 2.8

The commenter provides comments on the site plans for the project related to landscaping maintenance, security cameras, and a video monitoring system. The commenter states that the station has no further comment at this time; however, the station reserves the right to amend or supplement the West Hollywood Station's assessment upon subsequent reviews of the proposed project.

See Response 2.1. The proposed project would be required to comply with all Fire Code requirements. The proposed project also includes conditions of approval related to design and public safety. This comment, including the suggested potential use of security systems, does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601-1400 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998 (562) 699-7411 • www.lacsd.org

Letter 3

November 19, 2021

Ref. DOC 6341262

Ms. Laurie Yelton, Associate Planner City of West Hollywood Department of Planning and Development Services 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069

Dear Ms. Yelton:

1

3

Recirculated DEIR Response to 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project

The Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (Districts) received a Notice of Availability of a Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the subject project on October 14, 2021. The proposed project is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of District No. 4. This letter supersedes previous comments for the subject project in correspondence dated August 15, 2017 submitted by the Districts to your agency, but the comments in correspondence dated May 9, 2013 (copy enclosed) still apply with the following updated information:

- 1. The Districts' 12-inch diameter Sherman Trunk Sewer conveyed a peak flow of 0.2 million gallons per day when last measured in 2019.
- 2. As noted throughout the Recirculated DEIR, the expected increase in average wastewater flow from the project site is 18,299 gallons per day, after the structures on the project site are demolished.

All other information concerning Districts' facilities and sewerage service contained in the document is current. If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at (562) 908-4288 extension 2743 or mandyhuffman@lacsd.org.

Very truly yours,

Mandy Huffman

Mandy Huffman Environmental Planner Facilities Planning Department

MNH:mnh

Enclosure

cc: A. Schmidt A. Howard



COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601-1400 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998 Telephone: (562) 699-7411, FAX: (562) 699-5422 www.lacsd.org

GRACE ROBINSON CHAN Chief Engineer and General Manager

May 9, 2013

Ref. File No: 2566492

Ms. Laurie Yelton, Associate Planner Community Development Department City of West Hollywood 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069-6216

Dear Ms. Yelton:

4

8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project

The County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (Districts) received a Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the subject project on April 15, 2013. The proposed development is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of District No. 4. We offer the following comments regarding sewerage service:

- 1. The wastewater flow originating from the proposed project will discharge to a local sewer line, which is not maintained by the Districts, for conveyance to the Districts' Sherman Trunk Sewer, located in Santa Monica Boulevard at Huntley Drive. This 12-inch diameter trunk sewer has a design capacity of 3.7 million gallons per day (mgd) and conveyed a peak flow of 0.7 mgd when last measured in 2009.
- 2. Wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the City of Los Angeles Hyperion Treatment System. Questions regarding sewerage service for the proposed project should also be directed to the City of Los Angeles' Department of Public Works.
- 3. The expected increase in average wastewater flow from the project site is 23,076 gallons per day. For a copy of the Districts' average wastewater generation factors, go to <u>www.lacsd.org</u>, Wastewater & Sewer Systems, Will Serve Program, and click on the <u>Table 1, Loadings for Each</u> <u>Class of Land Use</u> link.
- 4. The Districts are authorized by the California Health and Safety Code to charge a fee for the privilege of connecting (directly or indirectly) to the Districts' Sewerage System or increasing the strength or quantity of wastewater attributable to a particular parcel or operation already connected. This connection fee is a capital facilities fee that is imposed in an amount sufficient to construct an incremental expansion of the Sewerage System to accommodate the proposed project. Payment of a connection fee will be required before a permit to connect to the sewer is issued. For a copy of the Connection Fee Information Sheet, go to www.lacsd.org, Wastewater & Sewer Systems, Will Serve Program, and click on the appropriate link. For more specific

Ms. Laurie Yelton

information regarding the connection fee application procedure and fees, please contact the Connection Fee Counter at extension 2727.

In order for the Districts to conform to the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA), the design capacities of the Districts' wastewater treatment facilities are based on the regional growth forecast adopted by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). Specific policies included in the development of the SCAG regional growth forecast are incorporated into clean air plans, which are prepared by the South Coast and Antelope Valley Air Quality Management Districts in order to improve air quality in the South Coast and Mojave Desert Air Basins as mandated by the CAA. All expansions of Districts' facilities must be sized and service phased in a manner that will be consistent with the SCAG regional growth forecast for the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, and Imperial. The available capacity of the Districts' treatment facilities will, therefore, be limited to levels associated with the approved growth identified by SCAG. As such, this letter does not constitute a guarantee of wastewater service, but is to advise you that the Districts intend to provide this service up to the levels that are legally permitted and to inform you of the currently existing capacity and any proposed expansion of the Districts' facilities.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at (562) 908-4288, extension 2717.

Very truly yours,

Grace Robinson Chan

Adriana Raza Customer Service Specialist Facilities Planning Department

AR: ar

c: M. Tremblay J. Ganz

COMMENTER: Mandy Huffman, Environmental Planner, Facilities Planning Department, County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County

DATE: November 19, 2021

Response 3.1

The commenter states that the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (Districts) received the Recirculated Draft EIR for the subject project, which is located within the jurisdictional boundary of District No. 4. The commenter states that previous comments for the project dated August 15, 2017 have been superseded by this letter, but that previous comments submitted by the Districts in correspondence dated May 9, 2013 still apply to the project with updated information.

Section 4.7, *Utilities and Service Systems*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR states that the project site is within the jurisdictional boundary of Sanitation District No. 4 of the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County. The Districts' previous comments on the Notice of Preparation (NOP) were addressed in the Recirculated Draft EIR. Also, see responses 3.2 and 3.3. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 3.2

The commenter states that the District's 12-inch diameter Sherman Trunk Sewer conveyed a peak flow of 0.2 million gallons per day when last measured in 2019.

In response to this comment, a correction has been made on Page 4.7-1 of Section 4.7, *Utilities and Service Systems*, of the Final EIR to clarify that the peak flow of the Sherman Trunk Sewer was 0.2 million gallons per day when last measured in 2019. This change does not alter the findings or conclusions of the EIR.

Response 3.3

The comment states that, as noted throughout the Recirculated DEIR, the expected increase in average wastewater flow from the project is 18,299 gallons per day, after the structures on the project site are demolished.

This statement is accurate. As noted in the comment, the Recirculated Draft EIR utilizes the updated average wastewater flow of 18,299 gallons per day to evaluate the project's impacts (Section 4.7.2 of the Recirculated Draft EIR) and concludes that the project's impacts related to wastewater conveyance and treatment would be less than significant. Wastewater is further discussed in Section 4.7, *Utilities and Service Systems*. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and

does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 3.4

The commenter encloses a letter dated May 9, 2013 explaining that wastewater originating from the project site will discharge to a local sewer line and then the District's Sherman Trunk Sewer and that wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the City of Los Angeles Hyperion Treatment System. The commenter also states the average wastewater flow from the project site and that the Districts are authorized to charge a fee for wastewater services. The commenter also states that for the Districts to conform to the Clean Air Act the design capacities are based on the regional growth forecast adopted by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and that facilities are sized to serve growth consistent with the forecast.

As stated in Section 4.7, *Utilities and Service Systems*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, it is noted that wastewater from the project site would connect to the local sewer system and then the Sherman Trunk Sewer line. It is also noted that wastewater from the project site would be treated at the Hyperion Treatment Plant and that wastewater mitigation fees are required for new development. Lastly, as noted in Section 4.1, *Air Quality*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, the project would be consistent with the SCAG regional growth forecast. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



T 510.836.4200 F 510.836.4205 1939 Harrison Street, Ste. 150 Oakland, CA 94612 www.lozeaudrury.com richard@lozeaudrury.com

Via Email

October 18, 2021

Laurie Yelton, Associate Planner Planning & Development Services Dept. City of West Hollywood 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069 <u>lyelton@weho.org</u> John Keho, Director Planning & Development Services Dept. City of West Hollywood 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069-6216 jkeho@weho.org

Yvonne Quarker, City Clerk City Clerk's Office City of West Hollywood 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069-6216 yquarker@weho.org

Re: CEQA and Land Use Notice Request for 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project (SCH 2013041041)

Dear Ms. Yelton, Mr. Keho, and Ms. Quarker:

I am writing on behalf of Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility ("SAFER") regarding the 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project (SCH 2013041041), including all actions related or referring to the proposed construction of a five-story mixed-use project with 111 apartment units, 3,983 square feet of restaurant and cafe uses, 15,494 square feet of live/work use (12 units), 14,488 square feet of retail space, a 3,643 square foot hair salon, 6,711 square feet of creative office space, and three levels of parking, located at 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard in the City of West Hollywood ("Project").

We hereby request that the City of West Hollywood ("City") send by electronic mail, if possible or U.S. mail to our firm at the address below notice of any and all actions or hearings related to activities undertaken, authorized, approved, permitted, licensed, or certified by the City and any of its subdivisions, and/or supported, in whole or in part, through contracts, grants, subsidies, loans or other forms of assistance from the City, including, but not limited to the following:

- Notice of any public hearing in connection with the Project as required by California Planning and Zoning Law pursuant to Government Code Section 65091.
- Any and all notices prepared for the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), including, but not limited to:
 - Notices of any public hearing held pursuant to CEQA.
 - Notices of determination that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") is required for the Project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.4.
 - Notices of any scoping meeting held pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.9.

1

October 18, 2021 CEQA and Land Use Notice Request for 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project (SCH 2013041041) Page 2 of 2

- Notices of preparation of an EIR or a negative declaration for the Project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21092.
- Notices of availability of an EIR or a negative declaration for the Project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Section 15087 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.
- Notices of approval and/or determination to carry out the Project, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 or any other provision of law.
- Notices of any addenda prepared to a previously certified or approved EIR.
- Notices of approval or certification of any EIR or negative declaration, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 or any other provision of law.
- Notices of determination that the Project is exempt from CEQA, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21152 or any other provision of law.
- Notice of any Final EIR prepared pursuant to CEQA.
- Notice of determination, prepared pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21108 or Section 21152.

Please note that we are requesting notices of CEQA actions and notices of any public hearings to be held under any provision of Title 7 of the California Government Code governing California Planning and Zoning Law. This request is filed pursuant to Public Resources Code Sections 21092.2 and 21167(f), and Government Code Section 65092, which require local counties to mail such notices to any person who has filed a written request for them with the clerk of the agency's governing body.

Please send notice by electronic mail or U.S. Mail to:

Richard Drury Stacey Oborne Molly Greene Lozeau Drury LLP 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150 Oakland, CA 94612 richard@lozeaudrury.com stacey@lozeaudrury.com molly@lozeaudrury.com

Please call if you have any questions. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Molly Grune

Molly Greene Lozeau | Drury LLP

2 cont.

COMMENTER:Richard Drury, Molly Greene, and Stacey Osborne of Lozeau | Drury LLPDATE:October 18, 2021

Response 4.1

The commenters state that they are commenting on behalf of Supporters Alliance for Environmental Safety (SAFER) regarding the project and provide a summary of the project description.

The commenter's description of the project is accurate. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 4.2

The commenters request that the City of West Hollywood send notice of any and all actions or hearings related to project activities undertaken or approved by the City, by electronic mail or US mail to provided addresses. The commenters provide a list of possible activities, including but not limited to notice of any public hearings required by California Planning and Zoning Law or CEQA, as well as notices of any public hearing, determination, any scoping meeting, availability, approval, addenda, certification, and of any Final EIR.

The City will comply with all applicable notice requirements in response to this letter. In addition, the listed notices are part of the administrative record for the project and are available on the City's website and with City planning staff at City Hall. The City will provide notice of future public hearings in accordance with noticing requirements. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 4.3

The commenters reiterate that they are requesting notices of CEQA actions and notices of any public hearings to be held under any provision of Title 7 of the California Government Code Governing California Planning and Zoning Law. The commenters also state that this request is filed pursuant to Public Resource Code Sections 21092.2 and 21167(f), and Government Code Section 65092, which require local counties to mail such notices to those who have filed such a request.

Please see Response 4.2. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



From:Johnny JongTo:Laurie YeltonSubject:8555 SMH Draft EIR CommentDate:Thursday, October 21, 2021 8:51:31 AM

You don't often get email from cmjong8@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Dear Laurie,

1

I wish to comment on the draft EIR for 8555 Santa Monica Blvd. Please let this project be approved. We need more mixed-use tall buildings in West Hollywood. Thanks.

Sincerely, West Hollywood resident

COMMENTER: Johnny Jong

DATE: October 21, 2021

Response 5.1

The commenter states support for project approval and the need for more mixed-use buildings in West Hollywood.

The support for the project is noted. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



PDS - INQUIRIES - Laurie Yelton

Planning Inquiry - #11096049



LOCATION - 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard, West Hollywood, CA, USA NAME - Michael O'Reilly COMPANY - O'REILLY BURTON LLP CONTACT TYPE - Email EMAIL ADDRESS - Michael O'Reilly PHONE NUMBER - 1.310.293.7791 PERMIT/PROJECT NUMBER - DVP-012-004 CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO - Laurie Yelton in PDS - Inquiries DESCRIPTION - Hello,

I have received a copy of the draft EIR for the project that is proposed for West Knoll and Santa Monica Blvd. It has been revised substantially since I first heard of the project.

As it happens, it appears that there will be two fifty-five feet tall buildings going up at approximately 15 feet to the south of my home and at about the same to the immediate east of my home, so I will be in a canyon surrounded by 55 feet tall buildings.

It appears that a study has been done showing that these buildings will not cast shadows on my home, although the graphics (at 10+ renderings per page) do not really allow one to assess setbacks, the base from which the 55 foot heights are measured.

I have a couple of questions.

1

4

- (1) Is there a way to review the data on which the shadow study is based? Can I review the plans to get a sense for the actual impact? perhaps sight lines from different elevations?
- 2 (2) Is there anything I (and the other similarly situated residents here) can do to assess the impact on us beyond the EIR. I have asked the developer to provide information updates, via their website, but have never heard back.
- 3 (3) I know that the City requested that the developer buy the properties immediately to our east in order to expand the property. Is it possible to expand the project further by purchasing our parcel. The combination would certainly enhance the value of both parcels, and have the benefit of avoiding the sacrifice of the value of our property, but putting it in a hole surrounded by the new project.

(4) Is there someone in the City who can guide us through the process? Specifically, what can we do? Are there any limits on how close and how much higher a developer build next to an existing residence? What are the rules about setbacks? Are there any limitations when a new building will essentially surround a home on two sides and out it in the base of a hole created by the new high rises?

Details

STATUS - Submitted PRIORITY - None SUBMITTED BY - [Verified Official] Dominic Gray SUBMITTED THROUGH - gov.publicstuff.com FOLLOWERS - N/A

Dates

DATE SUBMITTED - November 8, 2021, 1:11 pm DUE DATE - November 9, 2021, 12:00 am

Contact

NAME - **N/A** EMAIL - **N/A** PHONE - **N/A** The document I received is silent as to dates, mentioning only October, 2021. Since the dates for comments are limited to 30 or 45 days, can you tell us the critical dates for our input.

We are all in favor of development. The housing crisis is a terrible problem. It would be most helpful if someone could guide us through the process of adding input and knowing what rules limit how close and how high.

Thanks very much!

Michael O'Reilly 310-293-7791

5

Michael T. O'Reilly t/ +1.310.293.7791 e/ mto@oreillyburton.com Florida office/ +1.239.216.2016 (24/7 line for asylum matters) fax/ +1.888.619.8742

O'REILLY BURTON LLP Corporate, Securities and Immigration Law

750 N. San Vicente Blvd., Suite 800 West, Los Angeles, CA 90069 9128 Strada Place, Suite 10115, Naples FL 34108

Workflow

STEP NAME - Step 1 ASSIGNEE - Laurie Yelton DEPARTMENT - PDS - Inquiries DESCRIPTION - Please contact this person regarding their inquiry within 1 business day.

Comments

Nov 10, 2021Dominic Gray:11:26am*** I am assigning this ticket to Laurie instead of Roger as I believe the project in question is for DVP-012-004 @8555 SMB. The constituent only specified Holloway and SMB, but this is the only project in that area that matches
their description and has a draft EIR prepared. If this is not for 8555 SMB, it should be assigned to Roger- Dominic

Change Log

| Nov 8, 2021 | Request was submitted |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1:11pm | Request made internal |

COMMENTER: Michael O'Reilly, O'Reilly Burton LLP

DATE: November 8, 2021

Response 6.1

The commenter states that they have received a copy of the Recirculated Draft EIR and expresses concern regarding the shadows that the proposed buildings may cast on their property.

As discussed in Section 1.0, *Introduction*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, according to Senate Bill ("SB") 743, which became effective January 1, 2014, "aesthetics...impacts of a residential, mixeduse, or employment center project on an infill site within a transit priority area shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment." The proposed project meets these criteria of SB 743. As such, aesthetics changes are not to be considered significant impacts on the environment under CEQA. Nonetheless, although not a CEQA issue, for informational purposes, Section 1.4.1 of the Recirculated Draft EIR includes information related to shade and shadow effects. Updated shadow models have been included in Figure 1-1 of the Final EIR. The shadow modeling found that shadows would not be cast onto light-sensitive uses for extended periods of time and would not completely cover any adjacent land uses. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 6.2

The commenter requests to review the data on which the shadow predictions were based, and requests further information on potential environmental impacts beyond what is discussed in the EIR.

An informational shade and shadow model analysis is included in Section 1.0, *Introduction*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR. As discussed above in Response 6.1, shade and shadow impacts are not considered as physical environmental impacts for this project pursuant to CEQA and SB 743. However, updated shadow models have been included in Figure 1-1 of the Final EIR for informational purposes. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 6.3

The commenter asks about the possibility of the applicant purchasing the commenter's parcel adjacent to the project site to expand the proposed project.

The commenter's inquiry will be forwarded to City decision-makers and the applicant for their review and consideration. However, the adjacent parcel is not currently included in the project

site and development of this parcel was not considered in the Recirculated Draft EIR. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 6.4

The commenter asks about limits or restrictions that may limit the height and proximity of the proposed buildings adjacent to their property.

Applicable building height and setback limits are discussed in Section 2.4.1 of Section 2.0, *Project Description,* and in Section 4.4, *Land Use and Planning.* As stated in the Recirculated Draft EIR, the total allowable height of the proposed buildings is 55 feet for both the CC1 and R4B zones, and the total allowable floor area ratio (FAR) is 2.8 for the CC1 zone. In terms of setbacks, City requirements with density bonuses allow buildings in the CC1 zone to have no front setback, and side and rear setbacks of 0 to 10 feet. Buildings in the R4B zone are allowed a front setback that reflects the average of the front setbacks of the two closest or adjacent structures (in this case, 14 feet 1 inch), a side setback of 5 feet with an additional foot for each story above the second story (in this case, 8 feet), and no rear setback. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 6.5

The commenter requests the specific dates of the public comment period on the Recirculated Draft EIR. The commenter also states that they are in favor of development but wishes to be informed of any limits or restrictions that may limit the proposed project's building height and vicinity to existing residences.

The Recirculated Draft EIR was re-circulated for a 45-day public review period that began on October 18, 2021 and concluded on November 29, 2021. For limits and restrictions on the proposed buildings height and setbacks, please see response 6.4. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



November 11, 2021

City of West Hollywood Planning Commission dgillig@weho.org

Dear Planning Commission Members,

We are writing to you in support of the proposed 111-unit mixed-use project, including 17 affordable and 12 live/use units at 8555 Santa Monica Blvd. This project has been seeking approvals to move forward for over a decade, and we strongly urge the city to approve the recirculated draft EIR as an important step in this process. We believe the project will be an asset to West Hollywood and beneficial for the city and its residents.

The greater Los Angeles region is facing a severe housing shortage, and this project is another step towards addressing the overwhelming need for more housing across Los Angeles. By creating new housing in this neighborhood, it will help to reduce issues of gentrification and displacement in other parts of the region. Abundant Housing LA believes that these housing challenges can only be addressed if everyone in the region does their part. Los Angeles needs to focus more attention on this critical problem and developments like this one are part of the solution. By investing in more housing, we make our communities safer, healthier, and more inclusive.

This project is in a great location for housing. It is near multiple bus and local shuttle stops, and walking and bicycling distance from grocery stores as well as restaurants and retail. This project is good for West Hollywood, and we urge you to support this project and advance it to its next milestone without delay.

Best Regards,

Leonora Camner

Leonora Camner AHLA Executive Director

Jaime Del Rio

Jaime Del Rio AHLA Field Organizer

Tami Kagan-abramis

Tami Kagan-Abrams AHLA Project Director

ITEM 11.A.

Abundant Housing LA Housing for all

8-34

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE (11.15.2021)

COMMENTER: Leonora Camner, Executive Director; Jaime Del Rio, Field Organizer; Tami Kagan-Abrams, Project Director; Abundant Housing Los Angeles

DATE: November 11, 2021

Response 7.1

The commenters state support for the proposed project and request that the City of West Hollywood approve the Recirculated Draft EIR. The commenters state that the project addresses the severe housing shortage that the greater Los Angeles region is experiencing, and that creating new housing at the project site would reduce the effects of gentrification and displacement. The commenters also state that developments such as the proposed project are important in making communities safer, healthier, and more inclusive. Finally, the commenters state that this project is near multiple transit stops and is within a walking or bicycling distance to commercial areas and ask the City of West Hollywood to approve the project.

The support for the project is noted. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



Karly Kaufman

| From: | Mr. Greenberg <bmgbiz63@gmail.com></bmgbiz63@gmail.com> |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:00 PM |
| То: | Laurie Yelton |
| Subject: | 8555 SMH Draft EIR Comment |
| | |

You don't often get email from bmgbiz63@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Dear Laurie,

I wish to comment on the draft EIR for 8555 Santa Monica Blvd.

What I fail to understand is how this large project can't overwhelm streets, traffic, and flow? I live 2 blocks over now, and find many use Hancock as a cut through because Ramada/TraderJoes is blocked. The police, fire, etc. also use Hancock. So with this proposal, many will find they use West Knoll west, and end up at Hancock.

I encourage you, and others to help me, and many residents with similar concerns understand where the congestion mitigation is coming from? It's not here, nor in this plan.

Sincerely, West Hollywood resident
COMMENTER: Mr. Greenberg

DATE: November 16, 2021

Response 8.1

The commenter states concern regarding how the project may impact traffic and congestion on Hancock Avenue and West Knoll Drive and notes that police and fire use Hancock and may use West Knoll.

As discussed in Section 4.6, Transportation, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, on September 27, 2013, SB 743 was signed into law, initiating a process to change transportation impact analyses completed in support of CEQA documentation. As of July 1, 2020, SB 743 eliminated level of service (LOS) as a basis for determining significant transportation impacts under CEQA and provides a new performance metric, VMT. As a result, the State is shifting from measuring a project's impact to drivers (LOS) to measuring the impact of driving (VMT) as it relates to achieving State goals of reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, encouraging infill development, and improving public health through active transportation. The City of West Hollywood City Council adopted VMT Guidelines, which include VMT Thresholds, in November 2020 to measure transportation impacts of proposed projects under CEQA. The VMT Guidelines supersede and replace the existing LOS thresholds for the City of West Hollywood that were adopted in 2009. Therefore, LOS-based analyses are not included in this EIR. Project impacts related to VMT were found to be less than significant, and as such, no congestion mitigation is required. For informational purposes, the transportation analysis in Appendix G of the Recirculated Draft EIR included an analysis of added trips on Hancock Avenue and West Knoll Drive. In the future with project scenario, the project was found to increase average daily traffic on Hancock Avenue by between 1-2.2% and on West Knoll Drive by 2.2%.

Emergency access and emergency response and evacuation were addressed in Section IX, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, and Section XVII, Transportation/Traffic of the Initial Study, attached as Appendix A to the Draft EIR and impacts were found to be less than significant.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



| Binnur Karaevli <binnurkaraevli@gmail.com></binnurkaraevli@gmail.com> |
|---|
| Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:33 PM |
| Laurie Yelton |
| 8555 Santa Monica - Additional Comments |
| |

You don't often get email from binnurkaraevli@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms Yelton,

1

As a resident at the 8562 West Knoll Drive complex, my additional concerns are:

- 1. the high water table,
- 2. the loose pack/ inherent instability of the soil,
 - 3. the need for a geotechnical analysis and a bond and / or insurance,
- 2 4. A reconsideration of the shadow study,
- 5. That given the setbacks in the design prompted by the Ramada's interest in not losing the marketability of some of its rooms, we should be given at least the same treatment in terms of space and aesthetics (because we live here AND because if we were to rent any unit or sell, it would command a lower rent if a solid concrete wall was 10 feet away),
- For the construction times have traditionally assumed that work
 Hours of 8-6 (or whatever) but that with so many people working from home now, some accommodation should be made for making home offices much less workable.
- 5 6. That options to put our building's footprint to its highest and best use (ie redevelop it) under the new high density paradigm encouraged by West Hollywood and the State of California is made much more difficult being sandwiched into canyon created by two massive mixed use developments, and that consideration should be given to a development plan that does not in effect "strand" us.

Binnur Karaevli Los Angeles: +1 310 849 1531 Istanbul: +90 537 337 0967 <u>binnurkaraevli@gmail.com</u> www.binnurkaraevli.com

COMMENTER: Binnur Karaevli DATE: November 16, 2021

Response 9.1

The commenter states concern regarding the water table, unstable soils, and the need for geotechnical analyses, bonding, and insurance at the project site.

Potential project impacts relating to the water table and unstable soils are discussed in Section 4.2, *Geology and Hydrology*. As stated in the Recirculated Draft EIR, the historic high groundwater level ranges from 221 to 225 feet above mean sea level (MSL). The lowest planned finish floor level is approximately at the historical high groundwater level. The mat foundation of this level would be waterproof, as required by California Building Code sections 8005.1.3 and 1005.3. Mitigation measures GEO-3(a), Groundwater Monitoring, and GEO-3(b), Dewatering Plan, would reduce impacts related to groundwater to less than significant with these measures incorporated. Please also see Response 17.1.

In terms of unstable soils, the geotechnical report conducted by GeoDesign determined that the project site is located upon potentially liquefiable soils and calculated that up to 1.9 inches of settlement could occur, which could cause damage to building foundations. The site is located within an area with a potential for strong ground shaking and also soil liquefaction, however, these hazards are common in Southern California and are mitigated by following well documented geotechnical analytical methods and design and construction methods. Specifically, for this site some of the native soils at depth are subject to liquefaction settlement in

the event of strong ground shaking and a rise in the groundwater level to the historic high groundwater level. Although, it's highly unlikely that these two conditions will occur simultaneously, the California Building Code (CBC) requires that the foundation design assume that they can occur at the same and therefore, the current foundation recommendations take into account the potential for liquefaction. The resulting foundation recommendations that would be implemented in accordance with Mitigation Measure GEO-1 and GEO-2 include a mat foundation which allows the weight of the building to be distributed uniformly and as a result can tolerate larger settlement than other foundation systems. Measure GEO-2 requires the applicant to comply with shoring design, foundation observations, and construction monitoring elements as outlined in the geotechnical report. The mat foundation will be designed by a licensed structural engineer based on the recommendations presented in the geotechnical report that was prepared by a licensed geotechnical engineer and certified engineering geologist. The foundation plans will be reviewed and approved by the City of West Hollywood Department of Building and Safety. Implementation of mitigation measures included in the geotechnical report (Appendix D of the Recirculated Draft EIR) as well as implementation of mitigation measures discussed in the Recirculated Draft EIR would reduce impacts related to unstable soils to less than significant with mitigation incorporated.

The geotechnical report on which these analyses were founded was completed on July 23, 2018 and revised on October 31, 2019. Professional engineers and geologists certified by the state of California conducted research and analyses regarding site geologic conditions, seismicity,

groundwater levels, and soil conditions, and prepared recommendations that have been incorporated as requirements in the Recirculated Draft EIR. For further information regarding the geotechnical report, see Appendix D of the EIR.

With respect to bonding, the City requires a performance bond (or other security instrument) as part of its standard conditions of approval to secure and guarantee performance of all of the conditions of approval of a project, including applicable mitigation measures.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 9.2

The commenter requests that the City reconsider the potential impacts of the shadows the buildings may cast.

Please see response 6.1. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 9.3

The commenter states concern regarding the proposed project's setbacks from residences in terms of aesthetics.

As shown in Table 4.4-5 in Section 4.4, *Land Use and Planning*, the proposed project would have setbacks of 8-15 feet where the project is adjacent to residences. Overall, the provided setbacks would be consistent with WHMC setback requirements. As discussed in Section 1.0, *Introduction*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, according to SB 743, which became effective January 1, 2014, "aesthetics...impacts of a residential, mixed-use, or employment center project on an infill site within a transit priority area shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment." The proposed project meets the criteria of SB 743. As such, aesthetics changes are not to be considered significant impacts on the environment under CEQA. Also see response 6.4. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 9.4

The commenter states that many residents in the vicinity of the project site could be working from home, and that construction, even within hours allowed by the City, could adversely affect residents.

As stated under Impact N-1 of Section 4.5, *Noise*, project construction would intermittently generate high noise levels on and adjacent to the site, which would affect noise-sensitive

receptors near the site such as the nearby single- and multi-family residences. Project impacts related to construction noise would be significant and unavoidable. Section 4.5 details several noise mitigation measures to reduce construction noise to the degree feasible, including a noise complaint system; requirements for electrically powered tools and facilities and construction noticing; and limits on equipment idling, worker radio noise levels, and vehicle back-up alarms. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 9.5

The commenter states concern that the residences between the proposed buildings will be surrounded by significantly taller buildings, which will prevent property owners from developing their property to its "highest and best use."

The proposed project is in compliance with applicable development standards, and similarly, any proposed development for the commenter's property would be considered by the City pursuant to the development standards applicable at that time. Please also see responses 6.1 and 9.3. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Karly Kaufman

| From: | Binnur Karaevli <binnurkaraevli@gmail.com></binnurkaraevli@gmail.com> |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:09 PM |
| То: | Laurie Yelton |
| Subject: | 8555 Santa Monica Project Comments for Nov 18th Meeting |
| | |

You don't often get email from binnurkaraevli@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms Yelton,

I hope this email finds you well. I reside at 8562 West Knoll Drive, Number 7 in West Hollywood. I've been following the 8555 Santa Monica Project development and I am sending some notes to be included at the November 18th meeting.

1 Our 12 Unit Complex will be directly impacted by the 8555 Santa Monica Project during and after its construction. We are going to be right next to the project which will hover over us.

I've studied all the plans but still have major concerns as a resident of West Hollywood, these concerns are:

* The towering presence of the building will cut down our building's air circulation from the east side.

- 2 * Our sunlight will be affected.
 - * Traffic will be much more congested.

³ * The habitat and the wildlife that live in our garden and in the gardens of the neighboring houses will be destroyed.

- 4 * Structurally our building will be affected when they dig a deep hole.
- 5 * The revised project is even bigger and taller than its original proposal. This project doesn't fit with the other buildings on Santa Monica Blvd between La Cienaga and Robertson. It is much bigger and its style is different.

I also have concerns during and after the construction of the project since we are directly affected (the Ramada and the apartments across from the development on West Knoll are affected as well). These concerns are:

6

* Will they erect panels to help keep the dust and noise away. Will the dust create unhealthy living conditions for people with upper respiratory problems.

- * What will be the level of the noise pollution? Especially for those of us who work at home how do they propose
 to deal with noise.
- $|^8|$ * Will the dust levels be toxic for residents with respiratory problems who live in our building.
- 9 * Will they pay for the structural report we need to get before they start the construction.
- 10 * During and after the construction done, they should do dust cleaning and repainting for our building

Thank you,

Binnur Karaevli Los Angeles: +1 310 849 1531 Istanbul: +90 537 337 0967 <u>binnurkaraevli@gmail.com</u> www.binnurkaraevli.com

COMMENTER: Binnur Karaevli

DATE: November 16, 2021

Response 10.1

The commenter states concern that the 12-unit multi-family residence located at 8562 West Knoll Drive would be adversely affected by the construction and operation of the proposed project, and lists several following concerns.

Please see responses 10.2 through 10.9 for responses to specific comments.

Response 10.2

The commenter states concern that the proposed buildings will impact air circulation, sunlight, and traffic in the vicinity of 8562 West Knoll Drive.

As described in Section 4.1, *Air Quality*, the proposed project would generate air pollutant emissions but would not exceed South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) operational significance thresholds. Additionally, the project would not result in an increase in the frequency or severity of existing air quality violations, cause or contribute to new violations, or delay timely attainment of air quality standards in SCAQMD's Air Quality Management Plan.

For a response regarding sunlight and shadows, please see Response 6.1. For a response regarding traffic, please see response 8.1. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 10.3

The commenter states concern that the vegetation and wildlife in their garden and neighboring gardens will be destroyed.

It is not clear why the commenter has concern that the project would affect their garden; the proposed project would involve development on the project site only and would not directly involve disturbance on adjacent properties. The Initial Study (Appendix A of the Recirculated Draft EIR) conducted for the proposed project analyzes biological resources and concludes that there would be no impact to biological resources under CEQA. The project site is located in a highly urbanized area of West Hollywood that lacks native biological habitats. The project would not adversely affect sensitive plant or animal species, nor would it affect the movement of wildlife. Mitigation measure BIO-1, Nesting/Breeding Native Bird Protection, would reduce potential project impacts to birds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act to less than significant. For further information on biological resources, refer to Section IV, *Biological Resources*, in the Initial Study (Appendix A). For a discussion of shadow impacts on adjacent

uses, please see Response 6.1. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 10.4

The commenter states that structurally their building will be affected when they dig a big hole.

As discussed in Section 4.2, *Geology and Hydrology*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, based on the results of the geotechnical evaluation the project would not affect adjacent properties with incorporation of design measures as required in Mitigation Measures GEO-1 and GEO-2. Shoring will be required to temporarily support the planned excavation. Temporary shoring is a

common construction activity and the design and construction methodology are fairly well established. The shoring system envisioned for the proposed development includes vertical elements, referred to as solider piles that consist of W-shaped steel beams, post-grouted steel tie-back anchors, and timber or shotcrete lagging. The methodology includes installation of the shoring wall from the top to the bottom of the planned excavation and pre-loads the shoring wall using post-tensioned tie-back anchors, so that the retained soil is fully supported with a factor of safety before the excavation is advanced. It's also common for temporary shoring systems to provide support for adjacent building foundations and in this case, design and construction considerations will be required to provide support to adjacent buildings as well as the public right-of-way.

The current geotechnical report presents design recommendations for temporary shoring systems and the recommendations presented are reasonable and consistent with similar projects successfully constructed in Southern California. The required temporary shoring system will be designed by a licensed civil engineer in accordance with the recommendations presented in the geotechnical report that were prepared by a licensed geotechnical engineer and certified engineering geologist. The temporary shoring plans will be reviewed and approved by the City of West Hollywood's Department of Building and Safety in Southern California.

Permanent building walls below grade will be designed to resist static and dynamic earth pressures. The walls below grade will be designed by a licensed structural engineer based on the recommendations in the geotechnical report that were prepared by a licensed geotechnical engineer certified engineering geologist. Once completed, the permanent walls below grade will allow the decommissioning of the temporary shoring system. The permanent below grade walls will provide more support to the slope and uphill developments than currently exists by virtue of the need to design for dynamic (seismic) forces. In accordance with Mitigation Measures GEO-1 and GEO-2. The design of the foundation shall be reviewed and approved by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of the building permit and recommendations contained in the geotechnical report shall be reviewed and approved by the Community Development Department and incorporated into final grading and structural design plans, as deemed appropriate by the Community Development.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis

completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 10.5

The commenter states the revised project is bigger and taller than the original and are styled differently than other existing structures in the vicinity.

With respect to the commenter's contention that the proposed buildings are larger and styled differently than existing structures in the vicinity, as discussed in Section 1.0, *Introduction*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, according to Senate Bill ("SB") 743, which became effective January 1, 2014, "aesthetics...impacts of a residential, mixed-use, or employment center project on an infill site within a transit priority area shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment." The proposed project meets these criteria of SB 743. As such, aesthetics changes are not to be considered significant impacts on the environment under CEQA. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 10.6

The commenter states concern regarding construction of the project including noise and particulates that could create unhealthy conditions for nearby residents.

Regarding construction noise, please see response 9.4. Regarding air particulates, please see response 10.2. In addition, Section 4.1, *Air Quality*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, includes an analysis of impacts associated with construction dust and diesel particulate matter and found that the project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial toxic air contaminant concentrations and impacts would be less than significant. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 10.7

The commenter inquires about expected levels of noise caused by the project and asks if noise will be mitigated out of concern for residents who work from home.

Please see response 9.4. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 10.8

The commenter asks whether particulate matter caused by the project would be toxic for residents will respiratory problems.

Please see responses 10.2 and 10.5. There is no evidence to suggest that the project would be the source of high levels of toxic air contaminants that would adversely affect residents with respiratory problems.

Response 10.9

The commenter asks whether the City or the project applicant would pay for a structural report for the commenter's building prior to construction.

A geotechnical evaluation and several updates to the evaluation paid for by the applicant have been prepared for the project. The reports were prepared by a licensed geotechnical engineer and certified engineering geologist. The conclusions of the report are summarized in Section 4.2 of the Recirculated Draft EIR and the reports are included in Appendix D of the EIR.

Response 10.10

The commenter requests that the project applicant clean and repaint the complex located at 8562 West Knoll Drive once construction is complete, due to construction dust.

As discussed in Section 4.1, *Air Quality*, project construction would be subject to the requirements of the South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 403 to control construction dust. Therefore, construction dust would not be expected to adversely affect the adjacent complex. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Karly Kaufman

| From: | Linda Russ <lruss111@gmail.com></lruss111@gmail.com> |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:09 PM |
| То: | Laurie Yelton |
| Cc: | Derek Boardman; CAROL WEINER; SUZANN BRENT; Bentley Tia; Ulmer Carmen |
| Subject: | 8555 SMH Draft EIR Comment |

[You don't often get email from lruss111@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification.]

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Dear Laurie,

1

2

I wish to comment on the draft EIR for 8555 Santa Monica Blvd.

I live on West Knoll Drive and can tell you the traffic on this street now is dangerous and obtrusive, especially when all the Amazon deliveries, trash and recycle trucks are parked blocking traffic going east and west.

Also, the 30 day move-in, move-outs that will take place on the 1st and 30th of each month will be overwhelming to all of us causing complete gridlock.

At the very least, this street should definitely be widened on the south side of West Knoll Drive in order for vehicles to pass each other.

In closing, I would like to add that I have been opposed to this project from the very start. The City of West Hollywood approving this project despite noise concerns, traffic concerns, air quality concerns and parking concerns is just a crime with no regard to the residents that have enjoyed living here!

Please forward this letter to the Planning Commission before Thursday, November 18th.

Sincerely,

Linda Russ West Knoll Drive Resident

Sent from my iPad

COMMENTER: Linda Russ

DATE: November 16, 2021

Response 11.1

The commenter states that existing traffic conditions on West Knoll Drive are dangerous and obtrusive, and traffic caused by this project and future residents moving in and out of the proposed buildings will exacerbate these conditions. The commenter also states that West Knoll Drive should be widened for vehicles to pass each other.

Please see response 8.1. As discussed in Section 4.6, *Transportation*, Fehr & Peers reviewed the proposed project's internal circulation and access points for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists to determine if there are potential on-site geometric design hazards. Based on the site access design and analysis of the pedestrian, vehicle, and bicycle entrances, the project would not introduce a geometric design hazard and impacts were found to be less than significant. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 11.2

The commenter states opposition to the proposed project and that the City should not approve the project due to noise, traffic, and air quality concerns.

The commenter's opinions will be considered by City decision-makers. Regarding noise, traffic, and air quality concerns, please see responses 9.4, 8.1, and 10.2, respectively. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: Adam Koffman <arkoffman@hotmail.com> Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:47 PM Laurie Yelton David Gillig 8555 Santa Monica Blvd 8555 SMB Neighborhood Concerns 5 March 2019.pdf

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Hi Laurie,

Can you please provide the attached report and this cover letter (below) to the Planning Commissioners, in preparation for their meeting this Thursday, Nov 18 (item 11.A)?

Thank you,

Adam Koffman

Dear Planning Commissioners,

Attached please find a report prepared by a group of neighbors when the 8555 Santa Monica Blvd project was last reviewed by your commission (3/7/19).

There are seven (7) issues identified in the report. These issues remain relevant and serious, considering **staff note very little has changed in the project**. Moreover, these issues have direct bearing on the EIR, most notably issues #4, 6 and 7.

The first issue in the report, regarding tying of zones, may now seem irrelevant since the project changed after your last review--with the incorporation of another lot.

 However, our zoning code also changed and still needs to be applied in the city's evaluation of the project (West Hollywood Code <u>§19.36.170 A</u> "Mixed-Use Projects that Span Both Residential and Commercial Zoning Districts").

Sincerely,

Adam Koffman

From: Adam Koffman Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 4:35 PM To: dgillig@weho.org <dgillig@weho.org> Subject: 8555 Santa Monica Blvd Hi David,

Could you please make sure the Planning Commissioners receive a copy of this attached report?

This was prepared by a group of neighbors in response to the 8555 Santa Monica Blvd Mixed-use Project, on the agenda for this Thursday evening.

Thank you,

Adam Koffman

Neighborhood Concerns Regarding the 8555 Santa Monica Blvd Project

The developer of the mixed-use project at 8555 Santa Monica Blvd owns the West Knoll properties from Santa Monica Blvd through 8546 West Knoll Dr. This includes three (3) single-family lots. Along Santa Monica Blvd, the developer owns the property from West Knoll Dr to the Wells Fargo Bank. The Santa Monica Blvd lots are zoned for commercial / mixed-use. The three (3) lots along West Knoll Dr are zoned for residential.

The neighborhood has identified seven (7) areas of concern regarding the project.

1. Tying Commercial & Residential Lots

Issue: Consolidating the project into one leads to excess mass, height and density not intended by the Zoning Code, General Plan or State Law. The proposal does not fit the scale of its surroundings nor the capacity of its road infrastructure.

Because the project falls short of the 60,000 square feet needed to tie the commercial and residential lots, the developer is asking for an exception, or *waiver*, to the code.¹ This request is one of the concessions and waivers requested by the developer in exchange for providing Affordable Housing. The waiver would blend the two zones allowing the developer to build one big building spanning the entirety.

As part of the Affordable Housing Development code² a waiver gives the developer flexibility to meet the affordable housing requirements when local development standards would **otherwise make it impossible**.³ Furthermore, the code requires the developer to make the case for the necessity of such a waiver.⁴

¹ The code allows project sites greater than 60,000 square feet and that maintain neighborhood compatibility to *consolidate* the project into one (West Hollywood Zoning Code §19.36.170 (A)(1)). The entire property is less than 60,000 square feet, therefore the project is *not* eligible to qualify for consolidation. Granting a combined development on a footprint less than the threshold will result in a disproportionate, out-of-scale building.

² CA Code §65915 (e)(1) "In no case may a city...apply any development standard that will have the effect of **physically precluding** the construction of a development meeting the criteria of...the [affordable housing] densities or...concessions or incentives permitted by this section. An applicant may submit to a city...a proposal for the waiver or reduction of development standards that will have the effect of **physically precluding the construction of a development** [from] meeting the criteria of...the densities or...concessions or incentives permitted...." Note that the 60,000 square feet code minimum to consolidate (ibid.) is **not** a development standard but a **threshold**.

³ "Waivers are modifications of volumetric requirements that are regulated by the Planning Code. Project sponsors may seek any waivers necessary to *physically accommodate* increased density in the bonus program. Requested waivers *may not exceed that which is necessary to accommodate the bonus*; these would be limited as Concessions and Incentives...."

^{(&}quot;Individually Requested State Density Bonus Program Informational Packet", v. 10.04.2017, San Francisco Planning, page 1). ⁴ "For waivers and reductions: The applicant must show that *the development standard* being waived *will preclude the physical construction of the project with the density bonus*, incentives and concessions to which the project is entitled" ("A

On the one hand, nowhere does the developer provide justification how the waiver is in fact necessary.⁵ In other words, there is no explanation why the project cannot accommodate the affordable housing units and program density bonus if developed separately and according to each zoning district.⁶ On the other hand, granting the waiver does not relieve a project from adhering to other critical zoning code, such as the "Mixed-Use Design Standards." ⁷

This project *as one building* is too tall and massive for the neighborhood, degrading the residential qualities and its intrinsic value, exacerbating the congestion on a major interstate highway, and violating the "Mixed-Use Design Standards" code.⁸ The Planning Commission needs to consider the various Alternatives proposed in the Final EIR (Section 6). In particular, the Planning Commission needs to consider aspects of Alternative #2 "Existing Zoning"⁹ in addition to the proposed Alternative #6 "Reduced Density on R4B Lots".¹⁰ There is a viable solution by blending the two Alternatives.

There are plenty of examples in West Hollywood where Mixed-Use structures have been able to incorporate the density bonuses and the base affordable housing units all within the commercial parcel. And even the current proposal (Alternative 6 Modified) demonstrates how three (3) affordable units can be accommodated economically on three (3) residential parcels.

⁹ Alternative #2 describes two separate developments on the CC1 and R4B lots, consistent with the existing code for the two respective districts (Final EIR Section 6.3, 6-5; page 183).

Guide to California Density Bonus Law", Hutchins, L. and Tiedmann, K., Oakland, CA, 7 October 2016, page 16). The San Francisco Planning Dept—in an effort to evaluate transparently the state Affordable Housing Density Program—requires applicants of concessions and waivers to submit two project plans: (1) "a fully code compliant base project" and (2) "a bonus project – including details regarding any incentives or waivers requested." (ibid.). Burbank has also taken definitive legislative steps to clarify procedure with respect to granting waivers; viz. Burbank code §10-1-640 (B).

⁵ The applicant in his "Narrative" only states: "A waiver of the 60,000 square foot requirement is necessary for the project (Modified Alternative 6) to proceed." ("Soto Capital, Project Narrative"; 26 February 2019, page 7). The Staff Report echoes the same: "Staff **believes** that the waiver is appropriate under state law because the project could not be physically constructed without the requested waiver to construct the project on a smaller site. While the state law does not provide conclusive guidance on the application of the waiver provisions, this **appears** to be a situation where the state density bonus project as proposed could not be physically constructed on this site, making the waiver appropriate to advance the state's affordable housing goals." ("Planning Commission Public Hearing, Staff Report"; 7 March 2019, page 17).

⁶ The Affordable Housing Concession the developer originally sought—prior to the first Planning Commission submission on 1 November 2018—to consolidate the two districts is **not** one of the six available concessions listed in West Hollywood Zoning Code §19.22.050 (E)(2) "Affordable Housing Incentives - Concessions". The available concessions are intended to help Developers fit affordable housing into projects. With respect to Concessions, and in distinction from Waivers, "Other [i.e. offmenu] regulatory concessions [may be requested] that result in identifiable, and **actual cost reductions** to provide for affordable housing costs or for rents for the affordable units as specified in Government Code Section 65915" (ibid).

 ⁷ "A proposed mixed-use project shall be designed and constructed to...be compatible with and complement adjacent land uses" and "maintain the scale and character of development in the immediate neighborhood"; West Hollywood Zoning Code §19.36.170.A.6 (a-b), "Mixed-Use Design Standards."
 ⁸ Ibid.

¹⁰ Alternative #6 describes the structure on the R4B lot without the Density Bonuses nor the Affordable Housing concessions, built strictly to the existing code for that residential district (Final EIR Section 6.7, 6-32; page 210).

Planning Commissioners need to question how the commercial parcel cannot physically accommodate the Affordable Housing Density Bonus and the calculated-base 12 affordable units. In the final analysis, Commissioners will determine a Waiver is not necessary and granting the three (3) Concessions will suffice in order to comply with the Affordable Housing code.¹¹

Recommendation: Deny the waiver request to combine the commercial and residential parcels. Continue the hearing and ask Staff to work with the applicant to develop further a residential project on the residential parcels and a mixed-use project on the commercial parcels as envisioned in the City's General Plan and described in the Final EIR Alternative #2.

2. Preservation of Open Space

3.

4

cont.

Issue: By consolidating the project into one, the requirement for open space on the residential R4 lots has been muddled. It is unclear if the developer, in proposing Alternative #6, intends to restore the minimum standard for open space.

The neighborhood asks the Planning Commission to find solutions to preserve **open space** which otherwise would be required by R4 zoning for the three (3) residential lots. For example, if the three lots were developed like other residential lots in the neighborhood, the code would require setbacks between and in the rear of the lots. There is also specific code requiring minimum setbacks between residential and commercial zones. ¹²

By asking for a waiver to tie together the commercial and residential zones, the developer is drastically reducing open space in favor of bigger density *in a residential neighborhood*. Furthermore, he is excavating the R4 district to accommodate parking generated by the mixed-use CC1 structure.¹³

¹¹ Whether the Planning Commissioners task staff to perform further analysis, state law places the burden of proof on the applicant to justify the need for a waiver: "This subdivision does not prohibit a local government from requiring an applicant to provide reasonable documentation to establish eligibility for...requested...waivers or reductions of development standards...." CA code §65915(a)(2); and, "...the local government shall...provide the applicant with a determination...whether the applicant has provided adequate information for the local government to make a determination as to those...waivers or reductions of development standards." §65915(a)(3)(D)(i)(III).

¹² The proposed project does not comply with the setbacks normally required between commercial and residential zones. West Hollywood Zoning Code §19.20.150 (A)(1) states "All structures shall conform with the setback requirements established for each zoning district." Specific to commercial district CC, Zoning Code §19.10.040 (Table 2-6) states the *rear setback* required is: "10 ft. if adjacent to a parcel in a residential zoning district, or more as necessary to provide a minimum separation of 15 ft. between commercial and residential structures; none required otherwise."

¹³ West Hollywood Zoning Code Section §19.04.040 (C) states that even when and if the City allows a project to span across commercial and residential zones: "Each portion of the parcel in a separate district shall be developed and used in compliance with the requirements of the applicable district." Note the mezzanine level parking, which opens to West Knoll Dr exclusively, services 105 vehicles. And the lower two (2) levels of parking, servicing 218 vehicles, will extend likewise into the R4 district.

In addition to a hybrid solution—blending aspects of Alternatives #2 and #6—the neighborhood recommends the Planning Commission carefully count how many concessions / waivers are layered into the project. By law, the project is entitled to only three (3) concessions. Yet some Professionals question if "hidden" incentives were worked into the proposal.¹⁴

Recommendation: Require the project to provide a separate common open area for each of the three (3) parcels in the residential R4 district. This is what other developers of similar residential properties must provide. Not requiring the same of all developers is inequitable and gives this developer privileges not enjoyed by other developers.

3. Unclear Math for Affordable Housing Density Bonus

Issue: Controversy continues to surround the method for calculating how the project qualifies for the Affordable Housing Density Bonus.

There is confusion in the community about how the Affordable Units should be calculated. Some Professionals interpret the code to require the allocation be a percent of the total, whereas the developer interprets the language to mean a percent of an *adjusted* baseline.

Even more confounding is how the developer modified his baseline unit count for the commercial mixed-use structure (see table below). We cannot find in the Final EIR how the original baseline count of 70 is being adjusted down to 60, *a reduction of -14.3%*.¹⁵ Needless to say, this change makes it easier to qualify for the Affordable Housing Density Bonus, Concessions and Waivers.¹⁶

| Proposed Project Afford | Table 4.4-3 able Housing | g and Density E | Bonuses |
|---|--|---|---|
| | # Units | % of Baseline (74 units) ¹ | Density Bonus Granted |
| Moderate Income | 8 | 11% | 6% ² |
| Very Low Income | 7 | 10% | 32.5% ³ |
| Total Inclusionary Housing Units | 15 | 21% | 35% |
| The baseline number of units is the number the project is located, prior to the inclusion of density in residential areas or the maximum number of units proposed is 70.60 based on the commercial areaCC1 zone (see Table 4.) | of units proposed the Density Bonu FAR in commercia the FAR 2.0 (1.5 I 4-4 below <u>) and 14</u> of of site area (see | I to be constructed in t s. This is based on eit I areas. For this project base FAR + 0.5 mixed baseline units in the F e also Table 4.4-4), for | he zone in which her the maximum ct site, the baseline use bonus FAR) in R4B zone based on a total of 74 |

Affordable Housing Table 4.4-3, Final EIR

4,

5

cont.

¹⁴ Note, for example, in Alternative #6 the developer requests a **concession**: i.e., "a 10% rear setback [reduction] on the commercial lots" ("Alternative 6: Reduced Density on R4B Lots," Final EIR, section 6.7, 6-32; page 210).

¹⁵ Table 4.4-3 "Proposed Project Affordable Housing and Density Bonuses", Final EIR, section 4.4-10; page 116.

¹⁶ Attention also needs to be focused on how the 35% Affordable Housing bonus area is being used in the mixed-use structure. West Hollywood Zoning Code §19.22.050 (B)(4) "Affordable Housing Incentives – Limitation on Use of Bonus" states: "A density bonus shall not be...Used to increase any commercial floor area of a mixed-use project; the affordable housing density bonus shall only be applied to the residential floor area of the project."

The neighborhood also questions the designation of 12 units as Live/Work, when their location in the building does not support viable *commerce*. Specifically, the 12 Live/Work units are located on the *second* floor, *with primary access on the residential side*. In fact, the Live/Work units (along with the "hair salon" and offices) share the same West Knoll *residential-district* lobby as all the residential units in the complex.

If the Live/Work units will be used the same as residential units, then why assign them the standalone category? The Planning Commission needs to evaluate if these 12 units warrant this specialized and exempt category. ¹⁷

Given these conflicting interpretations, the neighborhood asks the Planning Commissioners to perform a thorough analysis of the developer's calculations because they form the basis for the generous Affordable Housing density **bonus**, **concessions and waiver**.

Recommendation: Instruct Staff to prepare a table that clearly shows how the mixed-use structure meets the requirements of the Affordable Housing Density Bonus, including a validation of the Live/Work categorization. If the project does qualify, instruct Staff to confirm there are **no code variances** for the *two respective zones* other than the three (3) Affordable Housing Concessions.

4. Natural vs Existing Grade

Issue: There is discrepancy in the interpretation of the land survey, whether the commercial parcel slopes or lies flat, and its application to code standards. The Planning Commission needs to weigh in on the correct interpretation.

To a passerby on Santa Monica Blvd, it is clear the entire commercial lot is *level with the sidewalk*, save for the back parking lot on West Knoll Dr. For example, the open area between Wells Fargo and Collar and Leash is level (see photograph). There is no existing slope on the commercial property, only a step-up to the back-corner parking lot.¹⁸ This is corroborated in the geology diagrams included in the EIR.¹⁹

5, cont.

⁶

¹⁷ Regarding its exempt status, Live/Work units do not factor in the baseline units for calculating the Affordable Units requirement. In terms of function, West Hollywood Zoning Code §19.36.160 (D)(1) states for Live/Work units: "The first 50 feet of floor area depth at the *street-level* frontage shall be limited to commercial retail sales activities".

¹⁸ The commercial lot is on level grade, with an elevated planter bordering the rear, according to the Topographic Survey map included in the project plans (page7). Up to the elevated planter, along the west perimeter, the slope is approximately 0.7%. ¹⁹ Appendix D "Geotechnical Report", Final EIR, pages 966 and 1,088.



Collar & Leash parking lot, Santa Monica Blvd facing north

The slope line in the submitted plan elevations is extremely misleading. To the naked eye, the majority of the commercial property is level. It does not make sense the developer intends to scale up the height atop a flat surface. We estimate this gives him approximately 1.25 more floor levels than the maximum granted by the multiple bonuses.²⁰

The Frontrunners building (8525 Santa Monica Blvd, one block west from the proposed project) encountered the same situation. That developer, however, had to track the building height to the *existing* grade—not survey reports from the 1920s.

Deviating from the precedent opens up our City to unintended consequences and lawsuits. The mixed-use roofline, assuming the maximum height, needs to track to the existing grade.

Recommendation: If there is doubt, Planning Commissioners need to visit the site to verify for themselves if the Santa Monica Blvd land slopes up or lies level.

5. Risk of Extended Stay Conversion

Issue: The City of West Hollywood was recently sued for \$40MM by the 8500 Sunset owner over a possible loophole in the City's Code.²¹

Given the recent events around the Sunset Millenium complex (8500 Sunset Blvd), the Planning Commission needs to ensure the 100+ planned units remain part of our City's long-term housing

7

6, cont.

²⁰ West Hollywood Zoning Code §19.20.080 (B)(1) states "The maximum allowable height shall be measured as the vertical distance from the grade *existing at the time of project submittal* to an imaginary plane located [at] the allowed number of feet [distance] above and parallel to the *existing* grade." The normal allowed height for a mixed-use building in this commercial zone is **55 feet**, with all of the bonuses and concessions being requested. However, the actual height of the proposed building goes up to at least **71 feet** from the sidewalk grade on Santa Monica Blvd, or **29.1% above the maximum limit** (Appendix B, "South Elevation," Final EIR, page 744).

²¹ "8500 Sunset Owner Seeks \$40 Million in Damages from West Hollywood"; wehoville.com, 12 September 2018.

stock. We are seeing a similar adverse trend in other new mixed-use buildings in West Hollywood, such as the Avalon, Dylan, and Domain, where owners are *converting apartments into short-term rentals*.²²

7 cont.

8

This is tempting to developers / owners because short-term rentals command higher rent than long-term leases. And for rentals over 30 days, these owners do not pay Transient Occupancy Tax to our City. This gives them an unfair advantage over our conventional hotel operators.

We recommend the Planning Commission require a *condition* be recorded in the covenant / title documents that only long-term / annual lease rentals are allowed. Otherwise the City may face another lawsuit like the one recently filed by the Sunset Millennium.

Recommendation: Instruct the City Attorney to draft language that will be recorded in the property title documents. The covenant needs to define clearly the planned rental units will function only with annual leases, and monthly leases thereafter, precluding sub-leasing arrangements.

6. Traffic on West Knoll

Issue: West Knoll Dr is among the narrowest and oldest streets in West Hollywood. It cannot support the amount of traffic the planned development will demand.

The Planning Commission needs to require a condition requiring a **carriage lane**—on **both** sides of the driveway on West Knoll Dr—for passenger pickup and drop off.²³ Because the structures are combined into one, much of the building's vehicle and foot traffic will be shifted to West Knoll Dr.

All the mixed-use units (100+), as well as the second-floor commercial spaces, will enter / exit through the West Knoll lobby. The service elevator, likewise, is adjacent to the West Knoll lobby. And the upper garage fronting West Knoll Dr will service **105 vehicles**.²⁴ The carriage lane would

²² "Letters dated June 12 [2018] from Daniel Mick of the [West Hollywood] city's Code Compliance Division warn the owners of the Dylan, Huxley and Domain apartment buildings on the city's Eastside that they appear to be violating a ban on rentals of less than one year. Such a ban was enacted by the city to prevent building owners from taking apartments off the rental market and to prevent them from unauthorized competition with hotels." *As WeHo Examine AKA West Hollywood, Other Short-Term Apartment Rentals Come to Light*; wehoville.com, 9 July 2018.

²³ The portion of the carriage lane between the driveway and the corner at Santa Monica Blvd would be for loading, unloading and idling so that right-turn traffic onto Santa Monica Blvd would not be impeded. The portion of the carriage lane to the north of the driveway apron would be a yellow loading zone for any moving vans and car service so traffic on West Knoll Dr is not impeded.

²⁴ Planning Commission meeting 7 March 2019, Exhibit K project plans, "Mezzanine Floor Plan," page 24.

serve to move project traffic off the street and onto project property. This is a standard convention for large multi-unit residential buildings.



West Knoll Dr Residential Lobby, 2nd Floor Exterior



West Knoll Residential Lobby, 2nd Floor Plan

A carriage lane will mitigate problems caused by the tight width of the existing street jamming up with moving vans unloading on West Knoll, Uber cars idling, cars turning in and out of the West Knoll garage, business traffic on the opposite side like Healthy Spot and Total Tan, trash service, delivery trucks, etc., all servicing 100+ units and businesses. We anticipate a serious problem, creating unprecedented roadblock on the residential street. We are offering a reasonable and conventional solution.

Learning from past experience, the solutions provided at Trader Joe's (restricting times for big-rig truck deliveries) and Hancock Lofts (restricting exiting cars from heading north on Hancock) are *unenforceable*. In hindsight, these solutions did not resolve the issues.

Similarly, construction traffic (such as excavation equipment, cement and lumber trucks, cranes, etc), needs be *restricted* in order to minimize its impact on neighborhood parking and traffic flow. It's doubtful the 1920s road infrastructure in the neighborhood can support massive construction loads.

Recommendation: Require the developer to include a carriage lane along West Knoll Dr in order to service the high volume of stop-and-go traffic generated by new residents and guests. Ban all construction vehicles from the residential streets and plan to monitor and enforce compliance.

7. Water Table Level

Issue: The last water table measurement was taken in 2010. The project has expanded since that date, and it is possible the developer will need to extract large volumes of water. This will likely destabilize the foundations of adjacent buildings.

The neighborhood asks the Planning Commissioners to consider the *historical* water table level, not just the one-time reading in August 2010.²⁵ Summertime is typically the lowest level in the year, and we have been experiencing a long drought.²⁶ Furthermore, the reading was performed on the commercial lot, but *not* the residential lots. It's not clear what the water table level is for those higher-elevation lots.



Boring and Cone Penetrometer Sites, May 2014²⁷

This is a critical point, because the developer intends to excavate down three (3) levels, approximately -34 feet below ground, along the West Knoll Dr perimeter. While the Geology

9

²⁵ "Prior to the development of the City, West Hollywood was a natural area of high ground water, containing marshland and artesian wells...In some areas of the City, the high groundwater is manifested by water seepage into subterranean garages, street drains, and gutters, and by water in pipeline trenches....Groundwater levels have changed historically based on urbanization and changes in groundwater pumping and use; groundwater levels dropped through most of the 20th century but have recently risen. The California Division of Mines and Geology...encountered groundwater at depths ranging from 10 to 20 feet to deeper than 245 feet in borings from a 1998 study." Final Program Environmental Impact Report City of West Hollywood General Plan and Climate Action Plan Volume 1, City of West Hollywood Community Development Department, October 2010, section 3.7-2, page 226.

²⁶ "The depth to the water table can change (rise or fall) depending on the time of year...When water-loving plants start to grow again in the spring and precipitation gives way to hot, dry summers, the water table will fall because of evapotranspiration." US Geological Survey FAQ "How can I find the depth to the water table in a specific location?" www.usgs.gov.

²⁷ Proposed Mixed-use Development Site Plan, Figure 1; GeoDesign Inc., May 2014 (Final EIR, page 1,078).

diagram²⁸ indicates the historical water level is just below the proposed finished grade, *the excavation and foundation will go deeper*.²⁹ The City needs to be conservative in its evaluation.

9, cont.

If water needs to be pumped out during excavation, neighboring foundations will likely be impacted. This is corroborated by a licensed Structural Engineer.³⁰ Other subterranean projects along Santa Monica Blvd, like Hancock Lofts Apartments (8761 Santa Monica Blvd, three blocks west of the project), caused major water-table complications due to excavation.

The neighborhood asks that a **geological survey** be conducted in order to establish a baseline of existing conditions for surrounding properties. This survey is also in the best interest of the developer, since it can provide evidence in the event of any future damage claims.

Moreover, the City needs to require the developer purchase a **bond for potential damage** caused by settlement of neighboring buildings. Pumping out water will likely destabilize pre-existing foundations. The value of the bond should be determined through a study funded by the development, independently, or allow adjacent properties to set the value.

Recommendation: Require the developer commission a **geological survey** to measure adjacent properties in order to establish a baseline. Require that the developer purchase a **bond** to cover any damages caused by destabilizing foundations of adjacent building.

Conclusion

While the neighborhood is excited about new development and rehabilitating old structures, we are raising serious concerns and making valid recommendations. This proposal is massive and complex, and we appreciate the Planning Staff is doing its best to manage the challenges and complexity of the project.

10 However, we ask the Planning Commissioners take a hands-on role in analyzing this project in more depth. In particular, we ask that the Commissioners validate if (a) the developer is utilizing only three (3) Affordable Housing Concessions and (b) the Affordable Housing Waiver is necessary.

²⁸ Appendix D "Geotechnical Report", Final EIR, page 1,088.

²⁹ "The required excavations will extend below the historical high groundwater level, and there is a possibility that groundwater may be encountered during construction at the bottom of the excavation. In this case, suitable groundwater control provisions may be required." Letter to Ben Soroudi from C. Zadoorian, GeoDesign Inc, 22 May 2014 (ibid, page 1,081).
³⁰ Section 8.0 "Responses to Comments," Final EIR, page 276.

Moreover, we urge the Planning Commission to explore Alternative #2 in conjunction with Alternative #6. The project needs to be de-coupled and developed as two (2) separate buildings according to their two respective zoning codes, mixed-use vs. residential.

11

What the developer is proposing is an extreme amalgamation, where bonuses, concessions, waivers, functions and dotted slope-lines are inter-stitched between the two zones. This has led to a massive structure exceeding the limits allowed even with the maximum bonuses. The assumptions and risks underlying the project need *clear-sighted* and *thorough evaluation* by the Planning Commission.

COMMENTER: Adam Koffman, President, West Hollywood North Neighborhood Association

DATE: November 16, 2021

Response 12.1

The commenter includes a report dated March 5, 2019 and indicates that the report identifies seven issues that need to be addressed.

These comments were provided before circulation of the Recirculated Draft EIR. Please see Reponses 12.3 through 12.11 for specific responses to issues raised.

Response 12.2

The commenter notes that the first issue in the report regarding tying of zones may be irrelevant since another lot was added, but notes that the zoning code changed and still needs to be applied. The commenter cites West Hollywood Municipal Code (WHMC) Section 19.36.170A, Mixed Use Projects that Span Both Residential and Commercial Zoning Districts.

The commenter is correct the first issue raised by the commenter in their attached letter does not apply as the applicant is no longer requesting a waiver of the 60,000 square foot requirement for project spanning commercial and residential lots. With respect to the comment about the project consistency with WHMC Section 19.36.170A, that current code section does not apply to the project as it went into effect in 2019 after the project was deemed complete. The project application was deemed complete on September 11, 2016, and the project is subject to WHMC requirements at the time the application was deemed complete.

Response 12.3

In this first comment in the commenter's attachment dated March 5, 2019, the commenter explains that the project falls short of the 60,000 square feet needed to tie the commercial and residential lots and is asking for a waiver from the code requirements. The commenter also states the opinion that the project as one building is too tall and massive for the neighborhood, and recommends that the City deny the waiver to combine the commercial and residential parcels. The commenter suggests consideration of the "Existing Zoning" alternative and the "Reduced Density on R4B Lots" alternatives (from the 2017 Draft EIR), or a combination of those alternatives.

As noted by the commenter in Response 12.2, this comment no longer applies because the project site has expanded since circulation of the Recirculated Draft EIR in 2017 and the project site is of sufficient size for a project spanning residential and commercial lots. As noted in Section 2.0, *Project Description*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, the applicant is no longer requesting a waiver from this section of the code. As noted in the Recirculated Draft EIR, the "Reduced Density on R4B Lots" is no longer being considered as an alternative, and affordable units are incorporated into the R4B lots as a part of the proposed project. Alternative 2: Base Zoning in the Recirculated Draft EIR contemplates one structure spanning both the CC1 and

R4B lots, with affordable housing only on the R4B lots, and no housing, no affordable housing and no mixed-use bonus on the CC1 lots. The Draft EIR explains that while Alternative 2 would meet some of the objectives of the proposed project, it would not meet other objectives or achieve those objectives to a lesser degree:

h. Consistency with Project Objectives and Feasibility. This alternative would meet some of the objectives of the proposed project, but would not meet other objectives or would achieve those objectives to a lesser degree as compared to the proposed project. This alternative would not achieve several of the 2035 General Plan policies to promote the production of housing in the City. The WHMC and 2035 General Plan include a mixed-use bonus to encourage the development of residential uses, and such incentives are needed to enhance the City's housing stock. This alternative would not sufficiently utilize the project site and mixeduse bonus to promote the City's policies to increase market-rate and affordable residential units available in the City. Further, this alternative does not utilize the project site to promote the City's policies related to affordable housing, whereas the project applicant proposes an affordable housing project consistent with the WHMC and 2035 General Plan and consistent with the state's affordable housing requirements and state density law. This alternative also would not fully enhance the area's overall economic character, as it would not expand the City's economic base to the same degree as the proposed project. Further, although the project site is in the mixed-use overlay zone, this alternative does not provide for mixed residential and commercial uses on the commercial parcels. This alternative also would not avoid or substantially reduce the project's significant impact related to construction noise. The following is a discussion of this alternative compared to each objective.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 12.4

The commenter states a concern that the project does not meet the open space requirements required under the R4 zoning and recommends that the project provide a separate common open space area for each of the three parcels in the residential R4 district, claiming that other developers of similar residential properties were required to provide. The comment also states that the applicant "is excavating the R4 district to accommodate parking generated by the mixed-use CC1 structure."

As discussed in Section 4.4, *Land Use and Planning*, the proposed project provides a minimum of 120 square feet of private open space per dwelling unit, either in the form of a patio or balcony. In addition, the proposed project provides the required 2,000 square feet of common open space, plus another 5,292 square feet of open space. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the Zoning Ordinance requirements of 120 square feet of open space per unit and 2,000 square feet of common open space. The commenter's opinion about recommended open space on the residentially-zoned portion of the site is noted. In addition, as shown in Table 4.4-5 in Section 4.4, *Land Use and Planning*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, the project is consistent with all setback requirements in the WHMC for both the residential and commercial-zoned portions of the site.

Pursuant to Section 19.36.280 of the WHMC, multi-family residential projects must provide a minimum of 2,000 square feet of common open space. This project provides 2,000 sf of common open space along with 5,258 sf of additional open space as depicted on page A2.02 of the plans.

With respect to the parking, all of the parking spaces located on (or below) the R4 lots are spaces for residential or live/work uses and would not serve the commercial uses.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 12.5

The commenter states a concern about the methodology for calculating how the project qualifies for the Affordable Housing Density Bonus. The commenter asks for clarification of how the structure meets the Affordable Housing Density Bonus. The comment also questions the live/work designation and requests that the Planning Commission validate this designation.

As discussed in Section 4.4, *Land Use and Planning*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, residential density in the R4B zoning district is limited to 1 unit per 872 square feet. Because the R4B-zoned project site totals 18,933 square feet, the proposed project correctly identifies 22 apartment units as the baseline (18,933 /872). By contrast, there is no maximum density requirement for residential uses in commercial zoning districts because size limits are calculated only by Floor Area Ratio (FAR) (WHMC § 19.10.040, Table 2-6.). Thus, project developers are not limited by a unit count in this zone and applicants are permitted to choose their base residential base unit count, so long as it complies with the applicable FAR limitations and any size limitations for habitable units in the building code (and all other applicable standards that could limit the size or number of units). Therefore, for this project, for the CC1 portion of the project site, the baseline number of units proposed by applicant is 60 units. Accordingly, the Recirculated Draft EIR evaluates the appropriate number of baseline units.

As shown in tables 4.4-3 and 4.4-4 of the Recirculated Draft EIR, 21% (5 + 12 =17 units) of the baseline apartment units associated with the proposed project would be designated for affordable housing. Therefore, the proposed project would meet the City of West Hollywood's inclusionary housing ordinance and the requirements of SB 1818 (California Government Code 65915 et. seq.) by providing at least 20% of the baseline units as affordable housing. Accordingly, the proposed project would be allowed a 35% density bonus on the CC1 zone and a 32% density bonus in the R4B zone.

With respect to live/work units, Section 19.10.030 – Table 2-5 indicates that live/work units are considered commercial uses, and this designation was confirmed by the City Council in a consideration of the issue several years ago.

Response 12.6

The commenter states there is a discrepancy in the land survey about whether the parcel slopes or lies flat and claims that the allowed heights for the structure are affected.

The commenter does not provide evidence to support the claim the land survey is not accurate. The land survey was prepared by a qualified surveyor and is accurate. Sheets A4.01 through A4.03 in Appendix B to the Recirculated Draft EIR (Plans, Elevations, Renderings, and Landscaping Plan) demonstrate how the height of the structures comply with the City's requirements.

Response 12.7

The commenter states concern about future owners converting apartments into short-term rentals.

This comment is noted, but does not pertain to the Recirculated Draft EIR. Like all property owners in West Hollywood, owners of on-site units would need to comply with applicable local regulations pertaining to short-term rentals.

Response 12.8

The commenter states that West Knoll Drive is old and narrow and cannot support planned traffic from the development. The commenter suggests a carriage lane along West Knoll to serve the high volume of traffic and that construction vehicles should be banned on residential streets.

Please see Response 8.1. As discussed in that response, traffic congestion is no longer a CEQA issue. As discussed in Section 4.6, *Transportation*, the proposed project was evaluated for the potential to create safety hazards and impacts in this regard were found to be less than significant. The commenter's proposal for a carriage lane is noted, but would not be required to address a physical environmental impact under CEQA. In terms of construction traffic, as discussed in the responses to Letter 2, the project applicant would be required to prepare and implement a Construction Period Mitigation Plan to minimize construction-related impacts to nearby development.

Response 12.9

The commenter states concern that the last water table measurements were taken in 2010, that the project will require dewatering, and this will destabilize the foundations of existing buildings. The commenter indicates that other projects have had water-table complications due to excavation and states the developer needs a geological survey to measure adjacent properties to establish a baseline and that the developer should purchase a bond to cover damages caused by destabilizing the foundations of adjacent buildings.

Please see Response 17.1 with respect to recent groundwater explorations. As described in that response, groundwater levels were measured in January and February 2022 and found to be consistent with the groundwater levels identified in the Recirculated Draft EIR. As shown in the table in Response 17.1, in explorations over the past approximately 12 years groundwater levels have remained relatively stable between 201 and 207 feet above mean sea level (MSL).

Contrary to what the commenter states, the analysis in Section 4.2, *Geology and Hydrology* of the Recirculated Draft EIR takes the historic high groundwater level into account. As stated in that section, the historic high groundwater level at the site ranges from approximately 221 to 225

MSL depending on location within the site. The lowest proposed finished floor level would be established at 225 to 227 MSL and construction would require excavating four feet below the lowest finished floor level to 218.2 MSL. As stated above, in multiple explorations over 12 years groundwater has been encountered at depths between 201 and 2017 MSL. Therefore, it is unlikely that groundwater would be encountered during construction. Further, groundwater pumping is not anticipated as part of the proposed development and the proposed development will not alter the current groundwater conditions at and adjacent to the site. Nonetheless, because excavation would occur near the historical high groundwater level, Mitigation Measure GEO-3(b) to develop a dewatering plan is required. As stated in the measure, the dewatering plan would be reviewed and approved by the City Engineer and would identify the groundwater flow rate and means of discharge. In addition, although the commenter states that water table complications would occur, they do not provide evidence to support this claim. With required mitigation related to dewatering and structural design, impacts to adjacent properties are not anticipated and impacts would be less than significant. Please also see Response 10.4.

Response 12.10

The commenter summarizes a concern about the project and asks the City to validate the number of affordable housing concessions and whether the affordable housing waiver is necessary.

As described in Section 4.4, *Land Use and Planning*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR and in Response 12.5, the proposed project qualifies for an affordable housing density bonus. As described in Section 2.0, *Project Description*, the project applicant is seeking a density bonus based on the percentage of affordable units, as well as two associated regulatory "concessions" pursuant to state law (SB 1818 and West Hollywood Municipal Code (WHMC) Section 19.22.050). The requested concessions are:

- An additional story (adding not more than 10 feet to overall project height)
- An extra mezzanine level for residential parking (vehicle and bicycle) consisting of a partial level located above a portion of the first floor and below a portion of the second floor, open to the first floor and partially subterranean, and creating no greater volume in the project's envelope than that authorized under the Code (including height incentive and concession).

Response 12.11

The commenter urges the City to explore Alternative #2 in conjunction with Alternative #6 and suggests that the project should be de-coupled and developed as two separate buildings because the proposed project exceeds the limits allowed even with bonuses.

See Response 12.3. The support for certain project alternatives analyzed in the 2017 EIR is noted; however, the alternatives have changed in the Recirculated Draft EIR. As discussed in Section 6.0, *Alternatives*, the Recirculated Draft EIR no longer includes an Alternative #6. Neither Alternative #2 or #4 would eliminate the unavoidably significant construction noise impact. Further, the proposed project does not exceed allowed limits under local and state law. Nonetheless, the commenter's preference for separate developments is noted. This comment

does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



Karly Kaufman

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Sam Borelli <sam@samborelli.com> Wednesday, November 17, 2021 5:23 AM Laurie Yelton Sam Borelli 8555 Santa Monica Blvd Draft EIR Comment

You don't often get email from sam@samborelli.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Dear Laurie,

1

I know that it is still in the EIR phase but wanted to submit for the record my continued support of this project and look forward to its advancing to the next step. I especially appreciate and support the inclusion of desperately needed affordable housing.

Sincerely, Sam Borelli West Hollywood North Neighborhood Resident

COMMENTER: Sam Borelli

DATE: November 17, 2021

Response 13.1

The commenter states support for the project and expresses the need for affordable housing.

The support for the project is noted.

| / | | | |
|---|--------|----|--|
| | Letter | 14 | |
| | | | |

| From: | notifications@typeform.com |
|----------|---|
| То: | Bobby Safikhani; David Gillig; John Keho; Jennifer Alkire |
| Subject: | Typeform: New response for Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 |
| Date: | Wednesday, November 17, 2021 5:25:08 PM |

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Your typeform Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 has a new response:

- Please provide your name. Kyle McNally
- Hi Kyle McNally. Please provide your city of residence. West Hollywood
- Please provide your address and phone number. 1210 N Flores Street
- Would you like to comment during public comment or on a particular agenda item? AN AGENDA ITEM
- Please enter the agenda item number. 11

1

- Please indicate if you support or oppose the recommended item. I SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDED ITEM
- Please indicate if you are any of the following. None of the above
- Please provide your comment regarding item 11.

I support the proposed building at 8555 Santa Monica Blvd and support approving the revised EIR with less parking than code-required. My nearby household recently went carless precisely because the area around SM Blvd/La Cienega is immensely walkable to gyms, grocery store, restaurants etc. and has direct access to Metro buses, WeHo PickUp, scooters, bike share, etc. not to mention Uber/Lyft and the continued policy of "work from home" for many LA careers. I think increasing the number of homes in our city allows more people to enjoy the wonderful amenities we have, and I'm glad 111 more households can join us.

Typeform sent you this email on behalf of a typeform creator. We aren't responsible for its content. If you suspect abuse, like suspicious links, please report it <u>here</u>.

COMMENTER: Kyle McNally

DATE: November 17, 2021

Response 14.1

The commenter states support for the project with less parking than Code requires and ability of project residents to live without a car due to the walkable nature of the neighborhood and available transit options.

The support for the project is noted.
| / | | | |
|---|--------|----|--|
| | Letter | 15 | |
| | | | |

| From: | notifications@typeform.com |
|----------|---|
| То: | Bobby Safikhani; David Gillig; John Keho; Jennifer Alkire |
| Subject: | Typeform: New response for Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 |
| Date: | Wednesday, November 17, 2021 5:49:32 PM |

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Your typeform Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 has a new response:

- Please provide your name. Timothy Milius
- Hi Timothy Milius. Please provide your city of residence. West Hollywood
- Please provide your address and phone number. 8535 West Knoll Dr #318
- Would you like to comment during public comment or on a particular agenda item?
 PUBLIC COMMENT
- Please provide your comment for public comment.

Regarding the proposed demolition of all single family residences remaining opposite our building: this will change the block dramatically. I couldnt afford a single family residence with a yard when i purchased my condo. But i selected a neighborhood to live in that had a balanced mix of single fam and condos. By removing all single family

1 homes on the block facing our property destroys that. Yards are gone. And it'll just be sidewalk and a massive building. As someone who walks his dog regularly and enjoys the current look/feel, this will likely drive me out of neighborhood. Not to mention the hundreds of cars added to one block making it much less pedestrian friendly (with 346 parking spaces.)

Typeform sent you this email on behalf of a typeform creator. We aren't responsible for its content. If you suspect abuse, like suspicious links, please report it <u>here</u>.

Letter 15

COMMENTER: Timothy Milius

DATE: November 17, 2021

Response 15.1

The commenter states concern regarding the proposed buildings' height and size and how the project may impact the existing character of the community. The commenter also states concern regarding the potential pedestrian safety impacts of the proposed project.

As discussed in Section 4.4, *Land Use and Planning*, the proposed project is consistent with applicable requirements related to height, massing, and scale of the proposed structure. Nonetheless, the commenter's opinions on the project are noted. With respect to traffic safety, as discussed in Section 4.6, *Transportation*, the project was evaluated with respect to potential traffic hazards and impacts were found to be less than significant. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

| | (Letter 16) |
|----------|--|
| | |
| From: | notifications@typeform.com |
| То: | <u>Bobby Safikhani</u> ; <u>David Gillig</u> ; <u>John Keho</u> ; <u>Jennifer Alkire</u> |
| Subject: | Typeform: New response for Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 |
| Date: | Wednesday, November 17, 2021 8:44:12 PM |

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Your typeform Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 has a new response:

- Please provide your name. Michail Sykianakis
- Hi Michail Sykianakis. Please provide your city of residence. West Hollywood
- Please provide your address and phone number. 8535 West Knoll Drive
- Would you like to comment during public comment or on a particular agenda item? PUBLIC COMMENT
- Please provide your comment for public comment.

Dear Sir/Madame, I am extremely concerned with the proposed plan for the 8555 Santa Monica Blvd Luxury project. It remains extremely large for the capacity of our neighbourhood, almost certainly problematic in terms of the traffic issue it will inevitably create especially at this scale. Additionally and most importantly what is a major concern for all of us residents is the damage it can cause to our buildings subterraneanly with its invasive construction 3 stories below ground level. Please do not allow this monstrosity to ruin our beautiful neighbourhood and its character. Also, extensive research needs to be carried out independently to determine the possible damage it can cause to surrounding buildings. Please do not ignore our concerns. Thank you

Typeform sent you this email on behalf of a typeform creator. We aren't responsible for its content. If you suspect abuse, like suspicious links, please report it <u>here</u>.

1

Letter 16

COMMENTER: Michail Sykianakis

DATE: November 17, 2021

Response 16.1

The commenter states concern regarding the proposed buildings' size and how it may impact the existing character of the neighborhood. The commenter also states concern regarding the potential traffic impacts and ground stability impacts of the proposed project due to the subterranean parking structure.

With respect to impacts related to building scale, see Response 15.1. See Response 8.1 for a discussion related to traffic and Responses 9.1, 10.4, 10.8, and 17.1 for a discussion of impacts to adjacent properties.

| / | | | |
|---|---------|----|---|
| | l attar | 17 | |
| | Letter | 17 |) |
| ~ | _ | / | / |

| From: | Adam Koffman |
|----------|---|
| То: | David Gillig |
| Subject: | Planning Commission Meeting 18 Nov 2021 - Item 11 |
| Date: | Thursday, November 18, 2021 12:09:34 AM |
| | |

You don't often get email from arkoffman@hotmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Dear David,

Can you please forward this letter (below) to the Planning Commissioners? This is in reference this Thursday night's meeting, item 11.

Thank you,

Adam

1

Dear Commissioners,

In today's <u>news</u>, a lawsuit claims construction of a new building in Surfside, Florida caused the tragic collapse of the Champlain Towers in June. The lawsuit contends vibrations from the construction and groundwater funneled from the new building weakened the structure of the Champlain Towers, precipitating its harrowing collapse.

This disclosure should be a red flag to our community and is timely as your Commission is now evaluating the EIR for 8555 Santa Monica Blvd. Going as far back as <u>2013</u>, residents have raised serious concerns about our region's **groundwater table** and the **impact major excavation will have on neighboring homes and residents' safety**.

The hydrology report in the EIR was based on boring tests performed back in 2010 and earlier. Since that time, the groundwater table has risen. We know this because city staff scoped out a \$499K road drainage project to mitigate water seepage at the intersection of West Knoll and Westbourne. The staff <u>report</u> for the Council meeting on July 1, 2021 describes the project:

...to reconstruct the street with a subsurface drainage system to eliminate the groundwater that has been rising and seeping through cracks in the roadway and pavement. The groundwater is currently reaching the surface of the pavement and washing down the block.

2 U This major road drainage project is approx. 650 feet from the 8555 Santa Monica Blvd site.

⁸⁻⁷⁷ ITEM 11.A. ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE (11.18.2021)

With such proximity, the groundwater table under the 8555 Santa Monica Blvd site is more than likely in parity. In other words, the 2010 boring tests are likely obsolete and cannot provide reliable data to plan real mitigation needed for the project's deep excavation.

2 cont.

I'm bringing this significant gap to your attention as you evaluate the EIR because hydrology can have a major impact on the structural integrity of adjacent homes and buildings and poses serious risk to residents' safety. This is a fundamental point in the lawsuit filed on behalf of Champlain Towers victims.

In addition to the lack of good, reliable hydrology data in the EIR, there is also a lack of substantial engineering studies on **how the subterranean retaining wall will be constructed and anchored**. Current industry best practice would employ a tie-back method where lateral anchors would be forced under West Knoll Dr to hold back the wall. It's not studied in the EIR how far back the anchors would need to extend beneath the street, or whether that's the intended solution.

Like the hydrology study, more engineering studies are needed to evaluate and measure solutions to secure the three-story subterranean retaining wall. This too can pose a risk to neighboring residences. For example, the subterranean garage at the Getty Museum utilized tie-back anchors which extend under the 405 freeway. During construction, damage to the freeway structure was identified due to the torque of the anchors.

Before certification, the EIR needs to lay out the engineering and study the impact of the massive, subterranean retaining wall. And new groundwater table measurements need to be performed in order to formulate mitigation planning more adequate for conditions in 2021, not 2010.

Sincerely,

Adam Koffman WHNNA President

Click above links for attachments

4

3

Letter 17

COMMENTER: Adam Koffman, President, West Hollywood North Neighborhood Association

DATE: November 18, 2021

Response 17.1

The commenter refers to an Associated Press news article (Lawsuit: Florida Condo Collapse Triggered by Building Work, published November 17, 2021), which describes a lawsuit regarding the Champlain Towers condominium collapse in Surfside, Florida. The lawsuit contends that construction of another condominium building adjacent to Champlain Towers contributed to the building's collapse. The commenter states concern regarding the groundwater table and the potential impacts to residents' safety that could result from project excavation and construction. The commenter states that the hydrology report in the EIR is based on boring tests performed in 2010 and earlier and since that time the groundwater table has risen. The commenter bases this claim on a West Hollywood City Council staff report, dated July 21, 2021, which describes a project that evaluated groundwater levels in West Hollywood more recently than the Recirculated Draft EIR and that groundwater seepage is occurring through the sidewalk. The commenter reiterates that the 2010 borings are obsolete and that the hydrology will affect the structural integrity of neighboring homes.

As explained in Section 4.2, *Geology and Soils*, of the Recirculated Draft EIR, previous borings have been conducted to determine groundwater levels at the project site. Contrary to what the commenter states, borings and cone penetration tests occurred between 2010 and 2018. Additionally, in response to public comments raised on the Recirculated Draft EIR, additional explorations occurred in January and February 2022. A summary of previous and recent explorations is provided in the below table.

| Exploration | Exploration Elevation (feet above mean sea level) | Date | Depth to Groundwater Below Existing Ground Surface (feet) | Groundwater Elevation (feet above mean sea level) |
|------------------------------|--|---------------|--|--|
| Boring-1 | 235 | August 2010 | 30 | 205 |
| Boring-2 | 255 | August 2010 | 49 | 206 |
| | | May 2017 | 32 | 203 |
| Boring-3 | 235 | June 2018 | 34 | 201 |
| | | January 2022 | 31 | 204 |
| Boring-4 | 258 | February 2022 | 58 | 200 |
| Boring-5 | 253 | February 2022 | 48 | 205 |
| Cone Penetrometer Test-1 | 237 | August 2010 | 30 | 207 |
| Cone Penetrometer Test -2 | 253 | August 2010 | 47 | 206 |

Summary of Groundwater Levels

| Exploration | Exploration Elevation (feet above mean sea level) | Date | Depth to Groundwater Below Existing Ground Surface (feet) | Groundwater Elevation (feet above mean sea level) |
|------------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|
| Cone Penetrometer Test -3 | 237 | August 2010 | 30 | 207 |

Source: Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.. Response to Public Comments to Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Proposed Mixed-Use Development. February 22, 2022.

As shown in the table, over the approximately 12 years of groundwater data, the groundwater levels have remained stable and the groundwater level across the site is roughly flat. The potential for the current groundwater levels to rise to the historic high groundwater level, while possible, is considered to be highly unlikely, noting that the primary reason that the current groundwater levels are considerably lower now than 50 to 100 years ago is due to large-scale groundwater pumping that has been on-going since the turn of last century. Since the 1960s, groundwater injection initiatives throughout the Greater Los Angeles area have resulted in relatively stable groundwater levels.

Based on the data available at this time, groundwater is not anticipated to be encountered during construction nor is it anticipated to impact construction as the mass excavation for the proposed mat foundation will extend to approximately an elevation of 220 MSL. The current groundwater level ranges from approximately 201 to 207 MSL, at least 13 feet below the lowest planned mass excavation level. This information does not change the findings and conclusions of the EIR. As discussed in Section 4.2, Geology and Soils, groundwater is not likely to be encountered. Nonetheless, in the unlikely event that groundwater does reach the historic high groundwater table level, mitigation is included to provide provisions for dewatering that would reduce potential impacts. The historic high groundwater level ranges from 221 to 225 feet above mean sea level (MSL). The lowest planned finish floor level is approximately at the historical high groundwater level. Mitigation Measure GEO-3(a) requires that additional groundwater samples be taken before construction to confirm groundwater levels. If dewatering would be needed, Mitigation Measure GEO-3(b), Dewatering Plan, would be required. With mitigation, impacts would be less than significant. The mat foundation of this level would be waterproof, as required by California Building Code sections 8005.1.3 and 1005.3 and permanent dewatering would not be required. Please also see Response 10.4.

With respect to the nearby project approved referenced by the commenter, according to the City's Public Works Department, this involved a City-initiated project (Westbourne CIP) where the City had a geotechnical report prepared and a seepage investigation report in the 900 block of Westbourne Drive. The report states "Regarding the cracking and separation distress [in this block], whereas groundwater would not be generally considered a likely cause of the observed distress, it cannot be ruled out without further investigation. Typically, the first step of such an investigation would be to perform a floor level survey to determine the magnitude, distribution, and pattern of the foundation and floor slab movement. This office can perform such an investigation upon request."

The report further stated, "The suggested connection between the seepage [in this block] and the performance of the investigation [in the 8600 block of] W. Knoll Drive does not seem to be consistent with the reported investigation dates. The depth to groundwater [in the 8600 block of] W. Knoll Drive is noted in the reviewed reports ranging from 10 to 39 feet at the time of their

investigations. The origin of the open core hole in the seepage area is unknown and the fact that the seepage is currently observed to flow only from this core hole, rather than from the nearby pavement cracks, is most likely a consequence that the core hole acts as a drain. In other words, if the open core hole is plugged, the seepage through the cracks is likely to re-appear."

The City has issued many building permits for developments within the high groundwater table area. The report referenced by the commenter does not appear to have relevance to the proposed project.

Response 17.2

The commenter states concern regarding the 2010 boring tests conducted for the Recirculated Draft EIR, and claims they are out of date. The commenter further states that hydrology can have a major impact on the structural integrity of homes and buildings in the project vicinity and claims this is a primary factor of the lawsuit described in the Associated Press article.

Please see responses 12.9 and 17.1.

Response 17.3

The commenter states concern regarding the subterranean retaining wall element of the proposed project. The commenter claims that the current industry best practice is to utilize lateral anchors to support the wall, and that these anchors would be placed under West Knoll Drive. The commenter states that the Recirculated Draft EIR does not state if this is the intended method for the proposed project, and if so, the Recirculated Draft EIR does not properly evaluate the potential impacts of this method.

Please see Response 10.4.

Response 17.4

The commenter states that further engineering studies are required to fully evaluate the potential impacts of the subterranean retaining wall, and further groundwater table measurements are required to plan adequate mitigation. The commenter refers to the subterranean garage structure of the Getty Museum and claims that the structure's lateral anchors resulted in damage to Interstate 405.

Please see Response 10.4 and 17.1.



139 South Hudson Avenue Suite 200 Pasadena, California 91101

VIA E-MAIL

November 18, 2021

Melissa Crowder, City Clerk City of West Hollywood 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069-4314 Em: mcrowder@weho.org

Laurie Yelton City of West Hollywood 8300 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069 Em: <u>lyelton@weho.org</u> Letter 18

RE: <u>City of West Hollywood Planning Commission Meeting, Agenda Item</u> <u>No. 11A Regarding the 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project</u> (SCH No. 2013041041)

Dear Melissa Crowder and Laurie Yelton,,

On behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("**SWRCC**" or "**Southwest Carpenters**"), my Office is submitting these comments on the City of West Hollywood's ("**City**" or "**Lead Agency**") Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report "**DEIR**") (SCH No. 2013041041) for the 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project ("**Project**").

1 The Southwest Carpenters is a labor union representing more than 50,000 union carpenters in six states and has a strong interest in well ordered land use planning and addressing the environmental impacts of development projects.

Individual members of the Southwest Carpenters live, work and recreate in the City and surrounding communities and would be directly affected by the Project's environmental impacts. City of West Hollywood - 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project November 18, 2021 Page 2 of 11

The Southwest Carpenters expressly reserves the right to supplement these comments at or prior to hearings on the Project, and at any later hearings and proceedings related to this Project. Cal. Gov. Code § 65009(b); Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21177(a); *Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. Bakersfield* (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184, 1199-1203; see *Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Water Dist.* (1997) 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121.

SWRCC incorporates by reference all comments raising issues regarding the EIR
submitted prior to certification of the EIR for the Project. *Citizens for Clean Energy v City*of Woodland (2014) 225 Cal. App. 4th 173, 191 (finding that any party who has objected to the Project's environmental documentation may assert any issue timely raised by other parties).

Moreover, SWRCC requests that the Lead Agency provide notice for any and all notices referring or related to the Project issued under the California Environmental Quality Act ("**CEQA**"), Cal Public Resources Code ("**PRC**") § 21000 *et seq*, and the California Planning and Zoning Law ("**Planning and Zoning Law**"), Cal. Gov't Code §§ 65000–65010. California Public Resources Code Sections 21092.2, and 21167(f) and Government Code Section 65092 require agencies to mail such notices to any person who has filed a written request for them with the clerk of the agency's governing body.

The City should require the Applicant provide additional community benefits such as requiring local hire and use of a skilled and trained workforce to build the Project. The City should require the use of workers who have graduated from a Joint Labor Management apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California, or have at least as many hours of on-the-job experience in the applicable craft which would be required to graduate from such a state approved apprenticeship training program or who are registered apprentices in an apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California.

2

1, cont.

Community benefits such as local hire and skilled and trained workforce requirements can also be helpful to reduce environmental impacts and improve the positive economic impact of the Project. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. As environmental consultants Matt Hagemann and Paul E. Rosenfeld note:

[A]ny local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling.

Skilled and trained workforce requirements promote the development of skilled trades that yield sustainable economic development. As the California Workforce Development Board and the UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education concluded:

... labor should be considered an investment rather than a cost – and investments in growing, diversifying, and upskilling California's workforce can positively affect returns on climate mitigation efforts. In other words, well trained workers are key to delivering emissions reductions and moving California closer to its climate targets.¹

Recently, on May 7, 2021, the South Coast Air Quality Management District found that the "[u]se of a local state-certified apprenticeship program or a skilled and trained workforce with a local hire component" can result in air pollutant reductions.²

Cities are increasingly adopting local skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements into general plans and municipal codes. For example, the City of Hayward 2040 General Plan requires the City to "promote local hiring . . . to help

¹ California Workforce Development Board (2020) Putting California on the High Road: A Jobs and Climate Action Plan for 2030 at p. ii, *available at* <u>https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/</u><u>wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Putting-California-on-the-High-Road.pdf</u>

² South Coast Air Quality Management District (May 7, 2021) Certify Final Environmental Assessment and Adopt Proposed Rule 2305 – Warehouse Indirect Source Rule – Warehouse Actions and Investments to Reduce Emissions Program, and Proposed Rule 316 – Fees for Rule 2305, Submit Rule 2305 for Inclusion Into the SIP, and Approve Supporting Budget Actions, *available at* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/</u><u>Agendas/Governing-Board/2021/2021-May7-027.pdf?sfvrsn=10</u>

achieve a more positive jobs-housing balance, and reduce regional commuting, gas consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions."³

In fact, the City of Hayward has gone as far as to adopt a Skilled Labor Force policy into its Downtown Specific Plan and municipal code, requiring developments in its Downtown area to requiring that the City "[c]ontribute to the stabilization of regional construction markets by spurring applicants of housing and nonresidential developments to require contractors to utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs, . . ."⁴ In addition, the City of Hayward requires all projects 30,000 square feet or larger to "utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs."⁵

Locating jobs closer to residential areas can have significant environmental benefits. As the California Planning Roundtable noted in 2008:

People who live and work in the same jurisdiction would be more likely to take transit, walk, or bicycle to work than residents of less balanced communities and their vehicle trips would be shorter. Benefits would include potential reductions in both vehicle miles traveled and vehicle hours traveled.⁶

In addition, local hire mandates as well as skill training are critical facets of a strategy to reduce vehicle miles traveled. As planning experts Robert Cervero and Michael Duncan noted, simply placing jobs near housing stock is insufficient to achieve VMT reductions since the skill requirements of available local jobs must be matched to those held by local residents.⁷ Some municipalities have tied local hire and skilled and

2, cont.

³ City of Hayward (2014) Hayward 2040 General Plan Policy Document at p. 3-99, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/General Plan FINAL.pdf</u>.

⁴ City of Hayward (2019) Hayward Downtown Specific Plan at p. 5-24, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/Hayward%20Downtown%20Specific%20</u> <u>Plan.pdf</u>.

⁵ City of Hayward Municipal Code, Chapter 10, § 28.5.3.020(C).

⁶ California Planning Roundtable (2008) Deconstructing Jobs-Housing Balance at p. 6, *available at* <u>https://cproundtable.org/static/media/uploads/publications/cpr-jobs-housing.pdf</u>

⁷ Cervero, Robert and Duncan, Michael (2006) Which Reduces Vehicle Travel More: Jobs-Housing Balance or Retail-Housing Mixing? Journal of the American Planning Association 72 (4), 475-490, 482, *available at* <u>http://reconnectingamerica.org/assets/Uploads/UTCT-825.pdf</u>.

trained workforce policies to local development permits to address transportation issues. As Cervero and Duncan note:

In nearly built-out Berkeley, CA, the approach to balancing jobs and housing is to create local jobs rather than to develop new housing." The city's First Source program encourages businesses to hire local residents, especially for entry- and intermediate-level jobs, and sponsors vocational training to ensure residents are employment-ready. While the program is voluntary, some 300 businesses have used it to date, placing more than 3,000 city residents in local jobs since it was launched in 1986. When needed, these carrots are matched by sticks, since the city is not shy about negotiating corporate participation in First Source as a condition of approval for development permits.

The City should consider utilizing skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements to benefit the local area economically and mitigate greenhouse gas, air quality and transportation impacts.

The City should also require the Project to be built to standards exceeding the current 2019 California Green Building Code to mitigate the Project's environmental impacts and to advance progress towards the State of California's environmental goals.

I. THE PROJECT WOULD BE APPROVED IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

A. <u>Background Concerning the California Environmental Quality Act</u>

CEQA has two basic purposes. First, CEQA is designed to inform decision makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of a project. 14 California Code of Regulations ("**CCR**" or "**CEQA Guidelines**") § 15002(a)(1).⁸ "Its purpose is to inform the public and its responsible officials of the environmental consequences of their decisions *before* they are made. Thus, the EIR 'protects not only the environment but also informed self-government.' [Citation.]" *Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors* (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 553, 564. The EIR has been described as

2, cont.

4

3

⁸ The CEQA Guidelines, codified in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15000 *et seq*, are regulatory guidelines promulgated by the state Natural Resources Agency for the implementation of CEQA. (Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21083.) The CEQA Guidelines are given "great weight in interpreting CEQA except when . . . clearly unauthorized or erroneous." *Center for Biological Diversity v. Department of Fish & Wildlife* (2015) 62 Cal. 4th 204, 217.

City of West Hollywood - 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project November 18, 2021 Page 6 of 11

"an environmental 'alarm bell' whose purpose it is to alert the public and its responsible officials to environmental changes before they have reached ecological points of no return." *Berkeley Keep Jets Over the Bay v. Bd. of Port Comm'rs.* (2001) 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354 ("*Berkeley Jets*"); *County of Inyo v. Yorty* (1973) 32 Cal. App. 3d 795, 810.

4, cont Second, CEQA directs public agencies to avoid or reduce environmental damage when possible by requiring alternatives or mitigation measures. CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2) and (3). See also, Berkeley Jets, 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354; Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 553; Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents of the University of California (1988) 47 Cal. 3d 376, 400. The EIR serves to provide public agencies and the public in general with information about the effect that a proposed project is likely to have on the environment and to "identify ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced." CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2). If the project has a significant effect on the environment, the agency may approve the project only upon finding that it has "eliminated or substantially lessened all significant effects on the environment are "acceptable due to overriding concerns" specified in CEQA section 21081. CEQA Guidelines § 15092(b)(2)(A–B).

While the courts review an EIR using an "abuse of discretion" standard, "the reviewing court is not to 'uncritically rely on every study or analysis presented by a project proponent in support of its position.' A 'clearly inadequate or unsupported study is entitled to no judicial deference." *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1355 (emphasis added) (quoting *Laurel Heights*, 47 Cal. 3d at 391, 409 fn. 12). Drawing this line and determining whether the EIR complies with CEQA's information disclosure requirements presents a question of law subject to independent review by the courts. *Sierra Club v. Cnty. of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal. 5th 502, 515; *Madera Oversight Coalition, Inc. v. County of Madera* (2011) 199 Cal. App. 4th 48, 102, 131. As the court stated in *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th at 1355:

A prejudicial abuse of discretion occurs "if the failure to include relevant information precludes informed decision-making and informed public participation, thereby thwarting the statutory goals of the EIR process.

The preparation and circulation of an EIR is more than a set of technical hurdles for agencies and developers to overcome. The EIR's function is to ensure that government officials who decide to build or approve a project do so with a full

City of West Hollywood - 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project November 18, 2021 Page 7 of 11

understanding of the environmental consequences and, equally important, that the public is assured those consequences have been considered. For the EIR to serve these goals it must present information so that the foreseeable impacts of pursuing the project can be understood and weighed, and the public must be given an adequate opportunity to comment on that presentation before the decision to go forward is made. *Communities for a Better Environment v. Richmond* (2010) 184 Cal. App. 4th 70, 80 (quoting *Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v. City of Rancho Cordova* (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 412, 449–450).

B. <u>CEQA Requires Revision and Recirculation of an Environmental Impact</u> Report When Substantial Changes or New Information Comes to Light

Section 21092.1 of the California Public Resources Code requires that "[w]hen significant new information is added to an environmental impact report after notice has been given pursuant to Section 21092 ... but prior to certification, the public agency shall give notice again pursuant to Section 21092, and consult again pursuant to Sections 21104 and 21153 before certifying the environmental impact report" in order to give the public a chance to review and comment upon the information. CEQA Guidelines § 15088.5.

Significant new information includes "changes in the project or environmental setting as well as additional data or other information" that "deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative)." CEQA Guidelines § 15088.5(a). Examples of significant new information requiring recirculation include "new significant environmental impacts from the project or from a new mitigation measure," "substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact," "feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed" as well as when "the draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded." *Id.*

An agency has an obligation to recirculate an environmental impact report for public notice and comment due to "significant new information" regardless of whether the agency opts to include it in a project's environmental impact report. *Cadiz Land Co. v. Rail Cycle* (2000) 83 Cal. App. 4th 74, 95 [finding that in light of a new expert report disclosing potentially significant impacts to groundwater supply "the EIR should have been revised and recirculated for purposes of informing the public and governmental

4, cont. City of West Hollywood - 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project November 18, 2021

Page 8 of 11

5,

6

cont.

agencies of the volume of groundwater at risk and to allow the public and governmental agencies to respond to such information."]. If significant new information was brought to the attention of an agency prior to certification, an agency is required to revise and recirculate that information as part of the environmental impact report.

C. <u>Due to the COVID-19 Crisis, the City Must Adopt a Mandatory Finding</u> of Significance that the Project May Cause a Substantial Adverse Effect on Human Beings and Mitigate COVID-19 Impacts

CEQA requires that an agency make a finding of significance when a Project may cause a significant adverse effect on human beings. PRC § 21083(b)(3); CEQA Guidelines § 15065(a)(4).

Public health risks related to construction work requires a mandatory finding of significance under CEQA. Construction work has been defined as a Lower to High-risk activity for COVID-19 spread by the Occupations Safety and Health Administration. Recently, several construction sites have been identified as sources of community spread of COVID-19.⁹

SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency adopt additional CEQA mitigation measures to mitigate public health risks from the Project's construction activities. SWRCC requests that the Lead Agency require safe on-site construction work practices as well as training and certification for any construction workers on the Project Site.

In particular, based upon SWRCC's experience with safe construction site work practices, SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency require that while construction activities are being conducted at the Project Site:

Construction Site Design:

- The Project Site will be limited to two controlled entry points.
- Entry points will have temperature screening technicians taking temperature readings when the entry point is open.

8-89

⁹ Santa Clara County Public Health (June 12, 2020) COVID-19 CASES AT CONSTRUCTION SITES HIGHLIGHT NEED FOR CONTINUED VIGILANCE IN SECTORS THAT HAVE REOPENED, *available at* <u>https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Pages/press-release-06-12-2020-cases-at-constructionsites.aspx</u>.

| Î | • | The Temperature Screening Site Plan shows details regarding access to the Project Site and Project Site logistics for conducting temperature screening. | | | |
|----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 6, cont. | • | A 48-hour advance notice will be provided to all trades prior to the first day of temperature screening. | | | |
| | • | The perimeter fence directly adjacent to the entry points will be clearly marked indicating the appropriate 6-foot social distancing position for when you approach the screening area. Please reference the Apex temperature screening site map for additional details. | | | |
| | • | There will be clear signage posted at the project site directing you through temperature screening. | | | |
| | • | Provide hand washing stations throughout the construction site. | | | |
| | Testing Pro | ocedures: | | | |
| | • | The temperature screening being used are non-contact devices. | | | |
| | • | Temperature readings will not be recorded. | | | |
| | • | Personnel will be screened upon entering the testing center and should only take 1-2 seconds per individual. | | | |
| | • | Hard hats, head coverings, sweat, dirt, sunscreen or any other cosmetics must be removed on the forehead before temperature screening. | | | |
| | • | Anyone who refuses to submit to a temperature screening or does not answer the health screening questions will be refused access to the Project Site. | | | |
| | • | Screening will be performed at both entrances from 5:30 am to 7:30 am.; main gate [ZONE 1] and personnel gate [ZONE 2] | | | |
| | • | After 7:30 am only the main gate entrance [ZONE 1] will continue to be used for temperature testing for anybody | | | |

gaining entry to the project site such as returning personnel, deliveries, and visitors.

- If the digital thermometer displays a temperature reading above 100.0 degrees Fahrenheit, a second reading will be taken to verify an accurate reading.
- If the second reading confirms an elevated temperature, DHS will instruct the individual that he/she will not be allowed to enter the Project Site. DHS will also instruct the individual to promptly notify his/her supervisor and his/her human resources (HR) representative and provide them with a copy of Annex A.

<u>Planning</u>

Require the development of an Infectious Disease Preparedness and Response Plan that will include basic infection prevention measures (requiring the use of personal protection equipment), policies and procedures for prompt identification and isolation of sick individuals, social distancing (prohibiting gatherings of no more than 10 people including all-hands meetings and all-hands lunches) communication and training and workplace controls that meet standards that may be promulgated by the Center for Disease Control, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Cal/OSHA, California Department of Public Health or applicable local public health agencies.¹⁰

The United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Carpenters International Training Fund has developed COVID-19 Training and Certification to ensure that Carpenter union members and apprentices conduct safe work practices. The Agency should require that all construction workers undergo COVID-19 Training and Certification before being allowed to conduct construction activities at the Project Site.

6, cont.

¹⁰ See also The Center for Construction Research and Training, North America's Building Trades Unions (April 27 2020) NABTU and CPWR COVIC-19 Standards for U.S Constructions Sites, available at https://www.cpwr.com/wp-content/uploads/publications/NABTU CPWR Standards COVID-19.pdf; Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (2020) Guidelines for Construction Sites During COVID-19 Pandemic, available at https://dpw.lacounty.gov/building-and-safety/docs/pw_guidelines-construction-sites.pdf.

City of West Hollywood - 8555 Santa Monica Boulevard Mixed-Use Project November 18, 2021 Page 11 of 11

SWRCC has also developed a rigorous Infection Control Risk Assessment ("**ICRA**") training program to ensure it delivers a workforce that understands how to identify and control infection risks by implementing protocols to protect themselves and all others during renovation and construction projects in healthcare environments.¹¹

ICRA protocols are intended to contain pathogens, control airflow, and protect patients during the construction, maintenance and renovation of healthcare facilities. ICRA protocols prevent cross contamination, minimizing the risk of secondary infections in patients at hospital facilities.

The City should require the Project to be built using a workforce trained in ICRA protocols.

II. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The Southwest Carpenters request that the City revise and recirculate the Project's environmental impact report to address the aforementioned concerns. If the City has any questions or concerns, feel free to contact my Office.

Sincerely,

6,

cont.

7

Mitchell M. Tsai

Attorneys for the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters

Attached:

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling (Exhibit A);

Air Quality and GHG Expert Paul Rosenfeld CV (Exhibit B); and

Air Quality and GHG Expert Matt Hagemann CV (Exhibit C).

¹¹ For details concerning SWRCC's ICRA training program, see <u>https://icrahealthcare.com/</u>.

EXHIBIT A



2656 29th Street, Suite 201 Santa Monica, CA 90405

Matt Hagemann, P.G, C.Hg. (949) 887-9013 <u>mhagemann@swape.com</u>

> Paul E. Rosenfeld, PhD (310) 795-2335 prosenfeld@swape.com

March 8, 2021

Mitchell M. Tsai 155 South El Molino, Suite 104 Pasadena, CA 91101

Subject: Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling

Dear Mr. Tsai,

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise ("SWAPE") is pleased to provide the following draft technical report explaining the significance of worker trips required for construction of land use development projects with respect to the estimation of greenhouse gas ("G HG") emissions. The report will also discuss the potential for local hire requirements to reduce the length of worker trips, and consequently, reduced or mitigate the potential GHG impacts.

Worker Trips and Greenhouse Gas Calculations

The California Emissions Estimator Model ("CalEEMod") is a "statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects."¹ CalEEMod quantifies construction-related emissions associated with land use projects resulting from off-road construction equipment; on-road mobile equipment associated with workers, vendors, and hauling; fugitive dust associated with grading, demolition, truck loading, and on-road vehicles traveling along paved and unpaved roads; and architectural coating activities; and paving.²

The number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.³

¹ "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.

 ² "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.
 ³ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-

source/caleemod/01_user-39-s-guide2016-3-2_15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

Specifically, the number and length of vehicle trips is utilized to estimate the vehicle miles travelled ("VMT") associated with construction. Then, utilizing vehicle-class specific EMFAC 2014 emission factors, CalEEMod calculates the vehicle exhaust, evaporative, and dust emissions resulting from construction-related VMT, including personal vehicles for worker commuting.⁴

Specifically, in order to calculate VMT, CalEEMod multiplies the average daily trip rate by the average overall trip length (see excerpt below):

"VMT_d = Σ (Average Daily Trip Rate i * Average Overall Trip Length i) n

Where:

n = Number of land uses being modeled."5

Furthermore, to calculate the on-road emissions associated with worker trips, CalEEMod utilizes the following equation (see excerpt below):

"Emissions_{pollutant} = VMT * EF_{running,pollutant}

Where:

Emissions_{pollutant} = emissions from vehicle running for each pollutant

VMT = vehicle miles traveled

EF_{running,pollutant} = emission factor for running emissions."⁶

Thus, there is a direct relationship between trip length and VMT, as well as a direct relationship between VMT and vehicle running emissions. In other words, when the trip length is increased, the VMT and vehicle running emissions increase as a result. Thus, vehicle running emissions can be reduced by decreasing the average overall trip length, by way of a local hire requirement or otherwise.

Default Worker Trip Parameters and Potential Local Hire Requirements

As previously discussed, the number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.⁷ In order to understand how local hire requirements and associated worker trip length reductions impact GHG emissions calculations, it is important to consider the CalEEMod default worker trip parameters. CalEEMod provides recommended default values based on site-specific information, such as land use type, meteorological data, total lot acreage, project type and typical equipment associated with project type. If more specific project information is known, the user can change the default values and input project-specific values, but the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") requires that such changes be justified by substantial evidence.⁸ The default number of construction-related worker trips is calculated by multiplying the

⁴ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 14-15.

⁵ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 23.

⁶ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 15.

⁷ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/caleemod/01 user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

Source/caleeniou/or user-59-5-guide2016-5-2 15november2017.pdffstv1sn=4, p. .

⁸ CalEEMod User Guide, *available at: <u>http://www.caleemod.com/</u>*, p. 1, 9.

number of pieces of equipment for all phases by 1.25, with the exception of worker trips required for the building construction and architectural coating phases.⁹ Furthermore, the worker trip vehicle class is a 50/25/25 percent mix of light duty autos, light duty truck class 1 and light duty truck class 2, respectively."¹⁰ Finally, the default worker trip length is consistent with the length of the operational home-to-work vehicle trips.¹¹ The operational home-to-work vehicle trip lengths are:

"[B]ased on the <u>location</u> and <u>urbanization</u> selected on the project characteristic screen. These values were <u>supplied by the air districts or use a default average for the state</u>. Each district (or county) also assigns trip lengths for urban and rural settings" (emphasis added).¹²

Thus, the default worker trip length is based on the location and urbanization level selected by the User when modeling emissions. The below table shows the CalEEMod default rural and urban worker trip lengths by air basin (see excerpt below and Attachment A).¹³

| Worker Trip Length by Air Basin | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Air Basin | Air Basin Rural (miles) Urban (miles) | | | | | |
| Great Basin Valleys | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| Lake Tahoe | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| Mountain Counties | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| North Central Coast | 17.1 | 12.3 | | | | |
| North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| Northeast Plateau | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| Sacramento Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| Salton Sea | 14.6 | 11 | | | | |
| San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| San Francisco Bay Area | 10.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| San Joaquin Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| South Central Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | |
| South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 | | | | |
| Average | 16.47 | 11.17 | | | | |
| Minimum | 10.80 | 10.80 | | | | |
| Maximum | 19.80 | 14.70 | | | | |
| Range | 9.00 | 3.90 | | | | |

⁹ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/caleemod/01 user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

¹⁰ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at:

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 15.

¹¹ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:*

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 14.

¹² "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 21.

¹³ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/caleemod/05 appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. D-84 – D-86.

As demonstrated above, default rural worker trip lengths for air basins in California vary from 10.8- to 19.8miles, with an average of 16.47 miles. Furthermore, default urban worker trip lengths vary from 10.8- to 14.7miles, with an average of 11.17 miles. Thus, while default worker trip lengths vary by location, default urban worker trip lengths tend to be shorter in length. Based on these trends evident in the CalEEMod default worker trip lengths, we can reasonably assume that the efficacy of a local hire requirement is especially dependent upon the urbanization of the project site, as well as the project location.

Practical Application of a Local Hire Requirement and Associated Impact

To provide an example of the potential impact of a local hire provision on construction-related GHG emissions, we estimated the significance of a local hire provision for the Village South Specific Plan ("Project") located in the City of Claremont ("City"). The Project proposed to construct 1,000 residential units, 100,000-SF of retail space, 45,000-SF of office space, as well as a 50-room hotel, on the 24-acre site. The Project location is classified as Urban and lies within the Los Angeles-South Coast County. As a result, the Project has a default worker trip length of 14.7 miles.¹⁴ In an effort to evaluate the potential for a local hire provision to reduce the Project's construction-related GHG emissions, we prepared an updated model, reducing all worker trip lengths to 10 miles (see Attachment B). Our analysis estimates that if a local hire provision with a 10-mile radius were to be implemented, the GHG emissions associated with Project construction would decrease by approximately 17% (see table below and Attachment C).

| Local Hire Provision Net Change | |
|--|--------|
| Without Local Hire Provision | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e) | 3,623 |
| Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e/year) | 120.77 |
| With Local Hire Provision | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e) | 3,024 |
| Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e/year) | 100.80 |
| % Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions | 17% |

As demonstrated above, by implementing a local hire provision requiring 10 mile worker trip lengths, the Project could reduce potential GHG emissions associated with construction worker trips. More broadly, any local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

This serves as an example of the potential impacts of local hire requirements on estimated project-level GHG emissions, though it does not indicate that local hire requirements would result in reduced construction-related GHG emission for all projects. As previously described, the significance of a local hire requirement depends on the worker trip length enforced and the default worker trip length for the project's urbanization level and location.

¹⁴ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/05_appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4</u>, p. D-85.

Disclaimer

SWAPE has received limited discovery. Additional information may become available in the future; thus, we retain the right to revise or amend this report when additional information becomes available. Our professional services have been performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable environmental consultants practicing in this or similar localities at the time of service. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the scope of work, work methodologies and protocols, site conditions, analytical testing results, and findings presented. This report reflects efforts which were limited to information that was reasonably accessible at the time of the work, and may contain informational gaps, inconsistencies, or otherwise be incomplete due to the unavailability or uncertainty of information obtained or provided by third parties.

Sincerely,

Moran

Matt Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg.

Paul Rosupeld

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Attachment A

| Location Type | Location Name | Rural H-W (miles) | Urban H-W (miles) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Air Basin | Great Basin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Lake Tahoe | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Mountain | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | North Central | 17.1 | 12.3 |
| Air Basin | North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Northeast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Sacramento | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Salton Sea | 14.6 | 11 |
| Air Basin | San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | San Francisco | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | San Joaquin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | South Central | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| Air District | Amador County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Antelope Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Bay Area AQMD | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Butte County | 12.54 | 12.54 |
| Air District | Calaveras | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Colusa County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | El Dorado | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Feather River | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Glenn County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Great Basin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Imperial County | 10.2 | 7.3 |
| Air District | Kern County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Lassen County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Mariposa | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Mendocino | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Modoc County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Monterey Bay | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Northern Sierra | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Northern | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Placer County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Sacramento | 15 | 10 |

| Air District | San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 |
|--------------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Air District | San Joaquin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | San Luis Obispo | 13 | 13 |
| Air District | Santa Barbara | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Air District | Shasta County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | , Siskiyou County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| Air District | Tehama County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Tuolumne | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Ventura County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Yolo/Solano | 15 | 10 |
| County | Alameda | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Alpine | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Amador | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Butte | 12.54 | 12.54 |
| County | Calaveras | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Colusa | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Contra Costa | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Del Norte | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | El Dorado-Lake | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | El Dorado- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Fresno | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Glenn | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Humboldt | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Imperial | 10.2 | 7.3 |
| County | Inyo | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Kern-Mojave | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Kern-San | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Kings | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Lake | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Lassen | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Los Angeles- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Los Angeles- | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| County | Madera | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Marin | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mariposa | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Merced | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Modoc | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mono | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Monterey | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Napa | 10.8 | 10.8 |

| County | Nevada | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
|-----------|-------------------------|------|------|--|
| County | Orange | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | Placer-Lake | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Placer-Mountain | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Placer- | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Plumas | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Riverside- | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Riverside- | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | Riverside-Salton | 14.6 | 11 | |
| County | Riverside-South | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | Sacramento | 15 | 10 | |
| County | San Benito | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Bernardino- | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Bernardino- | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Francisco | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Joaquin | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Luis Obispo | 13 | 13 | |
| County | San Mateo | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Santa Barbara- | 8.3 | 8.3 | |
| County | Santa Barbara- | 8.3 | 8.3 | |
| County | Santa Clara | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Santa Cruz | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Shasta | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sierra | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Siskiyou | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Solano- | 15 | 10 | |
| County | Solano-San | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sonoma-North | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sonoma-San | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Stanislaus | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sutter | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Tehama | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Trinity | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Tulare | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Tuolumne | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Ventura | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Yolo | 15 | 10 | |
| County | Yuba | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| Statewide | Statewide | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| | | | | |

| Worker Trip Length by Air Basin | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Air Basin | Rural (miles) | Urban (miles) | | | | | | | | | |
| Great Basin Valleys | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Tahoe | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mountain Counties | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| North Central Coast | 17.1 | 12.3 | | | | | | | | | |
| North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Northeast Plateau | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sacramento Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Salton Sea | 14.6 | 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| San Francisco Bay Area | 10.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| San Joaquin Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| South Central Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| Average | 16.47 | 11.17 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mininum | 10.80 | 10.80 | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum | 19.80 | 14.70 | | | | | | | | | |
| Range | 9.00 | 3.90 | | | | | | | | | |

Attachment B

Page 1 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | Apartments Low Rise 25.00 | | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Edison | | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |

| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 | | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 | | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 | | | |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Year | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| 2021 | 0.1713 | 1.8242 | 1.1662 | 2.4000e- 003 | 0.4169 | 0.0817 | 0.4986 | 0.1795 | 0.0754 | 0.2549 | 0.0000 | 213.1969 | 213.1969 | 0.0601 | 0.0000 | 214.6993 |
| 2022 | 0.6904 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 6 | 1,721.682 6 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.918 7 |
| 2023 | 0.6148 | 3.3649 | 5.6747 | 0.0178 | 1.1963 | 0.0996 | 1.2959 | 0.3203 | 0.0935 | 0.4138 | 0.0000 | 1,627.529 5 | 1,627.529 5 | 0.1185 | 0.0000 | 1,630.492 5 |
| 2024 | 4.1619 | 0.1335 | 0.2810 | 5.9000e- 004 | 0.0325 | 6.4700e- 003 | 0.0390 | 8.6300e- 003 | 6.0400e- 003 | 0.0147 | 0.0000 | 52.9078 | 52.9078 | 8.0200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 53.1082 |
| Maximum | 4.1619 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 6 | 1,721.682 6 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.918 7 |

2.1 Overall Construction

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | 2 Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------------|
| Year | | | | | tor | ns/yr | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| 2021 | 0.1713 | 1.8242 | 1.1662 | 2.4000e- 003 | 0.4169 | 0.0817 | 0.4986 | 0.1795 | 0.0754 | 0.2549 | 0.0000 | 213.1967 | 213.1967 | 0.0601 | 0.0000 | 214.699 ⁻ |
| 2022 | 0.6904 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 3 | 1,721.682 3 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.91 3 |
| 2023 | 0.6148 | 3.3648 | 5.6747 | 0.0178 | 1.1963 | 0.0996 | 1.2959 | 0.3203 | 0.0935 | 0.4138 | 0.0000 | 1,627.529 1 | 1,627.529 1 | 0.1185 | 0.0000 | 1,630.49 1 |
| 2024 | 4.1619 | 0.1335 | 0.2810 | 5.9000e- 004 | 0.0325 | 6.4700e- 003 | 0.0390 | 8.6300e- 003 | 6.0400e- 003 | 0.0147 | 0.0000 | 52.9077 | 52.9077 | 8.0200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 53.1082 |
| Maximum | 4.1619 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 3 | 1,721.682 3 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.91 3 |
| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Quarter | St | art Date | Enc | I Date | Maxim | um Unmitig | ated ROG + | NOX (tons/ | quarter) | Maxi | mum Mitiga | ted ROG + N | IOX (tons/qu | iarter) | | |
| 1 | 9 | -1-2021 | 11-3 | 0-2021 | | | 1.4103 | | | | | 1.4103 | | | | |
| 2 | 12 | 2-1-2021 | 2-28 | 3-2022 | | | 1.3613 | | | | | 1.3613 | | | | |
| 3 | 3. | -1-2022 | 5-31 | -2022 | | | 1.1985 | | | | | 1.1985 | | | | |
| 4 | 6 | -1-2022 | 8-31 | -2022 | | | 1.1921 | | | | | 1.1921 | | | | |
| 5 | 9 | -1-2022 | 11-3 | 0-2022 | | | 1.1918 | | | | | 1.1918 | | | | |
| 6 | 12 | 2-1-2022 | 2-28 | 3-2023 | | | 1.0774 | | | Ī | | 1.0774 | | | | |
| 7 | 3. | -1-2023 | 5-31 | -2023 | | | 1.0320 | | | | | 1.0320 | | | | |
| 8 | 6 | -1-2023 | 8-31 | -2023 | | | 1.0260 | | | | | 1.0260 | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | - | | | | | | |

| 9 | 9-1-2023 | 11-30-2023 | 1.0265 | 1.0265 |
|----|-----------|------------|--------|--------|
| 10 | 12-1-2023 | 2-29-2024 | 2.8857 | 2.8857 |
| 11 | 3-1-2024 | 5-31-2024 | 1.6207 | 1.6207 |
| | | Highest | 2.8857 | 2.8857 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 3,896.073 2 | 3,896.073 2 | 0.1303 | 0.0468 | 3,913.283 3 |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Waste | n | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |
| Water | r, | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 29.1632 | 556.6420 | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.5223 | 30.3407 | 0.0914 | 7.7979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.0895 | 0.2219 | 2.3114 | 236.9712 | 12,294.18 07 | 12,531.15 19 | 15.7904 | 0.1260 | 12,963.47 51 |
Page 7 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NO | x | CO | SO2 | Fug PM | itive 110 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fug PN | itive 12.5 | Exhaus PM2.5 | t PN | M2.5 Fotal | Bio- | CO2 NE | Bio- CO2 | Total (| CO2 | CH4 | N2O | (| CO2e |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| Category | | | | | | | tons | s/yr | | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.295 | 50 10 | .3804 | 1.6700e 003 | | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | | 0.0714 | 0. | 0714 | 0.00 | 000 22 | 20.9670 | 220.9 | 670 (| 0.0201 | 3.7400 003 | e- 22 | 2.5835 |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.231 | 12 0. | .7770 | 7.6200e 003 | | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | | 0.0966 | 6 0. | 0966 | 0.00 | 000 3, | 896.073 2 | 3,896. 2 | .073 (| 0.1303 | 0.046 | 3 3,9 | 13.283 3 |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.996 | 62 19 | .1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7 | 979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0 | 895 | 0.0539 |) 2. | 1434 | 0.00 | 000 7, | 620.498 6 | 7,620 6 | .498 (| 0.3407 | 0.000 |) 7,6 | 29.016 2 |
| Waste | Franz | | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.000 |) 0. | .0000 | 207.8 | 3079 (| 0.0000 | 207.8 | 079 1 | 2.2811 | 0.000 |) 51 | 4.8354 |
| Water | n n n n n | | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |) 0. | 0000 | 29.1 | 632 5 | 56.6420 | 585.8 | 052 3 | 3.0183 | 0.075 | 5 68 | 3.7567 |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.522 | 23 30 | .3407 | 0.0914 | 7.7 | 979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.0 | 895 | 0.2219 | 2. | 3114 | 236.9 | 9712 12 | 2,294.18 07 | 12,53 [.] 19 | 1.15 1 | 5.7904 | 0.126 |) 12, | ,963.47 51 |
| | ROG | | NOx | С | 0 | SO2 | Fugi PM | tive Exi 10 P | naust M10 | PM10 Total | Fugit PM2 | ive E 2.5 | xhaust PM2.5 | PM2 Tot | 2.5 al | Bio- CO | 2 NBio- | CO2 1 | otal CO | 2 C | H4 | N20 | CO2e |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 00 0 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 9.7000e- 004 | 7.5000e- 004 | 8.5100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4900e- 003 | 6.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 6.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.2251 | 2.2251 | 7.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.2267 |
| Total | 2.9000e- 003 | 0.0641 | 0.0233 | 2.0000e- 004 | 6.4100e- 003 | 2.1000e- 004 | 6.6200e- 003 | 1.7300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.6816 | 19.6816 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.7136 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 9.7000e- 004 | 7.5000e- 004 | 8.5100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4900e- 003 | 6.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 6.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.2251 | 2.2251 | 7.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.2267 |
| Total | 2.9000e- 003 | 0.0641 | 0.0233 | 2.0000e- 004 | 6.4100e- 003 | 2.1000e- 004 | 6.6200e- 003 | 1.7300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.6816 | 19.6816 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.7136 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |
| Total | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |
| Total | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |
| Total | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |
| Total | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 | , , , | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.4088 | 0.3066 | 3.5305 | 0.0107 | 1.1103 | 8.8700e- 003 | 1.1192 | 0.2949 | 8.1700e- 003 | 0.3031 | 0.0000 | 966.8117 | 966.8117 | 0.0266 | 0.0000 | 967.4773 |
| Total | 0.4616 | 2.0027 | 3.9885 | 0.0152 | 1.2243 | 0.0121 | 1.2363 | 0.3278 | 0.0112 | 0.3390 | 0.0000 | 1,408.795 2 | 1,408.795 2 | 0.0530 | 0.0000 | 1,410.120 8 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.4088 | 0.3066 | 3.5305 | 0.0107 | 1.1103 | 8.8700e- 003 | 1.1192 | 0.2949 | 8.1700e- 003 | 0.3031 | 0.0000 | 966.8117 | 966.8117 | 0.0266 | 0.0000 | 967.4773 |
| Total | 0.4616 | 2.0027 | 3.9885 | 0.0152 | 1.2243 | 0.0121 | 1.2363 | 0.3278 | 0.0112 | 0.3390 | 0.0000 | 1,408.795 2 | 1,408.795 2 | 0.0530 | 0.0000 | 1,410.120 8 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | tons | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.3753 | 0.2708 | 3.1696 | 0.0101 | 1.0840 | 8.4100e- 003 | 1.0924 | 0.2879 | 7.7400e- 003 | 0.2957 | 0.0000 | 909.3439 | 909.3439 | 0.0234 | 0.0000 | 909.9291 |
| Total | 0.4135 | 1.5218 | 3.5707 | 0.0144 | 1.1953 | 9.8700e- 003 | 1.2051 | 0.3200 | 9.1400e- 003 | 0.3292 | 0.0000 | 1,327.336 9 | 1,327.336 9 | 0.0462 | 0.0000 | 1,328.491 6 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.3753 | 0.2708 | 3.1696 | 0.0101 | 1.0840 | 8.4100e- 003 | 1.0924 | 0.2879 | 7.7400e- 003 | 0.2957 | 0.0000 | 909.3439 | 909.3439 | 0.0234 | 0.0000 | 909.9291 |
| Total | 0.4135 | 1.5218 | 3.5707 | 0.0144 | 1.1953 | 9.8700e- 003 | 1.2051 | 0.3200 | 9.1400e- 003 | 0.3292 | 0.0000 | 1,327.336 9 | 1,327.336 9 | 0.0462 | 0.0000 | 1,328.491 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |
| Total | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |
| Total | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 |
| Total | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 |
| Total | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Archit. Coating | 4.1372 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 |
| Total | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Archit. Coating | 4.1372 | | 1 | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 |
| Total | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Unmitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Aver | age Daily Trip Ra | te | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | tor | ns/yr | | | | | | | MT | 7/yr | | |
| Electricity Mitigated | | 1 | 1 | | • | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| Electricity Unmitigated | n | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | • • • • | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 8.30736e +006 | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 91840 | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 8.30736e +006 | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 91840 | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

Page 34 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 1.58904e +006 | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

Page 35 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Mitigated

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 1.58904e +006 | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Unmitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | tons/yr | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | | | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | • | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | | | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Page 38 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Category | MT/yr | | | | | | | |
| Mitigated | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | | | |
| Unmitigated | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | | | |

Page 39 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Land Use | Mgal | MT/yr | | | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 | | | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 | | | | |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 | | | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 10.9272 / 0.697482 | 51.2702 | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 | | | | |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | 6.1633 | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 | | | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | 11.3934 | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 | | | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 | | | | |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | | | |

Page 40 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Land Use | Mgal | MT/yr | | | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 | | | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 | | | | |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 | | | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 10.9272 / 0.697482 | 51.2702 | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 | | | | |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | 6.1633 | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 | | | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | 11.3934 | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 | | | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 | | | | |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | | | |

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Page 41 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Category/Year

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | MT/yr | | | | | | | |
| Mitigated | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | | | |
| Unmitigated | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | | | |

Page 42 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| Land Use | tons | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 | | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 | | | |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 | | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 428.4 | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 | | | |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 | | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 | | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 | | | |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | |
Page 43 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| Land Use | tons | | MT | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 428.4 | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| | Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|--|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|--|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

Page 44 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Boilers

| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | |
| | | - | | | |

11.0 Vegetation

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Edison | | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |

| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| 2021 | 4.2769 | 46.4588 | 31.6840 | 0.0643 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,234.797 4 | 6,234.797 4 | 1.9495 | 0.0000 | 6,283.535 2 |
| 2022 | 5.3304 | 38.8967 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7727 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |
| 2023 | 4.8957 | 26.3317 | 46.7567 | 0.1472 | 9.8688 | 0.7794 | 10.6482 | 2.6381 | 0.7322 | 3.3702 | 0.0000 | 14,807.52 69 | 14,807.52 69 | 1.0250 | 0.0000 | 14,833.15 21 |
| 2024 | 237.1630 | 9.5575 | 15.1043 | 0.0244 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,361.398 9 | 2,361.398 9 | 0.7177 | 0.0000 | 2,379.342 1 |
| Maximum | 237.1630 | 46.4588 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | |
| 2021 | 4.2769 | 46.4588 | 31.6840 | 0.0643 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,234.797 4 | 6,234.797 4 | 1.9495 | 0.0000 | 6,283.535 2 |
| 2022 | 5.3304 | 38.8967 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7727 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |
| 2023 | 4.8957 | 26.3317 | 46.7567 | 0.1472 | 9.8688 | 0.7794 | 10.6482 | 2.6381 | 0.7322 | 3.3702 | 0.0000 | 14,807.52 69 | 14,807.52 69 | 1.0250 | 0.0000 | 14,833.15 20 |
| 2024 | 237.1630 | 9.5575 | 15.1043 | 0.0244 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,361.398 9 | 2,361.398 9 | 0.7177 | 0.0000 | 2,379.342 1 |
| Maximum | 237.1630 | 46.4588 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |
| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM10 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM2.5 | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0643 | 0.0442 | 0.6042 | 1.7100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 170.8155 | 170.8155 | 5.0300e- 003 | | 170.9413 |
| Total | 0.1916 | 4.1394 | 1.5644 | 0.0136 | 0.4346 | 0.0139 | 0.4485 | 0.1176 | 0.0133 | 0.1309 | | 1,463.056 8 | 1,463.056 8 | 0.0927 | | 1,465.375 0 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 | , , , | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0643 | 0.0442 | 0.6042 | 1.7100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 170.8155 | 170.8155 | 5.0300e- 003 | | 170.9413 |
| Total | 0.1916 | 4.1394 | 1.5644 | 0.0136 | 0.4346 | 0.0139 | 0.4485 | 0.1176 | 0.0133 | 0.1309 | | 1,463.056 8 | 1,463.056 8 | 0.0927 | | 1,465.375 0 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |
| Total | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |
| Total | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | , , , | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |
| Total | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | co | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |
| Total | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |
| Total | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |
| Total | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | ,; | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | / | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 3.2162 | 2.1318 | 29.7654 | 0.0883 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,800.685 7 | 8,800.685 7 | 0.2429 | | 8,806.758 2 |
| Total | 3.6242 | 15.3350 | 33.1995 | 0.1247 | 9.8688 | 0.0949 | 9.9637 | 2.6381 | 0.0883 | 2.7263 | | 12,697.23 39 | 12,697.23 39 | 0.4665 | | 12,708.89 66 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | ; | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | ļ | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 3.2162 | 2.1318 | 29.7654 | 0.0883 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,800.685 7 | 8,800.685 7 | 0.2429 | | 8,806.758 2 |
| Total | 3.6242 | 15.3350 | 33.1995 | 0.1247 | 9.8688 | 0.0949 | 9.9637 | 2.6381 | 0.0883 | 2.7263 | | 12,697.23 39 | 12,697.23 39 | 0.4665 | | 12,708.89 66 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/o | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 3.0203 | 1.9287 | 27.4113 | 0.0851 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 8,478.440 8 | 8,478.440 8 | 0.2190 | | 8,483.916 0 |
| Total | 3.3229 | 11.9468 | 30.5127 | 0.1203 | 9.8688 | 0.0797 | 9.9485 | 2.6381 | 0.0738 | 2.7118 | | 12,252.31 70 | 12,252.31 70 | 0.4172 | | 12,262.74 60 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | lay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 3.0203 | 1.9287 | 27.4113 | 0.0851 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 8,478.440 8 | 8,478.440 8 | 0.2190 | | 8,483.916 0 |
| Total | 3.3229 | 11.9468 | 30.5127 | 0.1203 | 9.8688 | 0.0797 | 9.9485 | 2.6381 | 0.0738 | 2.7118 | | 12,252.31 70 | 12,252.31 70 | 0.4172 | | 12,262.74 60 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | - - - | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |
| Total | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |
| Total | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |
| Total | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |
| Total | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |
| Total | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |
| Total | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Unmitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Aver | age Daily Trip Ra | te | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | ;е % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | - - - - | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22759.9 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | ,,,,,,, | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | ,,,,,,, | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 251.616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22.7599 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.251616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1 1 1 1 1 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/o | day | | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment
Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Boilers Equipment Type Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating Fuel Type | |
|--|--|
| Equipment Type Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating Fuel Type | |
| | |
| User Defined Equipment | |
| Equipment Type Number | |
| 11.0 Vegetation | |

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Edison | | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |

| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| 2021 | 4.2865 | 46.4651 | 31.6150 | 0.0642 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,221.493 7 | 6,221.493 7 | 1.9491 | 0.0000 | 6,270.221 4 |
| 2022 | 5.7218 | 38.9024 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7736 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 |
| 2023 | 5.2705 | 26.4914 | 44.5936 | 0.1413 | 9.8688 | 0.7800 | 10.6488 | 2.6381 | 0.7328 | 3.3708 | 0.0000 | 14,210.34 24 | 14,210.34 24 | 1.0230 | 0.0000 | 14,235.91 60 |
| 2024 | 237.2328 | 9.5610 | 15.0611 | 0.0243 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,352.417 8 | 2,352.417 8 | 0.7175 | 0.0000 | 2,370.355 0 |
| Maximum | 237.2328 | 46.4651 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| 2021 | 4.2865 | 46.4651 | 31.6150 | 0.0642 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,221.493 7 | 6,221.493 7 | 1.9491 | 0.0000 | 6,270.221 4 |
| 2022 | 5.7218 | 38.9024 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7736 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 |
| 2023 | 5.2705 | 26.4914 | 44.5936 | 0.1413 | 9.8688 | 0.7800 | 10.6488 | 2.6381 | 0.7328 | 3.3708 | 0.0000 | 14,210.34 24 | 14,210.34 24 | 1.0230 | 0.0000 | 14,235.91 60 |
| 2024 | 237.2328 | 9.5610 | 15.0611 | 0.0243 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,352.417 8 | 2,352.417 8 | 0.7175 | 0.0000 | 2,370.355 0 |
| Maximum | 237.2328 | 46.4651 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 |
| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM10 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM2.5 | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | - - - - | 47,972.68 39 |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0715 | 0.0489 | 0.5524 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 160.8377 | 160.8377 | 4.7300e- 003 | | 160.9560 |
| Total | 0.2019 | 4.1943 | 1.5706 | 0.0133 | 0.4346 | 0.0141 | 0.4487 | 0.1176 | 0.0135 | 0.1311 | | 1,430.693 2 | 1,430.693 2 | 0.0955 | | 1,433.081 2 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0715 | 0.0489 | 0.5524 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 160.8377 | 160.8377 | 4.7300e- 003 | | 160.9560 |
| Total | 0.2019 | 4.1943 | 1.5706 | 0.0133 | 0.4346 | 0.0141 | 0.4487 | 0.1176 | 0.0135 | 0.1311 | | 1,430.693 2 | 1,430.693 2 | 0.0955 | | 1,433.081 2 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |
| Total | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |
| Total | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | , , , | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | lay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |
| Total | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | , , , | , , , | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |
| Total | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |
| Total | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |
| Total | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | ,; | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | / | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 3.5872 | 2.3593 | 27.1680 | 0.0832 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,286.901 3 | 8,286.901 3 | 0.2282 | | 8,292.605 8 |
| Total | 4.0156 | 15.5266 | 30.9685 | 0.1186 | 9.8688 | 0.0957 | 9.9645 | 2.6381 | 0.0891 | 2.7271 | | 12,075.97 63 | 12,075.97 63 | 0.4663 | | 12,087.63 41 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 3.5872 | 2.3593 | 27.1680 | 0.0832 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,286.901 3 | 8,286.901 3 | 0.2282 | | 8,292.605 8 |
| Total | 4.0156 | 15.5266 | 30.9685 | 0.1186 | 9.8688 | 0.0957 | 9.9645 | 2.6381 | 0.0891 | 2.7271 | | 12,075.97 63 | 12,075.97 63 | 0.4663 | | 12,087.63 41 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/o | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 |
| Worker | 3.3795 | 2.1338 | 24.9725 | 0.0801 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 7,983.731 8 | 7,983.731 8 | 0.2055 | | 7,988.868 3 |
| Total | 3.6978 | 12.1065 | 28.3496 | 0.1144 | 9.8688 | 0.0803 | 9.9491 | 2.6381 | 0.0743 | 2.7124 | | 11,655.13 25 | 11,655.13 25 | 0.4151 | | 11,665.50 99 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 |
| Worker | 3.3795 | 2.1338 | 24.9725 | 0.0801 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 7,983.731 8 | 7,983.731 8 | 0.2055 | | 7,988.868 3 |
| Total | 3.6978 | 12.1065 | 28.3496 | 0.1144 | 9.8688 | 0.0803 | 9.9491 | 2.6381 | 0.0743 | 2.7124 | | 11,655.13 25 | 11,655.13 25 | 0.4151 | | 11,665.50 99 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |
| Total | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |
| Total | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |
| Total | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | lay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |
| Total | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |
| Total | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |
| Total | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Unmitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Aver | age Daily Trip Ra | te | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22759.9 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 251.616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8, <mark>405.6</mark> 38 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22.7599 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.251616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | , , , | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/o | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | , , , | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| <u>Boilers</u> | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type | |
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 11.0 Vegetation | | | | | | |
Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Edison | | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |

| Village South Specific Plan | (Proposed) | - Los Angeles-South | Coast County, Annual |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------|---|
| | \ / | | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|--------|---------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Year | | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| 2021 | 0.1704 | 1.8234 | 1.1577 | 2.3800e- 003 | 0.4141 | 0.0817 | 0.4958 | 0.1788 | 0.0754 | 0.2542 | 0.0000 | 210.7654 | 210.7654 | 0.0600 | 0.0000 | 212.2661 |
| 2022 | 0.5865 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 4 | 1,418.655 4 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 5 |
| 2023 | 0.5190 | 3.2850 | 4.7678 | 0.0147 | 0.8497 | 0.0971 | 0.9468 | 0.2283 | 0.0912 | 0.3195 | 0.0000 | 1,342.441 2 | 1,342.441 2 | 0.1115 | 0.0000 | 1,345.229 1 |
| 2024 | 4.1592 | 0.1313 | 0.2557 | 5.0000e- 004 | 0.0221 | 6.3900e- 003 | 0.0285 | 5.8700e- 003 | 5.9700e- 003 | 0.0118 | 0.0000 | 44.6355 | 44.6355 | 7.8300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 44.8311 |
| Maximum | 4.1592 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 4 | 1,418.655 4 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 5 |

2.1 Overall Construction

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------|--------|---------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Year | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | tor | ns/yr | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | M | T/yr | | <u> </u> |
| 2021 | 0.1704 | 1.8234 | 1.1577 | 2.3800e- 003 | 0.4141 | 0.0817 | 0.4958 | 0.1788 | 0.0754 | 0.2542 | 0.0000 | 210.7651 | 210.7651 | 0.0600 | 0.0000 | 212.2658 |
| 2022 | 0.5865 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 0 | 1,418.655 0 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 1 |
| 2023 | 0.5190 | 3.2850 | 4.7678 | 0.0147 | 0.8497 | 0.0971 | 0.9468 | 0.2283 | 0.0912 | 0.3195 | 0.0000 | 1,342.440 9 | 1,342.440 9 | 0.1115 | 0.0000 | 1,345.228 7 |
| 2024 | 4.1592 | 0.1313 | 0.2557 | 5.0000e- 004 | 0.0221 | 6.3900e- 003 | 0.0285 | 5.8700e- 003 | 5.9700e- 003 | 0.0118 | 0.0000 | 44.6354 | 44.6354 | 7.8300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 44.8311 |
| Maximum | 4.1592 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 0 | 1,418.655 0 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 1 |
| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Quarter | Sta | art Date | End | d Date | Maxim | um Unmitig | ated ROG + | NOX (tons/ | quarter) | Maxi | mum Mitigat | ted ROG + N | OX (tons/qı | iarter) | | |
| 1 | 9- | 1-2021 | 11-3 | 0-2021 | | | 1.4091 | | | | | 1.4091 | | | | |
| 2 | 12 | -1-2021 | 2-28 | 3-2022 | | | 1.3329 | | | | | 1.3329 | | | | |
| 3 | 3- | -1-2022 | 5-31 | 1-2022 | | | 1.1499 | | | | | 1.1499 | | | | |
| 4 | 6- | 1-2022 | 8-31 | 1-2022 | | | 1.1457 | | | | 1.1457 | | | | | |
| 5 | 9- | 1-2022 | 11-3 | 0-2022 | | | 1.1415 | | | | 1.1415 | | | | | |
| 6 | 12 | 12-1-2022 2-28-2023 | | 3-2023 | 1.0278 1.0278 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 3- | 1-2023 | 5-31 | 1-2023 | | | 0.9868 | | | 0.9868 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 8-31-2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 9 | 9-1-2023 | 11-30-2023 | 0.9798 | 0.9798 |
|----|-----------|------------|--------|--------|
| 10 | 12-1-2023 | 2-29-2024 | 2.8757 | 2.8757 |
| 11 | 3-1-2024 | 5-31-2024 | 1.6188 | 1.6188 |
| | | Highest | 2.8757 | 2.8757 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | MT/yr | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 3,896.073 2 | 3,896.073 2 | 0.1303 | 0.0468 | 3,913.283 3 |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Waste | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |
| Water | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 29.1632 | 556.6420 | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.5223 | 30.3407 | 0.0914 | 7.7979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.0895 | 0.2219 | 2.3114 | 236.9712 | 12,294.18 07 | 12,531.15 19 | 15.7904 | 0.1260 | 12,963.47 51 |

Page 7 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NO | x | CO | SO2 | Fug PM | itive 110 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fug PN | itive 12.5 | Exhaus PM2.5 | t PN | M2.5 Fotal | Bio- | CO2 NE | Bio- CO2 | Total (| CO2 | CH4 | N2O | (| CO2e |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| Category | | | | | | | tons | s/yr | | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.295 | 50 10 | .3804 | 1.6700e 003 | | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | | 0.0714 | 0. | 0714 | 0.00 | 000 22 | 20.9670 | 220.9 | 670 (| 0.0201 | 3.7400 003 | e- 22 | 2.5835 |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.231 | 12 0. | .7770 | 7.6200e 003 | | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | | 0.0966 | 6 0. | 0966 | 0.00 | 000 3, | 896.073 2 | 3,896. 2 | .073 (| 0.1303 | 0.046 | 3 3,9 | 13.283 3 |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.996 | 62 19 | .1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7 | 979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0 | 895 | 0.0539 |) 2. | 1434 | 0.00 | 000 7, | 620.498 6 | 7,620 6 | .498 (| 0.3407 | 0.000 |) 7,6 | 29.016 2 |
| Waste | Franz | | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.000 |) 0. | .0000 | 207.8 | 3079 (| 0.0000 | 207.8 | 079 1 | 2.2811 | 0.000 |) 51 | 4.8354 |
| Water | n n n n n | | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |) 0. | 0000 | 29.1 | 632 5 | 56.6420 | 585.8 | 052 3 | 3.0183 | 0.075 | 5 68 | 3.7567 |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.522 | 23 30 | .3407 | 0.0914 | 7.7 | 979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.0 | 895 | 0.2219 | 2. | 3114 | 236.9 | 9712 12 | 2,294.18 07 | 12,53 [.] 19 | 1.15 1 | 5.7904 | 0.126 |) 12, | ,963.47 51 |
| | ROG | | NOx | С | 0 | SO2 | Fugi PM | tive Exi 10 P | naust M10 | PM10 Total | Fugit PM2 | ive E 2.5 | xhaust PM2.5 | PM2 Tot | 2.5 al | Bio- CO | 2 NBio- | CO2 1 | otal CO | 2 C | H4 | N20 | CO2e |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 00 0 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.2000e- 004 | 5.3000e- 004 | 6.0900e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.6800e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.6900e- 003 | 4.5000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.6000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.5281 | 1.5281 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.5293 |
| Total | 2.6500e- 003 | 0.0639 | 0.0209 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.6200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.8200e- 003 | 1.5300e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.7200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 18.9847 | 18.9847 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0161 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.2000e- 004 | 5.3000e- 004 | 6.0900e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.6800e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.6900e- 003 | 4.5000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.6000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.5281 | 1.5281 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.5293 |
| Total | 2.6500e- 003 | 0.0639 | 0.0209 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.6200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.8200e- 003 | 1.5300e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.7200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 18.9847 | 18.9847 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0161 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |
| Total | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |
| Total | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |
| Total | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |
| Total | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 | , , , | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |
| Total | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |
| Total | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.3051 | 0.2164 | 2.5233 | 7.3500e- 003 | 0.7557 | 6.2300e- 003 | 0.7619 | 0.2007 | 5.7400e- 003 | 0.2065 | 0.0000 | 663.9936 | 663.9936 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | 664.4604 |
| Total | 0.3578 | 1.9125 | 2.9812 | 0.0119 | 0.8696 | 9.4100e- 003 | 0.8790 | 0.2336 | 8.7800e- 003 | 0.2424 | 0.0000 | 1,105.977 1 | 1,105.977 1 | 0.0451 | 0.0000 | 1,107.103 9 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.3051 | 0.2164 | 2.5233 | 7.3500e- 003 | 0.7557 | 6.2300e- 003 | 0.7619 | 0.2007 | 5.7400e- 003 | 0.2065 | 0.0000 | 663.9936 | 663.9936 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | 664.4604 |
| Total | 0.3578 | 1.9125 | 2.9812 | 0.0119 | 0.8696 | 9.4100e- 003 | 0.8790 | 0.2336 | 8.7800e- 003 | 0.2424 | 0.0000 | 1,105.977 1 | 1,105.977 1 | 0.0451 | 0.0000 | 1,107.103 9 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | tons | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | 1 1 1 | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.2795 | 0.1910 | 2.2635 | 6.9100e- 003 | 0.7377 | 5.9100e- 003 | 0.7436 | 0.1960 | 5.4500e- 003 | 0.2014 | 0.0000 | 624.5363 | 624.5363 | 0.0164 | 0.0000 | 624.9466 |
| Total | 0.3177 | 1.4420 | 2.6646 | 0.0112 | 0.8490 | 7.3700e- 003 | 0.8564 | 0.2281 | 6.8500e- 003 | 0.2349 | 0.0000 | 1,042.529 4 | 1,042.529 4 | 0.0392 | 0.0000 | 1,043.509 0 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.2795 | 0.1910 | 2.2635 | 6.9100e- 003 | 0.7377 | 5.9100e- 003 | 0.7436 | 0.1960 | 5.4500e- 003 | 0.2014 | 0.0000 | 624.5363 | 624.5363 | 0.0164 | 0.0000 | 624.9466 |
| Total | 0.3177 | 1.4420 | 2.6646 | 0.0112 | 0.8490 | 7.3700e- 003 | 0.8564 | 0.2281 | 6.8500e- 003 | 0.2349 | 0.0000 | 1,042.529 4 | 1,042.529 4 | 0.0392 | 0.0000 | 1,043.509 0 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |
| Total | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |
| Total | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Archit. Coating | 4.1372 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |
| Total | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Archit. Coating | 4.1372 | | 1 | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |
| Total | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Unmitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Aver | age Daily Trip Ra | te | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | ;е % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | ıs/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Electricity Mitigated | | | , , , | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | , , , | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| Electricity Unmitigated | n | - - - - | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | ************************************** | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 8.30736e +006 | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 91840 | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 8.30736e +006 | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 91840 | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

Page 34 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 1.58904e +006 | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

Page 35 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Mitigated

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 1.58904e +006 | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Unmitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | 1 1 1 | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | tons/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | | | | | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Page 38 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | MT | ī/yr | |
| Mitigated | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| Unmitigated | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |

Page 39 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|--|--|
| Land Use | Mgal | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 | | |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 10.9272 / 0.697482 | 51.2702 | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 | | |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | 6.1633 | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | 11.3934 | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 | | |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | |

Page 40 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Land Use | Mgal | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 | | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 | | | |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 | | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 10.9272 / 0.697482 | 51.2702 | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 | | | |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | 6.1633 | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 | | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | 11.3934 | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 | | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 | | | |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | | |

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Page 41 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Category/Year

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| | | MT | ī/yr | |
| Mitigated | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |
| Unmitigated | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |

Page 42 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Land Use | tons | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 | | |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 428.4 | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 | | |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 | | |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | |

Page 43 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| Land Use | tons | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 | | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 | | | |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 | | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 428.4 | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 | | | |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 | | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 | | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 | | | |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | |

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| | Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|--|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|--|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

Page 44 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Boilers

| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | |
| | | | | | |

11.0 Vegetation

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Edison | | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |

| Village South | Specific Plan | (Proposed) | Los Anaeles-South | Coast County, Summer |
|---------------|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | \ / | | |

| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | Ib/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| 2021 | 4.2561 | 46.4415 | 31.4494 | 0.0636 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,163.416 6 | 6,163.416 6 | 1.9475 | 0.0000 | 6,212.103 9 |
| 2022 | 4.5441 | 38.8811 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |
| 2023 | 4.1534 | 25.7658 | 38.7457 | 0.1206 | 7.0088 | 0.7592 | 7.7679 | 1.8799 | 0.7136 | 2.5935 | 0.0000 | 12,150.48 90 | 12,150.48 90 | 0.9589 | 0.0000 | 12,174.46 15 |
| 2024 | 237.0219 | 9.5478 | 14.9642 | 0.0239 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,313.180 8 | 2,313.180 8 | 0.7166 | 0.0000 | 2,331.095 6 |
| Maximum | 237.0219 | 46.4415 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/e | day | | |
| 2021 | 4.2561 | 46.4415 | 31.4494 | 0.0636 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,163.416 6 | 6,163.416 6 | 1.9475 | 0.0000 | 6,212.103 9 |
| 2022 | 4.5441 | 38.8811 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |
| 2023 | 4.1534 | 25.7658 | 38.7457 | 0.1206 | 7.0088 | 0.7592 | 7.7679 | 1.8799 | 0.7136 | 2.5935 | 0.0000 | 12,150.48 90 | 12,150.48 90 | 0.9589 | 0.0000 | 12,174.46 15 |
| 2024 | 237.0219 | 9.5478 | 14.9642 | 0.0239 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,313.180 8 | 2,313.180 8 | 0.7166 | 0.0000 | 2,331.095 5 |
| Maximum | 237.0219 | 46.4415 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |
| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM10 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM2.5 | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/o | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0487 | 0.0313 | 0.4282 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 117.2799 | 117.2799 | 3.5200e- 003 | | 117.3678 |
| Total | 0.1760 | 4.1265 | 1.3884 | 0.0131 | 0.3810 | 0.0135 | 0.3946 | 0.1034 | 0.0129 | 0.1163 | | 1,409.521 2 | 1,409.521 2 | 0.0912 | | 1,411.801 5 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | co | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0487 | 0.0313 | 0.4282 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 117.2799 | 117.2799 | 3.5200e- 003 | | 117.3678 |
| Total | 0.1760 | 4.1265 | 1.3884 | 0.0131 | 0.3810 | 0.0135 | 0.3946 | 0.1034 | 0.0129 | 0.1163 | | 1,409.521 2 | 1,409.521 2 | 0.0912 | | 1,411.801 5 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |
| Total | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |
| Total | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | , , , | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |
| Total | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |
| Total | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | , , , | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |
| Total | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |
| Total | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | ,; | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | / | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 2.4299 | 1.5074 | 21.0801 | 0.0607 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 6,042.558 5 | 6,042.558 5 | 0.1697 | | 6,046.800 0 |
| Total | 2.8378 | 14.7106 | 24.5142 | 0.0971 | 7.0087 | 0.0741 | 7.0828 | 1.8799 | 0.0691 | 1.9490 | | 9,939.106 7 | 9,939.106 7 | 0.3933 | | 9,948.938 4 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 2.4299 | 1.5074 | 21.0801 | 0.0607 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 6,042.558 5 | 6,042.558 5 | 0.1697 | | 6,046.800 0 |
| Total | 2.8378 | 14.7106 | 24.5142 | 0.0971 | 7.0087 | 0.0741 | 7.0828 | 1.8799 | 0.0691 | 1.9490 | | 9,939.106 7 | 9,939.106 7 | 0.3933 | | 9,948.938 4 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 2.2780 | 1.3628 | 19.4002 | 0.0584 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,821.402 8 | 5,821.402 8 | 0.1529 | | 5,825.225 4 |
| Total | 2.5807 | 11.3809 | 22.5017 | 0.0936 | 7.0088 | 0.0595 | 7.0682 | 1.8799 | 0.0552 | 1.9350 | | 9,595.279 0 | 9,595.279 0 | 0.3511 | | 9,604.055 4 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/o | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 2.2780 | 1.3628 | 19.4002 | 0.0584 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,821.402 8 | 5,821.402 8 | 0.1529 | | 5,825.225 4 |
| Total | 2.5807 | 11.3809 | 22.5017 | 0.0936 | 7.0088 | 0.0595 | 7.0682 | 1.8799 | 0.0552 | 1.9350 | | 9,595.279 0 | 9,595.279 0 | 0.3511 | | 9,604.055 4 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | 1 1 1 1 | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |
| Total | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |
| Total | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |
| Total | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | co | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |
| Total | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |
| Total | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |
| Total | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | | |
| Mitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Unmitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Aver | age Daily Trip Ra | te | Unmitigated | Mitigated | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 | |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 | |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 | |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 | |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 | |

4.3 Trip Type Information
| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | је % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22759.9 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 251.616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22.7599 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.251616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/o | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | 1 1 1 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Boilers Equipment Type Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating Fuel Type | |
|--|--|
| Equipment Type Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating Fuel Type | |
| | |
| User Defined Equipment | |
| Equipment Type Number | |
| 11.0 Vegetation | |

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Edison | | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |

| Village South Specific Plan | (Proposed) |) - Los Angeles-South | Coast County, Winter |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | · · · · · · · · | | |

| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| 2021 | 4.2621 | 46.4460 | 31.4068 | 0.0635 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,154.337 7 | 6,154.337 7 | 1.9472 | 0.0000 | 6,203.018 6 |
| 2022 | 4.7966 | 38.8851 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,060.60 13 |
| 2023 | 4.3939 | 25.8648 | 37.5031 | 0.1162 | 7.0088 | 0.7598 | 7.7685 | 1.8799 | 0.7142 | 2.5940 | 0.0000 | 11,710.40 80 | 11,710.40 80 | 0.9617 | 0.0000 | 11,734.44 97 |
| 2024 | 237.0656 | 9.5503 | 14.9372 | 0.0238 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,307.051 7 | 2,307.051 7 | 0.7164 | 0.0000 | 2,324.962 7 |
| Maximum | 237.0656 | 46.4460 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,060.60 13 |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| 2021 | 4.2621 | 46.4460 | 31.4068 | 0.0635 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,154.337 7 | 6,154.337 7 | 1.9472 | 0.0000 | 6,203.018 6 |
| 2022 | 4.7966 | 38.8851 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,060.60 13 |
| 2023 | 4.3939 | 25.8648 | 37.5031 | 0.1162 | 7.0088 | 0.7598 | 7.7685 | 1.8799 | 0.7142 | 2.5940 | 0.0000 | 11,710.40 80 | 11,710.40 80 | 0.9617 | 0.0000 | 11,734.44 97 |
| 2024 | 237.0656 | 9.5503 | 14.9372 | 0.0238 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,307.051 7 | 2,307.051 7 | 0.7164 | 0.0000 | 2,324.962 7 |
| Maximum | 237.0656 | 46.4460 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,0 <mark>60.60</mark> 13 |
| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM10 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM2.5 | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | - - - - | 47,972.68 39 |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0532 | 0.0346 | 0.3963 | 1.1100e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 110.4707 | 110.4707 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 110.5539 |
| Total | 0.1835 | 4.1800 | 1.4144 | 0.0128 | 0.3810 | 0.0137 | 0.3948 | 0.1034 | 0.0131 | 0.1165 | | 1,380.326 2 | 1,380.326 2 | 0.0941 | | 1,382.679 1 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | lay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0532 | 0.0346 | 0.3963 | 1.1100e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 110.4707 | 110.4707 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 110.5539 |
| Total | 0.1835 | 4.1800 | 1.4144 | 0.0128 | 0.3810 | 0.0137 | 0.3948 | 0.1034 | 0.0131 | 0.1165 | | 1,380.326 2 | 1,380.326 2 | 0.0941 | | 1,382.679 1 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 |
| Total | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 |
| Total | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | , , , | , , , | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | | 147.4051 |
| Total | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | | 147.4051 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | | 147.4051 |
| Total | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | | 147.4051 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | 1 1 1 | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |
| Total | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | 1 1 1 1 | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |
| Total | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | ,; | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | / | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 2.6620 | 1.6677 | 19.4699 | 0.0571 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 5,691.935 4 | 5,691.935 4 | 0.1602 | | 5,695.940 8 |
| Total | 3.0904 | 14.8350 | 23.2704 | 0.0926 | 7.0087 | 0.0749 | 7.0836 | 1.8799 | 0.0699 | 1.9498 | | 9,481.010 4 | 9,481.010 4 | 0.3984 | | 9,490.969 1 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | ; | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | ļ | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 2.6620 | 1.6677 | 19.4699 | 0.0571 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 5,691.935 4 | 5,691.935 4 | 0.1602 | | 5,695.940 8 |
| Total | 3.0904 | 14.8350 | 23.2704 | 0.0926 | 7.0087 | 0.0749 | 7.0836 | 1.8799 | 0.0699 | 1.9498 | | 9,481.010 4 | 9,481.010 4 | 0.3984 | | 9,490.969 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 |
| Worker | 2.5029 | 1.5073 | 17.8820 | 0.0550 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,483.797 4 | 5,483.797 4 | 0.1442 | | 5,487.402 0 |
| Total | 2.8211 | 11.4799 | 21.2591 | 0.0893 | 7.0088 | 0.0601 | 7.0688 | 1.8799 | 0.0557 | 1.9356 | | 9,155.198 1 | 9,155.198 1 | 0.3538 | | 9,164.043 7 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 |
| Worker | 2.5029 | 1.5073 | 17.8820 | 0.0550 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,483.797 4 | 5,483.797 4 | 0.1442 | | 5,487.402 0 |
| Total | 2.8211 | 11.4799 | 21.2591 | 0.0893 | 7.0088 | 0.0601 | 7.0688 | 1.8799 | 0.0557 | 1.9356 | | 9,155.198 1 | 9,155.198 1 | 0.3538 | | 9,164.043 7 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | 1 1 1 1 | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |
| Total | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |
| Total | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|---------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |
| Total | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|---------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |
| Total | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |
| Total | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |
| Total | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| Mitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Unmitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Aver | age Daily Trip Ra | te | Unmitigated | Mitigated | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--|--|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 154.00 | | 506,227 | 506,227 | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 3,773.25 4075.50 | | | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 | | |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 288.45 62.55 31.05 706,812 | | 706,812 | 706,812 | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 | | |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 511.92 | | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 | | |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 | | |

4.3 Trip Type Information

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | Trip Purpose % | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------------|----------|---------|--|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 | |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 | |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 | |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 | |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy
| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | 1 1 1 | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 | |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22759.9 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 | |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 | |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 251.616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 | |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8, <mark>405.6</mark> 38 7 | |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 22.7599 | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.251616 | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | , , , | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/o | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | 1 1 1 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| <u>Boilers</u> | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type | |
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | | |
| 44 O Vocatotion | | | | | | |
| 11.0 Vegetation | | | | | | |

Attachment C

| Local Hire Provision Net Change | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Without Local Hire Provision | | | | | | | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e) | 3,623 | | | | | | |
| Amortized (MT CO2e/year) | 120.77 | | | | | | |
| With Local Hire Provision | | | | | | | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e) | 3,024 | | | | | | |
| Amortized (MT CO2e/year) | 100.80 | | | | | | |
| % Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions 17% | | | | | | | |

EXHIBIT B



SOIL WATER AIR PROTECTION ENTERPRISE 2656 29th Street, Suite 201 Santa Monica, California 90405 Attn: Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. Mobil: (310) 795-2335 Office: (310) 452-5555 Fax: (310) 452-5550 Email: prosenfeld@swape.com

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Chemical Fate and Transport & Air Dispersion Modeling

Principal Environmental Chemist

Risk Assessment & Remediation Specialist

Education

Ph.D. Soil Chemistry, University of Washington, 1999. Dissertation on volatile organic compound filtration.M.S. Environmental Science, U.C. Berkeley, 1995. Thesis on organic waste economics.B.A. Environmental Studies, U.C. Santa Barbara, 1991. Thesis on wastewater treatment.

Professional Experience

Dr. Rosenfeld has over 25 years' experience conducting environmental investigations and risk assessments for evaluating impacts to human health, property, and ecological receptors. His expertise focuses on the fate and transport of environmental contaminants, human health risk, exposure assessment, and ecological restoration. Dr. Rosenfeld has evaluated and modeled emissions from unconventional oil drilling operations, oil spills, landfills, boilers and incinerators, process stacks, storage tanks, confined animal feeding operations, and many other industrial and agricultural sources. His project experience ranges from monitoring and modeling of pollution sources to evaluating impacts of pollution on workers at industrial facilities and residents in surrounding communities.

Dr. Rosenfeld has investigated and designed remediation programs and risk assessments for contaminated sites containing lead, heavy metals, mold, bacteria, particulate matter, petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents, pesticides, radioactive waste, dioxins and furans, semi- and volatile organic compounds, PCBs, PAHs, perchlorate, asbestos, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFOA/PFOS), unusual polymers, fuel oxygenates (MTBE), among other pollutants. Dr. Rosenfeld also has experience evaluating greenhouse gas emissions from various projects and is an expert on the assessment of odors from industrial and agricultural sites, as well as the evaluation of odor nuisance impacts and technologies for abatement of odorous emissions. As a principal scientist at SWAPE, Dr. Rosenfeld directs air dispersion modeling and exposure assessments. He has served as an expert witness and testified about pollution sources causing nuisance and/or personal injury at dozens of sites and has testified as an expert witness on more than ten cases involving exposure to air contaminants from industrial sources.

Professional History:

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE); 2003 to present; Principal and Founding Partner UCLA School of Public Health; 2007 to 2011; Lecturer (Assistant Researcher) UCLA School of Public Health; 2003 to 2006; Adjunct Professor UCLA Environmental Science and Engineering Program; 2002-2004; Doctoral Intern Coordinator UCLA Institute of the Environment, 2001-2002; Research Associate Komex H₂O Science, 2001 to 2003; Senior Remediation Scientist National Groundwater Association, 2002-2004; Lecturer San Diego State University, 1999-2001; Adjunct Professor Anteon Corp., San Diego, 2000-2001; Remediation Project Manager Ogden (now Amec), San Diego, 2000-2000; Remediation Project Manager Bechtel, San Diego, California, 1999 - 2000; Risk Assessor King County, Seattle, 1996 – 1999; Scientist James River Corp., Washington, 1995-96; Scientist Big Creek Lumber, Davenport, California, 1995; Scientist Plumas Corp., California and USFS, Tahoe 1993-1995; Scientist Peace Corps and World Wildlife Fund, St. Kitts, West Indies, 1991-1993; Scientist

Publications:

Remy, L.L., Clay T., Byers, V., **Rosenfeld P. E.** (2019) Hospital, Health, and Community Burden After Oil Refinery Fires, Richmond, California 2007 and 2012. *Environmental Health*. 18:48

Simons, R.A., Seo, Y. **Rosenfeld**, **P**., (2015) Modeling the Effect of Refinery Emission On Residential Property Value. Journal of Real Estate Research. 27(3):321-342

Chen, J. A, Zapata A. R., Sutherland A. J., Molmen, D.R., Chow, B. S., Wu, L. E., **Rosenfeld, P. E.,** Hesse, R. C., (2012) Sulfur Dioxide and Volatile Organic Compound Exposure To A Community In Texas City Texas Evaluated Using Aermod and Empirical Data. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 8(6), 622-632.

Rosenfeld, P.E. & Feng, L. (2011). The Risks of Hazardous Waste. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2011). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Agrochemical Industry, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Gonzalez, J., Feng, L., Sutherland, A., Waller, C., Sok, H., Hesse, R., **Rosenfeld**, **P.** (2010). PCBs and Dioxins/Furans in Attic Dust Collected Near Former PCB Production and Secondary Copper Facilities in Sauget, IL. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 113–125.

Feng, L., Wu, C., Tam, L., Sutherland, A.J., Clark, J.J., **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2010). Dioxin and Furan Blood Lipid and Attic Dust Concentrations in Populations Living Near Four Wood Treatment Facilities in the United States. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 73(6), 34-46.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2010). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Wood and Paper Industries. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2009). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Petroleum Industry. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld**, **P**. (2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. *WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, Air Pollution*, 123 (17), 319-327.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). A Statistical Analysis Of Attic Dust And Blood Lipid Concentrations Of Tetrachloro-p-Dibenzodioxin (TCDD) Toxicity Equivalency Quotients (TEQ) In Two Populations Near Wood Treatment Facilities. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 002252-002255.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). Methods For Collect Samples For Assessing Dioxins And Other Environmental Contaminants In Attic Dust: A Review. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 000527-000530.

Hensley, A.R. A. Scott, J. J. J. Clark, **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2007). Attic Dust and Human Blood Samples Collected near a Former Wood Treatment Facility. *Environmental Research*. 105, 194-197.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, J. J. J. Clark, A. R. Hensley, M. Suffet. (2007). The Use of an Odor Wheel Classification for Evaluation of Human Health Risk Criteria for Compost Facilities. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 345-357.

Rosenfeld, P. E., M. Suffet. (2007). The Anatomy Of Odour Wheels For Odours Of Drinking Water, Wastewater, Compost And The Urban Environment. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 335-344.

Sullivan, P. J. Clark, J.J.J., Agardy, F. J., Rosenfeld, P.E. (2007). *Toxic Legacy, Synthetic Toxins in the Food, Water, and Air in American Cities.* Boston Massachusetts: Elsevier Publishing

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9),171-178.

Rosenfeld P. E., J.J. Clark, I.H. (Mel) Suffet (2004). The Value of An Odor-Quality-Wheel Classification Scheme For The Urban Environment. *Water Environment Federation's Technical Exhibition and Conference (WEFTEC) 2004*. New Orleans, October 2-6, 2004.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet, I.H. (2004). Understanding Odorants Associated With Compost, Biomass Facilities, and the Land Application of Biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9), 193-199.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash, *Water Science and Technology*, 49(9), 171-178.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M. A., Sellew, P. (2004). Measurement of Biosolids Odor and Odorant Emissions from Windrows, Static Pile and Biofilter. *Water Environment Research*. 76(4), 310-315.

Rosenfeld, P.E., Grey, M and Suffet, M. (2002). Compost Demonstration Project, Sacramento California Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Integrated Waste Management Board Public Affairs Office*, Publications Clearinghouse (MS–6), Sacramento, CA Publication #442-02-008.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (2001). Characterization of odor emissions from three different biosolids. *Water Soil and Air Pollution*. 127(1-4), 173-191.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2000). Wood ash control of odor emissions from biosolids application. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 29, 1662-1668.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry and D. Bennett. (2001). Wastewater dewatering polymer affect on biosolids odor emissions and microbial activity. *Water Environment Research*. 73(4), 363-367.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (2001). Activated Carbon and Wood Ash Sorption of Wastewater, Compost, and Biosolids Odorants. *Water Environment Research*, 73, 388-393.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2001). High carbon wood ash effect on biosolids microbial activity and odor. *Water Environment Research*. 131(1-4), 247-262.

Chollack, T. and **P. Rosenfeld.** (1998). Compost Amendment Handbook For Landscaping. Prepared for and distributed by the City of Redmond, Washington State.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1992). The Mount Liamuiga Crater Trail. Heritage Magazine of St. Kitts, 3(2).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1993). High School Biogas Project to Prevent Deforestation On St. Kitts. *Biomass Users Network*, 7(1).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions From Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. Doctoral Thesis. University of Washington College of Forest Resources.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1994). Potential Utilization of Small Diameter Trees on Sierra County Public Land. Masters thesis reprinted by the Sierra County Economic Council. Sierra County, California.

Rosenfeld, **P. E.** (1991). How to Build a Small Rural Anaerobic Digester & Uses Of Biogas In The First And Third World. Bachelors Thesis. University of California.

Presentations:

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, Sutherland, A; Hesse, R.; Zapata, A. (October 3-6, 2013). Air dispersion modeling of volatile organic emissions from multiple natural gas wells in Decatur, TX. 44th Western Regional Meeting, American Chemical Society. Lecture conducted from Santa Clara, CA.

Sok, H.L.; Waller, C.C.; Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sutherland, A.J.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Atrazine: A Persistent Pesticide in Urban Drinking Water. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sok, H.L.; Sutherland, A.J.; Waller, C.C.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; La, M.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Bringing Environmental Justice to East St. Louis, Illinois. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Perfluoroctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluoroactane Sulfonate (PFOS) Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. 2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting, Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Cost to Filter Atrazine Contamination from Drinking Water in the United States" Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. 2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting. Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld, P.** (20-22 July, 2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. Brebbia, C.A. and Popov, V., eds., *Air Pollution XVII: Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Modeling, Monitoring and Management of Air Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Tallinn, Estonia.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Moss Point Community Exposure To Contaminants From A Releasing Facility. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). The Repeated Trespass of Tritium-Contaminated Water Into A Surrounding Community Form Repeated Waste Spills From A Nuclear Power Plant. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Somerville Community Exposure To Contaminants From Wood Treatment Facility Emissions. The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water. Lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Production, Chemical Properties, Toxicology, & Treatment Case Studies of 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (TCP). *The Association for Environmental Health and Sciences (AEHS) Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Blood and Attic Sampling for Dioxin/Furan, PAH, and Metal Exposure in Florala, Alabama. *The AEHS Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (August 21 – 25, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *The 26th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants – DIOXIN2006*. Lecture conducted from Radisson SAS Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo Norway.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (November 4-8, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *APHA 134 Annual Meeting & Exposition*. Lecture conducted from Boston Massachusetts.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (October 24-25, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. Mealey's C8/PFOA. *Science, Risk & Litigation Conference*. Lecture conducted from The Rittenhouse Hotel, Philadelphia, PA.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, *Toxicology and Remediation PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel, Irvine California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Fate, Transport, Toxicity, And Persistence of 1,2,3-TCP. *PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel in Irvine, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 26-27, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PDBEs. *Mealey's Groundwater Conference*. Lecture conducted from Ritz Carlton Hotel, Marina Del Ray, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (June 7-8, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. *International Society of Environmental Forensics: Focus On Emerging Contaminants*. Lecture conducted from Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel, Virginia Beach, Virginia.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Fate Transport, Persistence and Toxicology of PFOA and Related Perfluorochemicals. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water And Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, Toxicology and Remediation. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. and Rob Hesse R.G. (May 5-6, 2004). Tert-butyl Alcohol Liability and Toxicology, A National Problem and Unquantified Liability. *National Groundwater Association. Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Congress Plaza Hotel, Chicago Illinois.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (March 2004). Perchlorate Toxicology. *Meeting of the American Groundwater Trust*. Lecture conducted from Phoenix Arizona.

Hagemann, M.F., **Paul Rosenfeld**, **Ph.D.** and Rob Hesse (2004). Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. *Meeting of tribal representatives*. Lecture conducted from Parker, AZ.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (April 7, 2004). A National Damage Assessment Model For PCE and Dry Cleaners. *Drycleaner Symposium. California Ground Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Radison Hotel, Sacramento, California.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M., (June 2003) Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. Seventh International In Situ And On Site Bioremediation Symposium Battelle Conference Orlando, FL.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. (February 20-21, 2003) Understanding Historical Use, Chemical Properties, Toxicity and Regulatory Guidance of 1,4 Dioxane. *National Groundwater Association. Southwest Focus Conference. Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants.*. Lecture conducted from Hyatt Regency Phoenix Arizona.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (February 6-7, 2003). Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *California CUPA Forum*. Lecture conducted from Marriott Hotel, Anaheim California.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (October 23, 2002) Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *EPA Underground Storage Tank Roundtable*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, **P.E**. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Understanding Odor from Compost, *Wastewater and Industrial Processes. Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, **P.E**. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Using High Carbon Wood Ash to Control Compost Odor. *Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Grey, M. A. (September 22-24, 2002). Biocycle Composting For Coastal Sage Restoration. *Northwest Biosolids Management Association*. Lecture conducted from Vancouver Washington..

Rosenfeld, **P.E**. and Grey, M. A. (November 11-14, 2002). Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Soil Science Society Annual Conference*. Lecture conducted from Indianapolis, Maryland.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (September 16, 2000). Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. *Water Environment Federation*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (October 16, 2000). Wood ash and biofilter control of compost odor. *Biofest*. Lecture conducted from Ocean Shores, California.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (2000). Bioremediation Using Organic Soil Amendments. *California Resource Recovery Association*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. *Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings*. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (1999). An evaluation of ash incorporation with biosolids for odor reduction. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Salt Lake City Utah.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Comparison of Microbial Activity and Odor Emissions from Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Brown and Caldwell*. Lecture conducted from Seattle Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions from Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. *Biofest.* Lecture conducted from Lake Chelan, Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E, C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. B. Harrison, and R. Dills. (1997). Comparison of Odor Emissions From Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Teaching Experience:

UCLA Department of Environmental Health (Summer 2003 through 20010) Taught Environmental Health Science 100 to students, including undergrad, medical doctors, public health professionals and nurses. Course focused on the health effects of environmental contaminants.

National Ground Water Association, Successful Remediation Technologies. Custom Course in Sante Fe, New Mexico. May 21, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of fuel contaminants associated with underground storage tanks.

National Ground Water Association; Successful Remediation Technologies Course in Chicago Illinois. April 1, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of contaminants associated with Superfund and RCRA sites.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, April and May, 2001. Alternative Landfill Caps Seminar in San Diego, Ventura, and San Francisco. Focused on both prescriptive and innovative landfill cover design.

UCLA Department of Environmental Engineering, February 5, 2002. Seminar on Successful Remediation Technologies focusing on Groundwater Remediation.

University Of Washington, Soil Science Program, Teaching Assistant for several courses including: Soil Chemistry, Organic Soil Amendments, and Soil Stability.

U.C. Berkeley, Environmental Science Program Teaching Assistant for Environmental Science 10.

Academic Grants Awarded:

California Integrated Waste Management Board. \$41,000 grant awarded to UCLA Institute of the Environment. Goal: To investigate effect of high carbon wood ash on volatile organic emissions from compost. 2001.

Synagro Technologies, Corona California: \$10,000 grant awarded to San Diego State University. Goal: investigate effect of biosolids for restoration and remediation of degraded coastal sage soils. 2000.

King County, Department of Research and Technology, Washington State. \$100,000 grant awarded to University of Washington: Goal: To investigate odor emissions from biosolids application and the effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions. 1998.

Northwest Biosolids Management Association, Washington State. \$20,000 grant awarded to investigate effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions from biosolids. 1997.

James River Corporation, Oregon: \$10,000 grant was awarded to investigate the success of genetically engineered Poplar trees with resistance to round-up. 1996.

United State Forest Service, Tahoe National Forest: \$15,000 grant was awarded to investigating fire ecology of the Tahoe National Forest. 1995.

Kellogg Foundation, Washington D.C. \$500 grant was awarded to construct a large anaerobic digester on St. Kitts in West Indies. 1993

Deposition and/or Trial Testimony:

| In the United States District Court For The District of New Jersey Duarte et al, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. United States Metals Refining Company et. al. <i>Defendant</i> . Case No.: 2:17-cv-01624-ES-SCM Rosenfeld Deposition. 6-7-2019 | |
|---|----|
| In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division M/T Carla Maersk, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Conti 168., Schiffahrts-GMBH & Co. Bulker KG MS "Conti Perdido <i>Defendant</i> . Case No : 3:15-CV-00106 consolidated with 3:15-CV-00237 |)" |
| Rosenfeld Deposition. 5-9-2019 | |
| In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica Carole-Taddeo-Bates et al., vs. Ifran Khan et al., Defendants Case No.: No. BC615636 | |
| Rosenfeld Deposition, 1-26-2019 | |
| In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments et al. vs El Adobe Apts. Inc. et al., Defendants Case No.: No. BC646857 | |
| Rosenfeld Deposition, 10-6-2018; Trial 3-7-19 | |
| In United States District Court For The District of Colorado Bells et al. Plaintiff vs. The 3M Company et al., Defendants | |
| Rosenfeld Deposition, 3-15-2018 and 4-3-2018 | |
| In The District Court Of Regan County, Texas, 112 th Judicial District Phillip Bales et al., Plaintiff vs. Dow Agrosciences, LLC, et al., Defendants Cause No 1923 Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-17-2017 | |
| In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Contra Costa Simons et al., Plaintiffs vs. Chevron Corporation, et al., Defendants Cause No C12-01481 Becarfeld Deposition, 11, 20, 2017 | |
| Rosenfeid Deposition, 11-20-2017 | |
| In The Circuit Court Of The Twentieth Judicial Circuit, St Clair County, Illinois Martha Custer et al., Plaintiff vs. Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Defendants Case No.: No. 0i9-L-2295 Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-23-2017 | |
| In The Superior Court of the State of California, For The County of Los Angeles Warrn Gilbert and Penny Gilber, Plaintiff vs. BMW of North America LLC Case No.: LC102019 (c/w BC582154) Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-16-2017, Trail 8-28-2018 | |
| In the Northern District Court of Mississippi, Greenville Division Brenda J. Cooper, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Meritor Inc., et al., <i>Defendants</i> | |

n the Northern District Court of Mississippi, Greenville Division Brenda J. Cooper, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Meritor Inc., et al., *Defendants* Case Number: 4:16-cv-52-DMB-JVM Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2017 In The Superior Court of the State of Washington, County of Snohomish Michael Davis and Julie Davis et al., Plaintiff vs. Cedar Grove Composting Inc., Defendants Case No.: No. 13-2-03987-5 Rosenfeld Deposition, February 2017 Trial. March 2017 In The Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda Charles Spain., Plaintiff vs. Thermo Fisher Scientific, et al., Defendants Case No.: RG14711115 Rosenfeld Deposition, September 2015 In The Iowa District Court In And For Poweshiek County Russell D. Winburn, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Doug Hoksbergen, et al., Defendants Case No.: LALA002187 Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Jerry Dovico, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Valley View Sine LLC, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Doug Pauls, et al., et al., Plaintiffs vs. Richard Warren, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Circuit Court of Ohio County, West Virginia Robert Andrews, et al. v. Antero, et al. Civil Action N0. 14-C-30000 Rosenfeld Deposition, June 2015 In The Third Judicial District County of Dona Ana, New Mexico Betty Gonzalez, et al. Plaintiffs vs. Del Oro Dairy, Del Oro Real Estate LLC, Jerry Settles and Deward DeRuyter, Defendants Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Muscatine County Laurie Freeman et. al. Plaintiffs vs. Grain Processing Corporation, Defendant Case No 4980 Rosenfeld Deposition: May 2015 In the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, in and For Broward County, Florida Walter Hinton, et. al. Plaintiff, vs. City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, a Municipality, Defendant. Case Number CACE07030358 (26) Rosenfeld Deposition: December 2014 In the United States District Court Western District of Oklahoma Tommy McCarty, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Oklahoma City Landfill, LLC d/b/a Southeast Oklahoma City Landfill, et al. Defendants. Case No. 5:12-cv-01152-C Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2014

In the County Court of Dallas County Texas Lisa Parr et al, *Plaintiff*, vs. Aruba et al, *Defendant*. Case Number cc-11-01650-E Rosenfeld Deposition: March and September 2013 Rosenfeld Trial: April 2014

In the Court of Common Pleas of Tuscarawas County Ohio John Michael Abicht, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Republic Services, Inc., et al., *Defendants* Case Number: 2008 CT 10 0741 (Cons. w/ 2009 CV 10 0987) Rosenfeld Deposition: October 2012

 In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division Kyle Cannon, Eugene Donovan, Genaro Ramirez, Carol Sassler, and Harvey Walton, each Individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, *Plaintiffs*, vs. BP Products North America, Inc., *Defendant*. Case 3:10-cv-00622 Rosenfeld Deposition: February 2012 Rosenfeld Trial: April 2013

In the Circuit Court of Baltimore County Maryland

Philip E. Cvach, II et al., *Plaintiffs* vs. Two Farms, Inc. d/b/a Royal Farms, Defendants Case Number: 03-C-12-012487 OT Rosenfeld Deposition: September 2013

EXHIBIT C



Technical Consultation, Data Analysis and Litigation Support for the Environment

> 1640 5th St., Suite 204 Santa Santa Monica, California 90401 Tel: (949) 887-9013 Email: <u>mhagemann@swape.com</u>

Matthew F. Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg., QSD, QSP

Geologic and Hydrogeologic Characterization Industrial Stormwater Compliance Investigation and Remediation Strategies Litigation Support and Testifying Expert CEQA Review

Education:

M.S. Degree, Geology, California State University Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 1984. B.A. Degree, Geology, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, 1982.

Professional Certifications:

California Professional Geologist California Certified Hydrogeologist Qualified SWPPP Developer and Practitioner

Professional Experience:

Matt has 25 years of experience in environmental policy, assessment and remediation. He spent nine years with the U.S. EPA in the RCRA and Superfund programs and served as EPA's Senior Science Policy Advisor in the Western Regional Office where he identified emerging threats to groundwater from perchlorate and MTBE. While with EPA, Matt also served as a Senior Hydrogeologist in the oversight of the assessment of seven major military facilities undergoing base closure. He led numerous enforcement actions under provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) while also working with permit holders to improve hydrogeologic characterization and water quality monitoring.

Matt has worked closely with U.S. EPA legal counsel and the technical staff of several states in the application and enforcement of RCRA, Safe Drinking Water Act and Clean Water Act regulations. Matt has trained the technical staff in the States of California, Hawaii, Nevada, Arizona and the Territory of Guam in the conduct of investigations, groundwater fundamentals, and sampling techniques.

Positions Matt has held include:

- Founding Partner, Soil/Water/Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE) (2003 present);
- Geology Instructor, Golden West College, 2010 2014;
- Senior Environmental Analyst, Komex H2O Science, Inc. (2000 -- 2003);

- Executive Director, Orange Coast Watch (2001 2004);
- Senior Science Policy Advisor and Hydrogeologist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1989–1998);
- Hydrogeologist, National Park Service, Water Resources Division (1998 2000);
- Adjunct Faculty Member, San Francisco State University, Department of Geosciences (1993 1998);
- Instructor, College of Marin, Department of Science (1990 1995);
- Geologist, U.S. Forest Service (1986 1998); and
- Geologist, Dames & Moore (1984 1986).

Senior Regulatory and Litigation Support Analyst:

With SWAPE, Matt's responsibilities have included:

- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of over 100 environmental impact reports since 2003 under CEQA that identify significant issues with regard to hazardous waste, water resources, water quality, air quality, Valley Fever, greenhouse gas emissions, and geologic hazards. Make recommendations for additional mitigation measures to lead agencies at the local and county level to include additional characterization of health risks and implementation of protective measures to reduce worker exposure to hazards from toxins and Valley Fever.
- Stormwater analysis, sampling and best management practice evaluation at industrial facilities.
- Manager of a project to provide technical assistance to a community adjacent to a former Naval shipyard under a grant from the U.S. EPA.
- Technical assistance and litigation support for vapor intrusion concerns.
- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of environmental issues in license applications for large solar power plants before the California Energy Commission.
- Manager of a project to evaluate numerous formerly used military sites in the western U.S.
- Manager of a comprehensive evaluation of potential sources of perchlorate contamination in Southern California drinking water wells.
- Manager and designated expert for litigation support under provisions of Proposition 65 in the review of releases of gasoline to sources drinking water at major refineries and hundreds of gas stations throughout California.
- Expert witness on two cases involving MTBE litigation.
- Expert witness and litigation support on the impact of air toxins and hazards at a school.
- Expert witness in litigation at a former plywood plant.

With Komex H2O Science Inc., Matt's duties included the following:

- Senior author of a report on the extent of perchlorate contamination that was used in testimony by the former U.S. EPA Administrator and General Counsel.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of MTBE use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of perchlorate use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in a study that estimates nationwide costs for MTBE remediation and drinking water treatment, results of which were published in newspapers nationwide and in testimony against provisions of an energy bill that would limit liability for oil companies.
- Research to support litigation to restore drinking water supplies that have been contaminated by MTBE in California and New York.

- Expert witness testimony in a case of oil production-related contamination in Mississippi.
- Lead author for a multi-volume remedial investigation report for an operating school in Los Angeles that met strict regulatory requirements and rigorous deadlines.

• Development of strategic approaches for cleanup of contaminated sites in consultation with clients and regulators.

Executive Director:

As Executive Director with Orange Coast Watch, Matt led efforts to restore water quality at Orange County beaches from multiple sources of contamination including urban runoff and the discharge of wastewater. In reporting to a Board of Directors that included representatives from leading Orange County universities and businesses, Matt prepared issue papers in the areas of treatment and disinfection of wastewater and control of the discharge of grease to sewer systems. Matt actively participated in the development of countywide water quality permits for the control of urban runoff and permits for the discharge of wastewater. Matt worked with other nonprofits to protect and restore water quality, including Surfrider, Natural Resources Defense Council and Orange County CoastKeeper as well as with business institutions including the Orange County Business Council.

<u>Hydrogeology:</u>

As a Senior Hydrogeologist with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Matt led investigations to characterize and cleanup closing military bases, including Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, Treasure Island Naval Station, Alameda Naval Station, Moffett Field, Mather Army Airfield, and Sacramento Army Depot. Specific activities were as follows:

- Led efforts to model groundwater flow and contaminant transport, ensured adequacy of monitoring networks, and assessed cleanup alternatives for contaminated sediment, soil, and groundwater.
- Initiated a regional program for evaluation of groundwater sampling practices and laboratory analysis at military bases.
- Identified emerging issues, wrote technical guidance, and assisted in policy and regulation development through work on four national U.S. EPA workgroups, including the Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum and the Federal Facilities Forum.

At the request of the State of Hawaii, Matt developed a methodology to determine the vulnerability of groundwater to contamination on the islands of Maui and Oahu. He used analytical models and a GIS to show zones of vulnerability, and the results were adopted and published by the State of Hawaii and County of Maui.

As a hydrogeologist with the EPA Groundwater Protection Section, Matt worked with provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act and NEPA to prevent drinking water contamination. Specific activities included the following:

- Received an EPA Bronze Medal for his contribution to the development of national guidance for the protection of drinking water.
- Managed the Sole Source Aquifer Program and protected the drinking water of two communities through designation under the Safe Drinking Water Act. He prepared geologic reports, conducted public hearings, and responded to public comments from residents who were very concerned about the impact of designation.

• Reviewed a number of Environmental Impact Statements for planned major developments, including large hazardous and solid waste disposal facilities, mine reclamation, and water transfer.

Matt served as a hydrogeologist with the RCRA Hazardous Waste program. Duties were as follows:

- Supervised the hydrogeologic investigation of hazardous waste sites to determine compliance with Subtitle C requirements.
- Reviewed and wrote "part B" permits for the disposal of hazardous waste.
- Conducted RCRA Corrective Action investigations of waste sites and led inspections that formed the basis for significant enforcement actions that were developed in close coordination with U.S. EPA legal counsel.
- Wrote contract specifications and supervised contractor's investigations of waste sites.

With the National Park Service, Matt directed service-wide investigations of contaminant sources to prevent degradation of water quality, including the following tasks:

- Applied pertinent laws and regulations including CERCLA, RCRA, NEPA, NRDA, and the Clean Water Act to control military, mining, and landfill contaminants.
- Conducted watershed-scale investigations of contaminants at parks, including Yellowstone and Olympic National Park.
- Identified high-levels of perchlorate in soil adjacent to a national park in New Mexico and advised park superintendent on appropriate response actions under CERCLA.
- Served as a Park Service representative on the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee, a national workgroup.
- Developed a program to conduct environmental compliance audits of all National Parks while serving on a national workgroup.
- Co-authored two papers on the potential for water contamination from the operation of personal watercraft and snowmobiles, these papers serving as the basis for the development of nation-wide policy on the use of these vehicles in National Parks.
- Contributed to the Federal Multi-Agency Source Water Agreement under the Clean Water Action Plan.

Policy:

Served senior management as the Senior Science Policy Advisor with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. Activities included the following:

- Advised the Regional Administrator and senior management on emerging issues such as the potential for the gasoline additive MTBE and ammonium perchlorate to contaminate drinking water supplies.
- Shaped EPA's national response to these threats by serving on workgroups and by contributing to guidance, including the Office of Research and Development publication, Oxygenates in Water: Critical Information and Research Needs.
- Improved the technical training of EPA's scientific and engineering staff.
- Earned an EPA Bronze Medal for representing the region's 300 scientists and engineers in negotiations with the Administrator and senior management to better integrate scientific principles into the policy-making process.
- Established national protocol for the peer review of scientific documents.

Geology:

With the U.S. Forest Service, Matt led investigations to determine hillslope stability of areas proposed for timber harvest in the central Oregon Coast Range. Specific activities were as follows:

- Mapped geology in the field, and used aerial photographic interpretation and mathematical models to determine slope stability.
- Coordinated his research with community members who were concerned with natural resource protection.
- Characterized the geology of an aquifer that serves as the sole source of drinking water for the city of Medford, Oregon.

As a consultant with Dames and Moore, Matt led geologic investigations of two contaminated sites (later listed on the Superfund NPL) in the Portland, Oregon, area and a large hazardous waste site in eastern Oregon. Duties included the following:

- Supervised year-long effort for soil and groundwater sampling.
- Conducted aquifer tests.
- Investigated active faults beneath sites proposed for hazardous waste disposal.

<u>Teaching:</u>

From 1990 to 1998, Matt taught at least one course per semester at the community college and university levels:

- At San Francisco State University, held an adjunct faculty position and taught courses in environmental geology, oceanography (lab and lecture), hydrogeology, and groundwater contamination.
- Served as a committee member for graduate and undergraduate students.
- Taught courses in environmental geology and oceanography at the College of Marin.

Matt taught physical geology (lecture and lab and introductory geology at Golden West College in Huntington Beach, California from 2010 to 2014.

Invited Testimony, Reports, Papers and Presentations:

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Presentation to the Public Environmental Law Conference, Eugene, Oregon.

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Invited presentation to U.S. EPA Region 9, San Francisco, California.

Hagemann, M.F., 2005. Use of Electronic Databases in Environmental Regulation, Policy Making and Public Participation. Brownfields 2005, Denver, Coloradao.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Nevada and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Las Vegas, NV (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Invited testimony to a California Senate committee hearing on air toxins at schools in Southern California, Los Angeles.

Brown, A., Farrow, J., Gray, A. and **Hagemann, M.**, 2004. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to the Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference, National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Arizona and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Phoenix, AZ (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in the Southwestern U.S. Invited presentation to a special committee meeting of the National Academy of Sciences, Irvine, CA.

Hagemann, **M.F**., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a tribal EPA meeting, Pechanga, CA.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a meeting of tribal repesentatives, Parker, AZ.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Impact of Perchlorate on the Colorado River and Associated Drinking Water Supplies. Invited presentation to the Inter-Tribal Meeting, Torres Martinez Tribe.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. The Emergence of Perchlorate as a Widespread Drinking Water Contaminant. Invited presentation to the U.S. EPA Region 9.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. A Deductive Approach to the Assessment of Perchlorate Contamination. Invited presentation to the California Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate: A Cold War Legacy in Drinking Water. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater and an Estimate of Costs to Address Impacts to Groundwater. Presentation to the annual meeting of the Society of Environmental Journalists.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of the Cost to Address MTBE Contamination in Groundwater (and Who Will Pay). Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to a meeting of the U.S. EPA and State Underground Storage Tank Program managers.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Cleanup Cost for MTBE in Groundwater Used as Drinking Water. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Leaking Underground Storage Tanks. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., and VanMouwerik, M., 1999. Potential Water Quality Concerns Related to Snowmobile Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

VanMouwerik, M. and **Hagemann, M.F**. 1999, Water Quality Concerns Related to Personal Watercraft Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

Hagemann, M.F., 1999, Is Dilution the Solution to Pollution in National Parks? The George Wright Society Biannual Meeting, Asheville, North Carolina.

Hagemann, M.F., 1997, The Potential for MTBE to Contaminate Groundwater. U.S. EPA Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Hagemann, M.F., and Gill, M., 1996, Impediments to Intrinsic Remediation, Moffett Field Naval Air Station, Conference on Intrinsic Remediation of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Salt Lake City.

Hagemann, M.F., Fukunaga, G.L., 1996, The Vulnerability of Groundwater to Anthropogenic Contaminants on the Island of Maui, Hawaii. Hawaii Water Works Association Annual Meeting, Maui, October 1996.

Hagemann, M. F., Fukanaga, G. L., 1996, Ranking Groundwater Vulnerability in Central Oahu, Hawaii. Proceedings, Geographic Information Systems in Environmental Resources Management, Air and Waste Management Association Publication VIP-61.

Hagemann, M.F., 1994. Groundwater Characterization and Cleanup at Closing Military Bases in California. Proceedings, California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

Hagemann, M.F. and Sabol, M.A., 1993. Role of the U.S. EPA in the High Plains States Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Program. Proceedings, Sixth Biennial Symposium on the Artificial Recharge of Groundwater.

Hagemann, M.F., 1993. U.S. EPA Policy on the Technical Impracticability of the Cleanup of DNAPLcontaminated Groundwater. California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting. **Hagemann, M.F**., 1992. Dense Nonaqueous Phase Liquid Contamination of Groundwater: An Ounce of Prevention... Proceedings, Association of Engineering Geologists Annual Meeting, v. 35.

Other Experience:

Selected as subject matter expert for the California Professional Geologist licensing examination, 2009-2011.

Letter 18

COMMENTER: Mitchell M. Tsai, on behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters

DATE: November 18, 2021

Response 18.1

The commenter, on behalf of "Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters" describes the client, states his client reserves the right to supplement the comments on the Recirculated Draft EIR (in addition to during public hearings), and requests forwarding of any and all notices for the project under CEQA.

The City will comply with all applicable notice requirements in response to this letter. Individual responses regarding the commenter's concerns on environmental impacts are addressed in responses 18.2 through 18.6. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 18.2

The commenter suggests that the City consider requiring the project applicant to use local hires and a skilled and trained workforce with specific training experience. The commenter provides explanation and a letter attachment from SWAPE that describes how skilled and trained workforce requirements yield sustainable economic development, air pollution reductions, VMT reductions, and GHG reductions.

The commenter's suggestions to require the applicant hire local skilled workers are noted. As discussed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, impacts related to air quality, greenhouse gases, and VMT were found to be less than significant without mitigation. Therefore, mitigation to further reduce less than significant air quality, GHG, and VMT impacts is not required for the proposed project. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 18.3

The commenter suggests that the City require the project to be built to standards exceeding those required by the 2019 California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) to mitigate the project's environmental impacts and to advance progress towards the State of California's environmental goals.

The commenter does not specify any environmental impacts that would require mitigation involving design and construction of the project to exceed the 2019 CALGreen standards. As discussed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, impacts related to air quality, GHG, and energy would

be less than significant without mitigation. All impacts identified in the Recirculated Draft EIR were found to be less than significant or less than significant with mitigation, with the exception of the significant and unavoidable construction noise impact. Nonetheless, as described in Section 2.0, *Project Description*, the proposed project would exceed Title 24 California Building Code energy efficiency standards by 15% and would include Energy Star appliances, lighting, and signage. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 18.4

The commenter provides background information and the commenter's own interpretation concerning CEQA and the EIR process.

This comment is noted but raises no issues with respect to the Recirculated Draft EIR. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 18.5

The commenter provides the commenter's interpretation of the requirements for recirculation of an EIR under CEQA and suggests that because of significant new information, the City should revise and recirculate the Recirculated Draft EIR.

The commenter does not provide evidence to support the need for a second recirculation. As discussed in the individual responses to the commenter's letter, the City has determined that no significant new information has been raised and that recirculation of the Recirculated Draft EIR is not warranted. Please refer to responses 18.2 to 18.4. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 18.6

The commenter states an opinion that the Recirculated Draft EIR should identify a significant impact related to the public health risk of COVID-19 on construction workers. The commenter provides a recommended list of construction site work practices to reduce public health risk.

While the City acknowledges that COVID-19 is a serious public health issue, public health effects related to infectious diseases such as COVID-19 are not specifically included on the environmental checklist in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines and public health effects are typically considered under CEQA only insofar as they relate to environmental impacts that are the focus of CEQA (e.g., air pollutant emissions, noise) rather than infectious diseases. As noted by one court, CEQA requires an analysis of a "project's impact on the environment—and not the environment's impact on the project." *California Building Industry Assn. v. Bay Area Air Quality*

Management Dist. [2015] 62 Cal.4th 369, 377. Moreover, there is no evidence to suggest that onsite project-specific construction activity would increase the potential for exposure to COVID-19. Further, it is not known what conditions regarding COVID-19 will be when project construction occurs. However, construction contractors will be subject to any state or local restrictions/guidelines in place at that time (including but not limited to California Occupational Safety and Health Act regulations¹). This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response 18.7

The commenter concludes by stating that the City should revise and recirculate the Recirculated Draft EIR and states the City should contact the commenter if there are any questions or concerns on their comments.

Please refer to Responses 18.1 through 18.6. As discussed therein, no significant environmental impacts warranting recirculation of the Recirculated Draft EIR have been identified.

Response to Attachment A

As a part of Comment 18.2, the commenter references an attachment dated March 8, 2021 and authored by Matt Hagemann and Paul E. Rosenfeld of SWAPE regarding "Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling." This letter includes over two hundred pages of CalEEMod calculations and technical data. Please see Response 18.2.

The commenter's SWAPE attachment generally relates to, but is not tailored to, this project or the Recirculated Draft EIR. As such, the City is not required to provide a response to this attachment. *Environmental Protection Information Center v. California Dept. of Forestry & Fire Protection*, 44 Cal. 4th 459, 483-484 (2008) (holding that an agency has no duty to consider all non-project-specific secondary materials submitted in support of the comments). Nonetheless, the City hereby provides a response to this attachment as it relates to the comment letter.

The SWAPE attachment describes the California Emissions Estimator Model ("CalEEMod") and states that there is a direct relationship between vehicle miles traveled and vehicle GHG emissions. The SWAPE attachment provides default worker trip lengths for various air basins, which range from 10.8 to 19.8 miles. The SWAPE attachment then applies a 10-mile trip length for workers for a project in the City of Claremont, compares it to the default trip length, and calculates the reduction in GHG emissions for 10-mile shorter trip length. The SWAPE attachment notes: "This serves as an example of the potential impacts of local hire requirements on estimated project-level GHG emissions, though it does not indicate that local hire requirements would result in reduced construction-related GHG emission for all projects." (Attachment A, p. 4.)

¹ United States Department of Labor – Occupational Safety and Health Administration. COVID-19. Accessible at: https://www.osha.gov/SLTC/covid-19/standards.html.

The comments and analysis in the SWAPE attachment will be submitted to the decisionmakers for their consideration. The commenter indicates in Comment 18.2 that the City of Hayward General Plan includes a provision to "promote local hiring" and has adopted a Skilled Labor Force policy into its Downtown Specific Plan and municipal code. Similarly, any City requirements for local hiring would need to be considered legislatively by the City Council for application to all similarly situated projects.

As discussed in the Recirculated Draft EIR and in Response 18.2, impacts related to air quality, greenhouse gases, and VMT were found to be less than significant without mitigation. Therefore, mitigation to further reduce less than significant air quality, GHG, and VMT impacts is not required for the proposed project.

Response to Attachment B

The commenter includes as an attachment the resume of air quality and GHG expert Paul E. Rosenfeld.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.

Response to Attachment C

The commenter includes as an attachment the resume of air quality and GHG expert Matt Hagemann.

This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.



| From: | notifications@typeform.com |
|----------|---|
| To: | Bobby Safikhani; David Gillig; John Keho; Jennifer Alkire |
| Subject: | Typeform: New response for Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 |
| Date: | Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:55:52 AM |

CAUTION - EXTERNAL SENDER. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Your typeform Planning Commission Public Comment Form 11-18-21 has a new response:

- Please provide your name. Cam T
- Hi Cam T. Please provide your city of residence. West Hollywood
- Would you like to comment during public comment or on a particular agenda item? AN AGENDA ITEM
- Please enter the agenda item number. 11
- Please indicate if you support or oppose the recommended item. I OPPOSE THE RECOMMENDED ITEM
- Please indicate if you are any of the following. None of the above
- Please provide your comment regarding item 11.
 As a homeowner living adjacent to this development, I'm wondering if there has been a thorough soil or geotechnical analysis performed and if so what the determination was? Our building is on a hill, and I believe the area is also an earthquake liquefaction zone.

Typeform sent you this email on behalf of a typeform creator. We aren't responsible for its content. If you suspect abuse, like suspicious links, please report it <u>here</u>.

8-357 ITEM 11.A. ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE (11.18.21) (2) Letter 19

COMMENTER: Cam T.

DATE: November 18, 2021

Response 19.1

The commenter asks whether a soil or geotechnical analysis was performed for the proposed project and what determinations were made based on the analysis. The commenter states that buildings adjacent to the project site are situated on slopes, and states that the area is within an earthquake liquefaction zone.

Please see Responses 9.1, 10.4, and 17.1 regarding the geotechnical analysis performed for the project. This comment does not affect the analysis completed or conclusions provided in the Recirculated Draft EIR, does not provide new information or evidence related to the analysis completed in the Recirculated Draft EIR, and does not reflect on the adequacy or content of the Recirculated Draft EIR. No changes to the Recirculated Draft EIR are required.