```
1
    BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION
    OF THE CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD
 3
    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 4
 5
    Address:
 6
    625 N. San Vicente Boulevard
 7
    West Hollywood, California
                                   )
 8
9
    DATE: DECEMBER 3, 2015
10
                             COMMISSION PRESENT
    Chair Altschul
11
   Vice-Chair Aghaei
12
13
    Commissioner Buckner
14
    Commissioner DeLuccio
    Commissioner Lightfoot
15
    Commissioner Jones
16
17
                                   ABSENT
18
    Commissioner Huebner
19
                               STAFF PRESENT
    Dereck Purificacion, Assistant Planner
20
    Rachel Dimond, AICP, Senior Planner
21
22
    David DeGrazia, Current and Historic Preservation Planning Manager
23
    Shahiedah Palmer, Acting Assistant City Attorney
24
    David Gillig, Commission Secretary
```

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

16

| Planning Commission Meeting

3 | Monday, December 3, 2015

4 | Altschul: Cathy Blaivas. Come on. Thank you, Cathy.

5 | ITEM 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

| Blaivas: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

States of America and to the republic for which it

stands, one nation under God, indivisible with

liberty and justice for all.

Altschul: Thank you. Roll call, David.

11 | ITEM 3. ROLL CALL

12 | Gillig: Good evening. Commissioner Huebner is absent

tonight so the minutes will reflect that at the

next meeting. Commissioner Lightfoot?

15 | Lightfoot: Here.

||Gillig: Commissioner Jones?

17 || Jones: Here.

18 | Gillig: Commissioner DeLuccio?

19 | **DeLuccio:** Here.

20 | Gillig: Commissioner Buckner?

21 | Buckner: Here.

22 | Gillig: Vice Chair Aghaei?

23 Aghaei: Here.

24 | Gillig: Chair Altschul?

1 | Altschul: Here.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 | Gillig: And we have a quorum.

ITEM 4. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Altschul: With respect to the agenda we're going to suggest a

couple of changes. First of all, Item #13 we would

move to the consent calendar which is the...not

#13.

DeLuccio: You mean 12.

Altschul: 12A, the aging in place matter and Item #11A, the

transportation demand management item with the

addition of the appointment of Vice Chair Aghaei as

the representative on that working group and with

Sue Buckner as the alternative on that working

group. And we would move that to the consent

calendar. In addition it has been proposed that

the zone text amendment, Item 10A be transferred to

the consent calendar. There was one speaker who

was in favor of the staff recommendation who has

agreed to accept the transfer to the consent

calendar. And with all those changes, if there is

no objection from the members of the Commission

that will be the, those will be the amendments to

the agenda and I would move the agenda. Is there a

second?

1	Buckner:	Second.
2	Altschul:	All those in favor?
3	Buckner:	What happened?
4	Altschul:	Mark your, mark your
5	Buckner:	It's not coming up.
6	Altschul:	It's not coming up. All those
7	DeLuccio:	Wait.
8	Altschul:	We have one, two, three, four, five, six yeses, Roy
9		is not here so it is unanimously moved with the,
10		with the changes. Next item is the approval of the
11		minutes of November 19 th . Are there any changes,
12		additions or corrections to those minutes? Hearing
13		none, is there a motion to approve them?
13 14	ITEM 5.	none, is there a motion to approve them? APPROVAL OF MINUTES
	ITEM 5. DeLuccio:	
14		APPROVAL OF MINUTES
14 15	DeLuccio:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES Yes, I made a motion.
14 15 16	DeLuccio:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES Yes, I made a motion. Motion by Commissioner DeLuccio, seconded by
14 15 16 17	DeLuccio:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES Yes, I made a motion. Motion by Commissioner DeLuccio, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Please mark your votes.
14 15 16 17	DeLuccio:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES Yes, I made a motion. Motion by Commissioner DeLuccio, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Please mark your votes. Three, six in favor. None opposed. One abstention
14 15 16 17 18	DeLuccio:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES Yes, I made a motion. Motion by Commissioner DeLuccio, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Please mark your votes. Three, six in favor. None opposed. One abstention or absence as the minutes are therefore passed.
14 15 16 17 18 19	DeLuccio: Altschul:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES Yes, I made a motion. Motion by Commissioner DeLuccio, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Please mark your votes. Three, six in favor. None opposed. One abstention or absence as the minutes are therefore passed. Public comment?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DeLuccio: Altschul:	APPROVAL OF MINUTES Yes, I made a motion. Motion by Commissioner DeLuccio, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Please mark your votes. Three, six in favor. None opposed. One abstention or absence as the minutes are therefore passed. Public comment? PUBLIC COMMENT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Harker: Good evening Chair. Good evening Commissioners and members of the public and staff. I have to leave right after this for a rehearsal this evening for our holiday play for the children so I wanted to just say a few things on 10B and 10C. opposed to the staff recommendation for reasons that you will probably hear at nauseam tonight. I agree with those who oppose. And on 10C, I am in support of the staff's recommendation for this 22 units of housing, WHCHC project. The new design or the current design I guess, I don't know how many incarnations it's gone through but the Spanish Colonial style is much more appropriate for our historic area tipping its hat to the historic nature of that street and I'm certainly happy to hear that it's not going to be six stories creeping up into our residential areas since it's not actually on the Boulevard. It's quite a lovely project at this point. There's still some issues to be worked out with the current residents and I hope we will as a community and the City will bend over backwards to help those people who are basically being Ellised out. They do get to come back. They are being given some money but they are

2

3

4

5

6 || Harke

Harker:

Altschul:

Altschul:

||Blaivas:

8

7

10

9

13 14

12

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

mostly seniors and disabled and people who really need a hand and, a hand up let's say or a leg up and so I'm in hopes that we will do everything that can be done to help them. And thank you very much.

Thank you.

Happy Holidays.

Cathy Blaivas.

Good evening, Cathy Blaivas City of West Hollywood.

Good evening Commissioners and members of the public. I too cannot stay for the whole meeting so The first item I'm going to comment on two items. would be with regard to the cell tower. I too am opposed to the cell tower. I have concerns with regard to health issues even though there seems to be no stated impact, it's just a scary, scary idea especially over the heads of children and I'm hoping that will not be passed. I found it interesting that one of the reasons for passing it was the hardship for the provider and that there's no other location nearby but I can't imagine something can't be figured out. So I am opposed to With regard to Item 10C, Blue Hibiscus, I just want to acknowledge and I think I have done this here before why I initially opposed this

project and that was because of the language in the October 2014 consent item brought by WHCHC and that was that the existing relative, excuse me, the existing tenants of the Detroit bungalows all who were eligible would be coming back to the new, the new building. It was the word eligible that had me opposed to anything that was happening. Once that language was changed and that all residents were guaranteed to come back to the new facility, I looked at it with a different eye. I, I hope that that's the case. I hope funding will not change and that all of a sudden they won't. I know that aspect of this is not your purview but I am in hopes that this Commission can at least keep that in mind this evening. The other thing is with regard to their compensation, also again, not part of your purview but hoping that the compensation will last as long as it takes for the new building to be constructed. Having said that, I noted at the Design Review what Michael Blacilaivich had to say with regard to the laundry room and the, and the fitness room on the 4th floor, I think his point is very well taken. I think those two facilities would be best served on a lower floor.

3

6

5

7

8 |

9 || Gillig:

Altschul:

10 | Altschul:

11 | Mars:

14151617

12

13

18 19

21

20

22

24

Everything else aside, I really do appreciate the attention the architect took to the neighborhood, to fitting in, to complementing the neighborhood even though it has a mix of styles this, this building really compliments the Spanish style that is so prevalent in that area. Thank you and good night.

Thank you and that concludes....

Chair, Chair we have one more. One more speaker.

And who is that?

Hello. My name is Michele Mars and I live on Spaulding, Spaulding Avenue and I'm here to tell you that as a tax paying homeowner of 30 years, I oppose the proposed duplex at 7701 Lexington because the style of the building is not harmonious with the rest of the craftman homes in our neighborhood. Also, we saw the design, it's 2,600 square feet and there's going to be many people living there. Even though it's a duplex and it, some people would think only four people would live there, there are two 800 square foot basements who, that could possibly house two more families beneath, beneath the structure. I made a quick diagram here about the park-, about the parking

disaster in our neighborhood. We at the end of Spaulding here we have the fire department. don't have enough parking in their lot for their Consequently, they're encroaching on our parking up here on Spaulding. The proposed duplex would be here at the corner of Spaulding and Lexington and there could be as many as 14 to 16 cars from people living in that duplex because if there's six bedrooms and a couple, couples living in each bedroom because as you know most people don't raise their families in West Hollywood. These bedrooms are not going to be occupied by children. These bedrooms are going to be occupied by adults. And those adults have partners, with six bedrooms, you could have as many as 12 cars for the people who are living in the duplex and if two people live in each basement that's four more cars. So 12 and 4, we're looking at a huge parking disaster in our neighborhood because the fire department encroaches on our parking up here. Up here on Hampton...

21 | Altschul:

Excuse me.

22 | Mars:

19

20

...we have...yes?

23 | Altschul:

When is this item on the agenda?

24 | Mars:

Excuse me?

1	Altschul:	When is this item on our agenda?
2	Mars:	Well, Cathy just spoke on it, so I thought it was
3		now.
4	Altschul:	No, it isn't.
5	Mars:	It isn't?
6	Altschul:	No.
7	Mars:	Oh, okay. Well then I
8	Altschul:	There is no Lexington item on tonight's agenda. So
9		if you wish to, to consult with staff to find out
10		when it is going to be heard and come back on that
11		date, or I don't know anything about this, this
12	Mars:	You don't know anything about the 7701 Lexington?
13	Altschul:	No.
14	Mars:	Well, I'm giving you a heads up and thank you very
15		much.
16	Altschul:	Thank you. We will see you when it is on the
17		agenda. And thank you for coming. Are there any
18		other speakers?
19	Gillig:	That is all.
20	Altschul:	That will conclude the public comment for the first
21		go-around for tonight. Items from Commissioners?
22		Stacy?
23	ITEM 8.	ITEMS FROM COMMISSIONERS
24	Jones:	Nothing at this time.

1 Altschul: Donald? Nothing at this time. DeLuccio: Altschul: Sheila. 3 Lightfoot: No, sir. 4 5 Altschul: Sue? Buckner: Only that I'd like to request that we adjourn the 6 7 meeting with respect for the people that were 8 injured and killed in the...our close by San 9 Bernardino. That's just my thought. Altschul: 10 Thank you. David? None, thank you. 11 Aghaei: Altschul: Record that. And I have nothing at this time. 12 13 Director's report. John Keho. Oh, Stephanie I'm 14 sorry, I didn't see you. ITEM 7. DIRECTOR'S REPORT 15 16 DeWolfe: Good evening Commissioners, members of the public. 17 I have just a few quick items for you tonight. 18 From the last City Council meeting there's two items that might be of interest to you. Council 19 20 approved the contract with a seismic consultant. 21 This means we are kicking off this week a seismic 22 study of all of the buildings in West Hollywood. 23 That program begins with an actual field study of 24 all of the buildings in the city and once we have

24

that database assembled then we'll begin to look at policy recommendations about how we can better manage the seismic risk to our community. other item from the last Council meeting that you might be interested in is that Council gave us direction to begin the process of looking at a conservation overlay zone for the Norma Triangle neighborhood. So as you recall we did a conservation overlay zone for the West Hollywood West neighborhood recently and the Norma Triangle Association requested that we take a similar look at their neighborhood. Council agreed and gave us that direction at their last Council meeting. Coming up on Monday's meeting there's two items that have come before Planning Commission. first is 1216 Flores, this is a 14 unit condo building that is coming before City Council on appeal on Monday and also the Center for Early Education, this special plan for the expansion of that campus which also came before Planning Commission that will also be heard by Council on Monday. That's all I have for tonight. I know you have a long agenda so unless there's additional questions for me.

DeLuccio:

I have a quick question. I really, I have a question. So we've done specific guidelines for the West Hollywood West neighborhood, now you're looking at the Norma Triangle. And I suspect there may be another neighborhood or two that may come forward at some point in the future. Have you thought about perhaps doing something that's a template type of, you know, analysis. Instead of each time going out and getting consultants is there some uniform....

DeWolfe:

We're not starting from scratch with Norma

Triangle. We are starting with the West Hollywood

West guidelines as the template but we think it's

appropriate as do the neighborhoods that each

neighborhood be looked at to see if there are

individual issues where it needs to be tweaked to

be more specific and more focused for that

particular neighborhood. So we are starting with

the West Hollywood West as the guidelines and we'll

be tweaking that to make it fit for that particular

neighborhood.

DeLuccio:

Terrific, thank you.

23 | ITEM 9. CONSENT CALENDAR

Altschul:

Thank you very much, Stephanie. Consent calendar.

2

3

4

5

6

7 | Altschul:

DeLuccio:

8 Aghaei:

9 | Altschul:

11 12

10

14

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

We will now take up the consent calendar which includes now 10A, the Zone Text Amendment and the transportation working group item and the aging in place. Is there a motion to approve the consent calendar in total?

I made a motion.

Donald made the motion, is there a second?

I second it.

David seconded it. Cast the votes. All are

accounted for. Six ayes, no nays and Roy is absent

so it, the consent calendar is approved in total.

Public hearings. 10A has been approved in the

consent calendar and we're now at 10B, 1271 North

Fairfax, the item with respect to the wireless

Verizon application for the cell tower on Fairfax.

A couple of things before we start. This afternoon

at my home at just after 4 o'clock, I received this

pile of correspondence and here at the desk at

about ten after six, I received this pile of

correspondence. It's certainly impossible to read

this much paper in that amount of time, in that

short period of time. So, those things that are

submitted just before a hearing are not really

going to get read nor probably going to get much

20

21

22

23

24

consideration. I would encourage anybody that wants to submit stuff in writing to get it in considerably before that, before the hearing so that it can be given the proper consideration that it deserves. With respect to the hearing, people who wish to testify, people who wish to speak will be given two minutes. The applicant will be given 10 minutes. The public will be given two minutes each, the applicant will be given five minutes for rebuttal. I would encourage that people who are here to say something consider not being repetitive. As I scan through some of this material, it all seems somewhat repetitive. So if you have something to say that is repetitive, what is in this material or repetitive of what other people have said, please consider either saying I agree what has been said before or I agree with what has been written in communication that has been submitted to the staff. Let's try and make this as, as concise and as speedy as possible and now let's proceed with the staff's presentation. Dereck?

ITEM 10.B. 1271 N. FAIRFAX AVENUE

Purificacion: Thank you Chair. Good evening Chair and

23

24

Commissioners. I'm Dereck Purificacion, Assistant Planner for the City of West Hollywood. applicants Verizon Wireless and St. Ambrose Church are requesting to install an unmanned wireless telecommunications facility within the existing bell tower of the St. Ambrose Church along with related equipment within a 168 square foot enclosure at ground level. The wireless facility is allowed with the approval of a minor conditional use permit. Wireless facilities are allowed in the R-4 zone if located on the rooftop of a building that is at least 80 feet in height. The applicant is applying for a variance in order to locate the equipment below the 80 foot minimum requirement. Now before I go a little further into the presentation I feel that it's important to understand our limitations and how federal law preempts the city from basing its decision on the effects of radio frequencies. Telecommunications Act states that "No state or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio

1

frequency emissions to the extent that facilities comply with the commissions regulations concerning emissions." Now, although a city cannot make or base its decision on RF emissions, the city can make a decision on the criteria such as height, colocation, area of location on the building itself, zoning and aesthetics. Cities may exercise zoning authority over wireless facilities so long the regulations do not actually or effectively prohibit the carrier from providing wireless service. Just a quick overview of the general area. The property is zoned R-4B as developed with a church and accessory structure and at grade parking. building itself is approximately 45 feet in height, with the only architectural projection ranging from 78 feet 6 inches to the top of the bell tower and then 93 feet 6 inches to the top of the cross. the south are the Larchmont Charter School and more at grade parking. To the east is the Crescent Heights Methodist Church and R-4B multi-family to the north and to the west. I'm sure you're aware that we've gotten guite a bit of correspondence as well as a petition in opposition of the project. There has been confusion as to whether or not the

24

property owner, the Archdiocese of Los Angeles, has given permission to Verizon Wireless to locate their antennas on the property. Permission was granted and the applicant has stated that there are a few meetings organized by the school and church to answer any questions that anyone might have had regarding the proposal, although this was not required by code. Here is a photo simulation of what we would expect to see. The applicant is proposing to install nine eight-foot tall antennas with associated equipment within the existing bell tower. The antennas will be installed on three arrays with three antennas per array each pointing west, north and east. There will be no antennas facing south. The applicant is proposing to modify the upper portion of the existing stucco finish and replace it with fiberglass reinforced plastic or The FRP will be finished and painted to match the existing bell tower. Visually the changes will be minimal if any. Along with the antennas the applicant is also proposing to install two equipment cabinets and a backup generator, both or all three at ground level. It would be located behind the property to the west. And that would be

24

screened from public right of way and from public It would be installed within 168 square foot block wall enclosure and the height of the bell tower will not change and the existing cross will be replaced with no changes proposed. This is just another view. This is from Fairfax facing south towards Fountain. Excuse me. The applicant has shown that there is significant need for coverage in the immediate area of Fairfax and Fountain and that there is no other opportunity to collate the proposed antennas and provide the coverage that's needed. The applicant has shown that there are no other buildings that are above 80 feet in height that are feasible to address the coverage and that...and are also above the 80 feet in height as required by code. Under these circumstances federal law would preempt the city from strictly applying the 80 foot requirement and the city should allow the applicant to erect the facility. However, the only mechanism available to allow its, the only mechanism available to allow it is a variance. The intent of the code is being met as placing the antennas within the bell tower will conceal the antennas from being seen from the

public right of way. In conclusion, the applicant 1 2 has demonstrated that a coverage deficiency exists, 3 no property in the area meets the codes 80 foot height requirement and the proposed site is the 4 5 best feasible location in terms of screening the facility within the existing tower for necessary 6 7 coverage. This being said, staff is recommending approval of the request of minor conditional use 8 9 permit and a variance. And if you have any 10 questions, I'm available. Thank you. Altschul: 11 Are there any questions of staff at this time? 12 Sheila? 13 Lightfoot: Yes, I have a couple of things that I'd like to know about. Do we know what the actual area is 14 within which they need to place a cell tower in 15 order to fill the coverage gap and when I say that 16 17 I mean a street on the north, south, east and west? 18 Did they provide us with that information? 19 Purificacion: They didn't provide it to us but the applicant may 20 be covering that in their presentation. Lightfoot: 21 Okay. And also do you know what the range of each 22 one of the cell towers is? They provided us a map 23 with the other cell towers. Do you know what the 24 range of a cell tower is?

1	Purificacion:	That's actually another question the applicant was
2		going to be covering today.
3	Lightfoot:	Okay. Do we have any buildings in this area that
4		are 80 feet tall? Or in any R-4 zone that are 80
5		feet tall?
б	Purificacion:	You know, I'm not too sure in all R-4 zones, but in
7		the area there's not.
8	Lightfoot:	Did thewere there any other sites that were less
9		than 80 feet tall that were investigated fully?
10	Purificacion:	There is a location over on Hayworth, I think
11		another one down on Fairfax but again I think
12		that's another question that the applicant can get
13		into more detail about.
14	Lightfoot:	All right, I think those arethat takes care of
15		what I have to ask you.
16	Purificacion:	Thank you.
17	Lightfoot:	Thank you.
18	Altschul:	Donald?
19	DeLuccio:	You know we basically discuss aesthetics, that's
20		our role. We had a broader role I guess years ago
21		and they always had to come before the Planning
22		Commission and typically the approval is over the
23		counter, is that correct, with a, a minor
24		conditional use permit?

Purificacion: 1 Minor conditional use permit would actually be seen 2 by the director. DeLuccio: By the director approval and being that there is a 3 variance involved that's why it's been bumped up to 4 5 this, this body? Purificacion: Correct. 6 7 DeLuccio: Okay, so my question is how...you mentioned that obviously the bell tower structure is going to 8 9 change 'cause it's within inside the structure 10 you're going to be putting the antennas. Purificacion: 11 Right. DeLuccio: And you used a word change is minimal, minimal 12 13 changes. We're here to discuss aesthetics, how 14 will it look after they're installed? Do we have 15 any assurance that aesthetically that the tower 16 will look the same? Purificacion: 17 It will look the same. The only difference you'll 18 see is on the very...actually I can show you here. 19 You can see where the opening on the upper portion 20 of the bell tower, that's the only portion that's 21 going to be modified and they would be removing the 22 stucco and they'd be replacing it with the FRP. 23 The FRP and this is something that they can, maybe 24 the applicant can get more into, it's a material

where the frequencies can actually go through 1 2 without being blocked. DeLuccio: Currently there's speakers in the, speakers that 3 ring the bell in the tower? Are those speakers 4 5 going to be removed? Purificacion: They'll remain. They'll be removed during the 6 7 process, but they'll be replaced. 8 DeLuccio: And then one final question. I know that there's a 9 lot of correspondence this evening and a lot of it 10 addresses safety issues, safety issues not having to do with the transmissions, safety having to do 11 12 with the structural safety of the tower... 13 Purificacion: Right. DeLuccio: 14 ... after it's renovated and assurance that it's, 15 you know, it won't become a safety hazard and collapse at some point seismic, have you addressed 16 17 that with the applicant? Has the applicant given 18 you assurance? Have you done any studies that it 19 would not become a hazard in the future? Purificacion: 20 Well, at this point this isn't something that we 21 normally review. It's normally reviewed during plan check so everything, just like any other 22 building here in the city, it gets reviewed during 23 24 plan check and it meets building code so it would

meet the minimum requirements by building code. 1 DeLuccio: 2 Okay, thank you. Purificacion: 3 Sure. Altschul: Any other questions? 4 I have a quick, question. This may be a little 5 Jones: repetitive but I just want to make sure that I'm 6 7 very clear on the grounds on which we can legally make a decision tonight about this agenda item. 8 9 this is strictly a matter of, and this is directed 10 to both staff and to the city attorney, this is a 11 matter of land use and aesthetics, correct? 12 cannot take into consideration the RF radiation, is 13 that correct? Palmer: That's correct. Under the Federal 14 Telecommunications Act, your decision can be based 15 on aesthetics. It can also be based on seismic 16 17 safety or the structure of the building. 18 staff mentioned, that review would be done at a 19 later step in the process. 20 Jones: Great, thank you. I have a question. 21 Aghaei: Altschul: David? 22 23 Aghaei: Following up with Commissioner Jones' question for 24 the city attorney so they need a variance to do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this under 80 feet, correct?

Palmer: That's correct.

Aghaei: And absent a finding with respect to aesthetics or

seismic issues, which aren't under our purview,

that would be under Building and Safety's purview,

but so going back to this absent an issue with

aesthetics, we legally can't deny, we can't do

anything about that? Is that correct? Could you

walk me through that please? I just want to make

sure we have it for the record.

Palmer:

Sure. So the way the Federal Telecommunications

Act works it is intended to ensure that the public

has sufficient access to telecommunication services

and so that's pretty much the starting point for

the federal government is do people have access to

wireless service. So what the city does in its

review pursuant to the federal law is it has to

number one that there is a need for coverage, and

the map that was shown previously shows an area of

red which is the void in coverage and the applicant

has shown to staff that there is a need for

additional coverage. And under the federal law if

that need is shown, the city is preempted from

1		essentially prohibiting the wireless carrier from
2		providing that additional coverage. And so
3		balancing that access to coverage with our land use
4		authority, that's why your decision can be based on
5		so few factors.
6	Aghaei:	So following up, if, if we're prohibited from
7		denying it and if we, if we don't issue a variance,
8		we're denying it effectively, right? So we're
9		prohibited, it's just following the logic here. I
10		mean is
11	Palmer:	Right. So if a variance is not granted for this
12		property, the applicant would likely need to
13		consider other properties in the area and it's my
14		understanding from reviewing this project and
15		working with staff that there are no other feasible
16		locations within the area that would satisfy the 80
17		foot requirement. So a variance would be required
18		in any event.
19	Aghaei:	Understood. Thank you.
20	Lightfoot:	Oh, Igo ahead, Sue.
21	Buckner:	One more question of our city attorney. It says
22		the initial basis is does the public have access to
23		wireless coverage and my understanding and I'll
24		wait to hear more from the applicant is that there

is coverage, it's just not exceptional coverage.

Or there's some areas, some lapse in coverage, so I would like...I hope that the applicant is going to address that issue because it's something that I want to hear about, whether...because I haven't heard that there was no coverage. They already have a tower very close in there and there is Verizon coverage there, it's just that during certain peak hours so I'd like to see some data that would support their claim that they need this based on coverage. Okay.

Lightfoot:

Along the same lines that David brought up, would it not be prudent or shouldn't for, for us to be able to make a decision isn't it rather incumbent upon the applicant to thoroughly investigate and provide us with the information that says these are the perimeters, these are the perimeters within which we must provide cell tower. Here are the places that we've investigated where we can feasibly put that whether they all require a variance or not, to then give this body something to look at to say yes they definitely need the coverage within this area. These are the potential sites, this is the best one, rather than just

1		saying they picked one so we have to approve it?
2	Palmer:	Yes, typically a wireless provider will provide a
3		coverage map showing the gap in coverage and some
4		sort of a feasibility study and again it's my
5		understanding that more than one location was
б		considered for the towers and that this location
7		was selected as the best feasible location. So the
8		applicant can certainly explain in greater detail
9		the work that they did and their feasibility study.
10	Lightfoot:	Okay, so, so providing us with all the information
11		on that study is not required for us to make a
12		decision?
13	Palmer:	I, I'll let staff
14	DeGrazia:	Yeah, I mean I would say that that's sort of up to
14 15	DeGrazia:	Yeah, I mean I would say that that's sort of up to the Commission. I think the Commission needs to
	DeGrazia:	
15	DeGrazia:	the Commission. I think the Commission needs to
15 16	DeGrazia:	the Commission. I think the Commission needs to hear all of the testimony including that from the
15 16 17	DeGrazia:	the Commission. I think the Commission needs to hear all of the testimony including that from the applicant and it would be up to the Commission to
15 16 17 18	DeGrazia:	the Commission. I think the Commission needs to hear all of the testimony including that from the applicant and it would be up to the Commission to make that decision if they feel comfortable that
15 16 17 18		the Commission. I think the Commission needs to hear all of the testimony including that from the applicant and it would be up to the Commission to make that decision if they feel comfortable that there's been enough information transmitted.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Lightfoot:	the Commission. I think the Commission needs to hear all of the testimony including that from the applicant and it would be up to the Commission to make that decision if they feel comfortable that there's been enough information transmitted. Thank you very much.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Lightfoot:	the Commission. I think the Commission needs to hear all of the testimony including that from the applicant and it would be up to the Commission to make that decision if they feel comfortable that there's been enough information transmitted. Thank you very much. Has staff received that information and do you feel

1		indicate that. However, as with all applications
2		we are dependent partially on information provided
3		by applicants and signed saying that yes this is
4		indeed accurate.
5	DeLuccio:	Thank you.
6	Altschul:	Any other questions? If not, we will open the
7		public hearing and start with the applicant
8		representative from Verizon. Did you turn in a
9		speaker slip, sir? Is your name on it?
10	Robinson:	Yes, sir.
11	Altschul:	I don't have your name, I just have applicant. So
12		give us your name please.
	I .	
13	PUBLIC COMMENT	:
13 14	PUBLIC COMMENT Robinson:	: My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon
14		My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon
14 15		My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon Wireless. I'm here with a number of Verizon
14 15 16		My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon Wireless. I'm here with a number of Verizon representatives that can help answer some of the
14 15 16 17		My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon Wireless. I'm here with a number of Verizon representatives that can help answer some of the questions you guys brought up this evening. I'm
14 15 16 17	Robinson:	My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon Wireless. I'm here with a number of Verizon representatives that can help answer some of the questions you guys brought up this evening. I'm joined here with our RF engineer
14 15 16 17 18	Robinson: Altschul:	My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon Wireless. I'm here with a number of Verizon representatives that can help answer some of the questions you guys brought up this evening. I'm joined here with our RF engineer By the way, you will have up to 10 minutes.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Robinson: Altschul:	My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon Wireless. I'm here with a number of Verizon representatives that can help answer some of the questions you guys brought up this evening. I'm joined here with our RF engineer By the way, you will have up to 10 minutes. Understood. Here with our RF engineer, Verizon
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Robinson: Altschul:	My name is Justin Robinson representing Verizon Wireless. I'm here with a number of Verizon representatives that can help answer some of the questions you guys brought up this evening. I'm joined here with our RF engineer By the way, you will have up to 10 minutes. Understood. Here with our RF engineer, Verizon real estate representative as well as our

23

24

up with regards to FRP and the structural and seismetology that there are some concerns. Just to begin, I'd like to commend staff, we've been working together for, for quite a while on this site and the staff report draft resolution, all the documents have been prepared great. So as you can see the staff report outlined some of the photo simulations, the drawings, the RF coverage maps of our existing network. Hans will show you in a second a little bit more detail of what we're looking at from an RF perspective. We did evaluate some other properties in regards to this site. And that was, that information was sent over to staff. We looked at the Crescent Heights Methodist Church, which is located across the street. currently a vacant church and is not, not laid out in a manner that would be advantageous to, to completely construct a cell site on. It's, I think it's considered historical building as well. looked at the Fairfax Marquis Condominiums at 1300 North Fairfax. Again that building is considerably too low for us to provide coverage. It's also located within the City of L.A.'s jurisdiction and is adjacent bordering R-1 zones which are single

24

1

family residential so unfortunately those, that site won't work. We indentified Hayward Towers Condominiums which is a block over. And you can see that's the other tall building just to the north and, and west of the bell tower. at that from an engineering standpoint and determined that it was just too high, which is also a concern that we're battling here. Due to topography here in West Hollywood, we have kind of a difficult task in controlling our signal and, and Hans can speak a little bit more into that. were a few common threads in a lot of the opposition letters that I read and I'm sure you've all received. This, the church was constructed in It's not a historic building. It doesn't show on the state, the national or even the City of West Hollywood's historic registry. We're going to modify the existing bell tower to basically conceal all of our antennas. They'll...the only visual difference that'll be seen to the public is we'll actually close in those alcoves so that you can't see the antennas and other equipment. At this point I'll turn it over to Hans to kind of show you some information from an RF standpoint.

Calinaya:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Hello, everyone. My name is Hans Calinaya and I'm the RF engineer for this site. So I just wanted to first talk about the coverage and address that. This map shows the existing RF signal tower as early as this morning and you know as, as Justin spoke earlier about looking for other candidates, we identified this particular area and specifically this busy intersection of Fairfax and Fountain Avenue as a poor LTE coverage area and also a potential capacity relief for other existing areas, or other existing sites in the area and you know as, as the demand for LTE grows, the need for capacity and capacity relief increases on our side. So right now you might have coverage in terms of you know voice calling and CDMA, but as we move our technology over to LTE we have to make sure that there's no significant coverage gaps because it is really sensitive and also we just need to move traffic away from existing sites so that you know we can improve customer, customer experience in terms of data speeds and, and voice calling on LTE. And this is a proposed propagation map for our site. If you can just look comparison, it kind of, it covers wherever the poor signal is in our

existing network now. 1 2 Altschul: I think there are a couple of questions gentlemen. 3 We'll take them now and we'll stop the clock. David? 4 5 Aghaei: I just have, well two questions. First for you, you said one was too low, one was too high. What's 6 7 the sweet spot? Like what kind of height do you guys look for for these towers? 8 9 Calinaya: So it really depends on the terrain. I'm sorry, it 10 really depends on the terrain, you know, the corner heights, the surrounding buildings. If there's low 11 12 clutter, if there's one to two story buildings 13 around then we don't need that much height. But if 14 there's a case where there's a lot of tall 15 buildings or the terrain, there's a lot of elevation then we need the taller site. So it 16 17 really depends, it's a site per site basis. 18 Aqhaei: And my second question if you can go back to the 19 previous map, so right now where it says St. 20 Ambrose there's a green and yellow strip there. 21 Calinaya: Yes. Aghaei: So along Fairfax it seems like you guys are okay, 22 23 correct? But outside of that, is that where the 24 concern is? The gray around it?

1	Calinaya:	Right. So this map is what's existing and because
2		there's nothing in this area that can dominate this
3		area in terms of coverage, we need to maximize the
4		footprint of our other sites so once this proposed
5		site comes on air, then we can pull the other sites
б		back.
7	Aghaei:	Understood. Thank you.
8	Altschul:	Sir, you said that some of the other sites that you
9		looked at were in Los Angeles. What is bad about
10		Los Angeles?
11	Robinson:	Nothing is bad about Los Angeles. It's, the
12		problem was the north, I guess it would be the
13		northeast corner of Fountain and Fairfax is
14		primarily single family residential. And placing a
15		site in a single family residential zone is not
16		allowed in Los Angeles.
17	Altschul:	I understand. But if you found another tall
18		buildquiet please. But if you found another
19		tall building in Los Angeles, would that be
20		appropriate?
21	Robinson:	We've got, I mean we've got thousands of sites
22		throughout Los Angeles. Single family residential,
23		it just, it's against the zoning code in L.A.
24	Altschul:	I understand that, but there's the Directors Guild

1		not too far away from there. That's up on Sunset,
2		what about that?
3	Robinson:	From my understanding up on Sunset we've, we've got
4		existing sites up there.
5	Altschul:	You seem to be, you seem to be reluctant to explore
6		any sites in the general area that are in Los
7		Angeles. Is there a reason?
8	Robinson:	No, there's no reason.
9	Altschul:	Thank you.
10	Buckner:	Mr. Chair? Have you explored sites in Los Angeles
11		where you could get the kind of coverage that
12		you're wanting to get on this particular
13	Calinaya:	So unfortunately based off our propagations that we
14		ran, this is a really tight search ring and
15	Altschul:	Can't hear.
16	Calinaya:	Oh, sorry. Based off our propagations that we ran
17		unfortunately this search area was pretty tight and
18		we couldn't really move it too far because we do
19		have the existing sites that you see in this slide
20		and we also do have other proposed sites to the
21		north and to the east so that's why we're kind of
22		limited in moving our sites in a different area.
23	Altschul:	You have other proposed sites to the north and the
24		east?
	i .	

1 Calinaya: Proposed sites. So you may not need this site. 2 Altschul: Well, there, they're small cells, and we, based off 3 Calinaya: our propagations that we did run, we do need it in 4 5 terms of capacity. Aghaei: When you say propagations you ran so, so just walk 6 7 me through this because it'd be helpful, I mean, just for your reference, it would be helpful if I 8 9 had a map showing your other existing 10 sites/proposed sites, however, when you're saying 11 you ran a propagation are you telling me you ran 12 like a model or something saying you know this is 13 where we need it and the computer just like a black box blurted out and said this is the window where 14 15 we need it? Is that (talking over)? Calinaya: Right, well.... 16 17 Aghaei: Dummy it down for me. Well, we...each of these sites they have certain 18 Calinaya: 19 fields like height, antenna size, gains, direction 20 of the antennas where they're shooting so this tool 21 that we use it propagates that, or it runs a 22 prediction on how the site actually looks in the 23 field, so based off that then we see a coverage 24 hole here and also you know we have other planning

	1	
1		tools that show us some of these sites are
2		forecasted to exhaust in terms of capacity, so
3		which is why we need another site there. Not
4		strictly just for coverage but also capacity.
5	Lightfoot:	Can you confirm for me that you actually said what
6		you said? That 1314 Hayworth is too tall? Is that
7		what you said? The site that you explored, 1314 is
8		too tall?
9	Robinson:	That's correct.
10	Lightfoot:	Okay. And is it higher than 80 feet or lower than
11		80 feet?
12	Robinson:	It is roughly, roughly 80 feet.
13	Lightfoot:	Could you explain why it's too tall?
14	Robinson:	Yeah, Hans can explain the engineering that goes
15		behind having (talking over).
16	Lightfoot:	Because you do realize that 80 feet is what's in
17		our, our code. So you're saying 80 feet is not
18		appropriate for you.
19	Calinaya:	Right. So the way that LTE works, we try to limit
20		as much interference to other sites as possible.
21		If you have more interference the worse quality of
22		your signal is. So if we have a height, and 20
23		feet does make a big difference when we look at our
24		propagation and when we look at other existing

1		sites too, it does make a significant difference in
2		terms of how far they're actually shooting. So we
3		want to try as much as we can to contain these
4		signals.
5	Altschul:	Define other sites. You mean your other sites?
6	Calinaya:	Oh, yes. Well, I can only say
7	Altschul:	You don't want to limit inference with your other
8		sites?
9	Calinaya:	Well our whole network.
10	Altschul:	What?
11	Calinaya:	Our whole network. Verizon's network.
12	Aghaei:	So, just so I understand so if you go at 13, was it
13		1314 and you're at 80 feet, you're saying that'll
14		interfere with your other cell towers and that
15		would impede the quality of your network?
16	Calinaya:	Yes, there's a stronger probability that it would
17		interfere with our other signals.
18	Altschul:	Would it impede the quality of AT&T's network?
19	Calinaya:	Oh, no, we run on different frequencies.
20	Altschul:	What?
21	Calinaya:	We run on different frequencies so it doesn't, it
22		does not.
23	Altschul:	Oh, you run on different frequencies.
24	Calinaya:	Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Calinaya:

Calinaya:

Altschul: So you would never impede the quality of anybody else's network?

Calinaya: No.

Lightfoot: What is the range...okay and from what you said there are, there are lots of different things that you look at, but basically for a layman, what is the area? You heard the questions that I asked staff, what is the area, north, south, east, west within which you feel that tower needs to go and what is the range of the tower? This seems like, I

Well....

Lightfoot: Will you show us the cell towers that you have? So can you, you know, you're not giving us any parameters to say this is why we can only settle on

this site. This is why we have to have this site.

mean nine antennas, is that a lot more range than,

than the other sites that are on Exhibit B here?

And you're not giving us those parameters. So I'm

trying to see if you have those.

Okay. So, like I said before, if you look at the existing network now, you can see that where the proposed location is it is in a, an area of poor coverage and so when we move down or east, or west, east or north it gets closer to where the signal is

1		actually fair. So that's why our, our, you know
2		area is pretty tight because we don't want to
3		encroach onto the coverage for other sites. And
4		then it'll cause more
5	Lightfoot:	Okay, so what is the range then?
6	Calinaya:	Of each site?
7	Lightfoot:	Yeah.
8	Calinaya:	Well, it can go as far as a half mile to a mile
9		depending on the height and line of sight.
10	Lightfoot:	Okay, well yeah. I looked at the sites that you
11		have on Exhibit B and you know I mean itI think
12		maybe 4,000 feet was like the, you know, the
13		longest distance between the ones that you showed,
14		that you showed in Exhibit B. So geez, okay.
15		That's
16	Altschul:	Question over here.
17	DeLuccio:	I guess, I guess my question is the same as
18		(INAUDIBLE) question. To fill the gap are
19		thereyou're, you chose this location but did
20		you, how did you, I'm still not clear that you
21		explore other locations like in surrounding areas
22		in Los Angeles to fill the gap within this
23		particular area. Could you have done it that way?
24	Robinson:	There are no suitable zoning areas that have zone,

1		that are zoned for cell sites. We looked for R-
2		4's, higher density zones, we looked for
3		commercial. There are no compatible zones within
4		the City of L.A. and our design requirements that
5		would fit to meet
6	DeLuccio:	So you have a lot of areas that have gaps in other
7		words, okay? How's this area compared to other
8		areas where you would have to explore for the
9		future? Is this to build for future capacity
10		you're thinking more of or, or is it more for the
11		future capacity of, or is it for the current
12		situation?
13	Calinaya:	It's both for coverage current and then mostly for
14		the future.
15	DeLuccio:	Mostly for the future.
16	Calinaya:	This is forecasted.
17	DeLuccio:	Mostly for the future means there's an opportunity
18		for you to explore other areas. This time, is it
19		of the essence that you need this?
20	Calinaya:	Well, usually these sites can take years to build
21		and we have a forecasted date of when other
22		surrounding sites will exhaust. So we try to kind
23		of start the project and then hopefully it kind of
24		coincides with when the site, other sites exhaust.
	1	

DeLuccio:

And my other question had to do with seismic, reading all the material after, after it's completed, how's that going to affect the structure? Is there any assurance that it won't affect it? I understand I'm hearing that will be checked at plans, when it gets to plan check, but I don't exactly buy that. I think it's part of our responsibility here before we send it, or just, or approve it to make sure that it is seismic safe.

Sure. It will be built to the building codes that are in place. I do have a representative here that

Robinson:

Sure. It will be built to the building codes that are in place. I do have a representative here that can kind of go into more detail in how that is built if you'd like it.

Perhaps we can do that after we hear from the

DeLuccio:

public. I'd rather hear from the public right now. I think that there seems to be, huh? No, I know that. I think that there seems to be a perception here that since the school is involved that there is more of a negative push back than when a school would not be involved. Don't you think it might be to your advantage and to your best interest to try a little harder to find another place where you wouldn't get this kind of push back? From a

customer relations point of view and from a

Altschul:

24

marketing point of view, and my suggestion along those lines would be to kind of take a step back and take this as kind of an educational and a marketing tool to say to yourselves, perhaps these people and all of their friends are going to look at another service provider who isn't going to impact schools.

Robinson:

This is Jane Collier-Noreen from Verizon Wireless, she can address some of that.

Collier-Noreen: Good evening, my name is Jane Collier-Noreen, I appreciate staff and Commissioners for listening to us this evening. I'd just like to point out that what we're trying to do here is to provide coverage for our customers in this area, in this specific spot where there isn't coverage. We're talking about providing also future LTE 4G coverage which we don't really have right now.

Altschul:

Madam, excuse me.

Collier-Noreen: So....

Altschul:

Excuse me, madam. A friend of mine called me up today and said she looked, and she's very savvy with these things, she looked through the entire staff report and noticed that her residence had no coverage on your report. She gets great coverage.

You know it seems to me, it seems to me there's 1 2 kind of some double talk here. Collier-Noreen: Okay, let's, okay let's make it simple. 3 Altschul: Please. 4 Collier-Noreen: Couple of, couple of things in regard to a school, 5 Larchmont Charter School, we co-locate and we build 6 7 at high schools all over Southern California. It's not unusual for us to be by schools. 8 9 private schools all the time. Common core for 10 example that is in L.A. Unified, they have to have 11 Wi-Fi, correct? So they need coverage to provide 12 Wi-Fi for common core. So we're also adding an 13 additional amount of service for common core for the schools to be there for them. 14 I understand. I understand that. 15 Altschul: Collier-Noreen: And, and in respect to coverage.... 16 17 Altschul: I understand and I sympathize. I'm just pointing out that in this location and in this community 18 19 you're getting an inordinate amount of push back. Collier-Noreen: Understood. 20 I would suggest you step back, take a look. 21 Altschul: 22 are other sites around and I think there is a way to make this work in, in another situation. 23 24 Collier-Noreen: I, I guess I'm trying to point out in this specific 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

area where we've already shown where the existing Verizon sites are located that we have that we're providing a spot where St. Ambrose is that will take, take the heavy traffic of our existing site and offload that. So it's no different than say a lamp with a lamp shade, and you have people surrounding that lamp shade, correct? All of a sudden the people outside that lamp shade area can't see anything. They have shadows, nothing there.

Altschul: And I'm saying...

Collier-Noreen: So we're trying to get coverage in this area and we have shown alternative sites where we can't go to.

If we went across the street to the church we'd have to build an 80 foot tall tower, correct? Am I wrong? Eighty foot tall tower at the church across the street which is no different, really indifferent than where the Larchmont Charter School is, correct? The only other alternative is the

site to the north, north, well northeast.

Lightfoot: 1314 Hayworth.

| Collier-Noreen: Correct.

Altschul: 1314 Hayworth.

| | Collier-Noreen: And northwest is 1314 Hayworth which is already 80

1		feet tall or more, if everyone is saying
2		everything's correctly, we can't go 80 feet tall
3		because that then transmits over and across and
4		affects the adjacent existing sites we already
5		have. So it interferes and it causes interference
6		and then people don't get coverage.
7	Lightfoot:	I'm sorry, I'm sorry. Didn't you just say that
8		you, at the church across the street it, it
9		wouldn't work because you had to build a tower 80
10		feet tall if you used that site?
11	Collier-Noreen	:Well, that's your code is 80 feet, correct? Your
12		code says we would have to do 80 feet.
13	Lightfoot:	But you said you would have to build a tower that
14		was 80 feet tall and then you've got an 80 foot
15		building and you said that's too tall.
16	Collier-Noreen	:Yes, and wemy point is I can't do 80 feet tall
17		because 80 feet is too tall, it will interfere with
18		my existing sites already, thus people won't get
19		coverage when you interfere. Am I wrong?
20	DeLuccio:	That's why you're asking for a variance, correct?
21	Collier-Noreen	:We're asking for a variance to meet the code
22		requirement.
23	DeLuccio:	No, in order to, to
24	Collier-Noreen	:This is an existing bell tower, we were putting

antennas inside it, it will be totally stealth. Correct? It's the least intrusive measure available then what you're asking me to do is go on the alternative site which is 1314 Hayworth, if I went there I would have to go at the 63 foot height level of that condominium, correct? And I can't do that because I'd be right at the windows of somebody's home, technologically speaking. can't go on 1314 Hayworth. I can't go above at the top...on the rooftop there because my signal then is then transmitting across and destroying the network thus destroying my customer service. aren't going to get it. So I've got to find the balance and this tower, which is already existing, already there, provides that service to meet the requirements for both the city, the school, us and neighbors. It gets us there. It's the least intrusive project to do.

19 Altschul:

17

18

24

But it certainly is the most damaging to your PR.

20 Collier-Noreen: I, it....

21 Palmer: Mr. Chair?

Altschul: 22

Yes.

23

Collier-Noreen: I do care about the community. I care very much

about the community.

1 Altschul: Oh, I.... Collier-Noreen: And we are a part of it. I, I'm just trying to 2 explain and.... 3 Altschul: I understand. 4 I think the City Attorney has a comment. 5 Aghaei: Altschul: Oh, yes I do... 6 7 Palmer: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. Altschul: I heard a voice. 8 9 Palmer: I think it may be helpful, it sounds like some of 10 the questions that the Commission has and some of 11 the information that's being provided in response 12 to those questions may be in the balance of the 13 presentation. So it may be helpful to allow the 14 applicant to conclude their presentation. Altschul: Conclude your presentation. 15 Palmer: Thank you. 16 17 Calinaya: I did want to address the subject about co-locating 18 on other carrier sites. Based on our database, this is what we see as other carriers in the 19 20 existing area. So you can see that T-Mobile, AT&T, 21 Sprint, T-Mobile, they're all accounted for. 22 also have other tower companies like Crown Castle, 23 SBA also included in this map. And as you can see 24

we don't have anything, we can't see anything

1		there, that's there. And also the website open
2		signal is provided in the opposition letter and I
3		just wanted to point out that it's probably not the
4		most reliable tool to use in terms of where the
5		sites are located and if they are actually sites.
6		And just to double check that I checked the four
7		nearest sites around our proposed location and
8		there, two of them were off by a bit, and the other
9		two werenothing was really nearby that shows our
10		Verizon site so you can see that the, the red
11		squares shows where our existing sites are located.
12		But according to the open signal map it does not
13		show a Verizon facility. So we can't really trust
14		this 100 percent that this is in fact other viable
15		co-locatable sites.
16	Altschul:	Are you finished with your presentation or are
17		you
18	Robinson:	We'll certainly answer any questions, additional
19		questions you may have and
20	DeLuccio:	You didn't really answer my question about the
21		safety and seismic of the structure when it's
22		completed.
23	Robinson:	Would you like to do that now or after the public?
24	DeLuccio:	Whenever. We can do it after the public.

1 Altschul: You can choose to do it after the public and you 2 have how many minutes left on your.... Gillig: Three minutes 10 seconds. 3 And we can add three minutes to your rebuttal in Altschul: 4 5 order to cover some of the things that weren't 6 answered now. 7 Robinson: Fair enough. Thank you very much. 8 Altschul: Thank you. And we'll, we'll continue with the 9 public testimony and remember each one who chooses 10 to speak will have two minutes and please remember 11 don't be repetitive and you may certainly say I 12 agree from where are you sitting, you may rise and 13 say I agree with what everybody else is saying and 14 even read their minds what everybody else is 15 thinking. Alicia Lara to be followed by Suzanne Goin. 16 17 Lara: Thank you and good evening Commissioners. 18 is Alicia Lara and I'm privileged to serve as the chair of the Board of Directors for Larchmont 19 20 We are here to strongly oppose the School. 21 variance request and the cell tower. As you can 22 see there are members of our community who will 23 speak on this item and why it should not be granted 24 by the commission but before you hear these

24

arguments I want to just take a moment to introduce you to this amazing school and this amazing community. Larchmont was started 10 years ago by a group of parents who are passionate about public education. If you walk onto our campus you will see our values in action, diversity, community and achievement. What started out as a small kindergarten to second grade program with a few dozen children has grown into an extraordinary pathway from kindergarten to 12th grade with over 1,400 students on four campuses. Today Larchmont is one of the highest performing schools in the District. We're proud to say that St. Ambrose is one of those campuses serving over 200 children ages from four years old to eight years old. are our youngest children. In 2005 we signed a lease with St. Ambrose and at the time the property was largely neglected and considered a blight in the neighborhood. This community and these parents raised hundreds of thousands of dollars to refurbish the site and they also put in thousands of volunteer hours to turn this blighted corner into a thriving school. Today they continue to tirelessly raise money and volunteer for the school

1		for programs and to keep the property well
2		maintained. I'm proud to say that the Fountain and
3		Fairfax area is vibrant economically and otherwise
4		because of the school. Our Board of Directors has
5		unanimously passed a resolution which you should
6		have which unequivocally opposes the cell tower and
7		the variance request. We are part of this
8		community, we are West Hollywood, we're proud of
9		this and we want to find a different alternative.
10		Thank you.
11	Altschul:	And several of us included. Ms. Lara? Ms. Lara?
12		Ms. Lara? Several of us including Donald and I
13		were here in 2005 when you came before this
14		Commission and the city, and we were very happy to
15		support it.
16	Lara:	Thank you very much.
17	DeLuccio:	Don't we both look really good?
18	Lara:	Thank you. It's a fabulous school and if you
19		haven't had an opportunity to come onto our campus,
20		we invite you there.
21	DeLuccio:	Thank you.
22	Altschul:	Suzanne Goin.
23	Goin:	Good evening and thank you. My name is Suzanne
24		Goin, I'm the Chef and owner of Luke Restaurant,

1

just right down the road on Melrose. I'm a business owner as well as a property owner here in West Hollywood and I'm also a Larchmont Charter School parent and a part of that community that Alicia just told you about. I love West Hollywood. love doing business here, I love being a part of this community. I feel great connection and pride and loyalty. It's the site of my first restaurant that we opened in 1998, Luke's. It's the support of this creative and thoughtful city that allowed me to grow my company which now encompasses 10 food related businesses. As a West Hollywood business and property owner, I know how careful I have to be operating in this city and how much care I take to do the right thing here. That said, I do not think Verizon has done the necessary structural safety assessments any party doing business in West Hollywood must do. Nor with their alteration of the bell tower are they upholding the city's aesthetic, cultural and community standards. group of parents will go into this in more detail. But suffice it to say that as a West Hollywood business owner who employs 50 people and brings over \$4 million of revenue to the city of West

Hollywood, I strongly oppose Verizon's request. Thank you.

2 3

4

Altschul:

Daniel, Daniel Lazar to be followed by Matthew Tabak and can we hold our applause until it's all over, otherwise we'll be home on Saturday.

Thank you Commission for hearing me out today. I

6

7

8

9

10

5

Lazar:

appreciate it. I'm Dan Lazar. I'm a parent at Larchmont Charter, I'm one of the folks leading the charge here. We have 1,000 names, actually more than 1,000 names opposing this tower. Many of these people are here tonight. I want to give you a quick introduction to what we're going to cover. This should help you track some of the things that we found in doing our research. Number one, before I say anything I want to make it very clear, none of our opposition has anything to do with RF. of it whatsoever. So if anyone is inclined to read into it, that is not the case. So here's number Verizon doesn't need this tower. one. need an Audi, you don't need a Rolex, it's clearly they're bump-, their towers are bumping into each They said it themselves. There is no need. That's the first thing. The second thing there is

an aesthetic issue here. The windows in that bell

16 17 18

15

20 21

19

22

23

24

Tabak: 21

23

22

24

tower are the bell tower and if those are going to be obscured the bell tower is going to be obscured, your photo showed that as well and we'll cover that. Lastly, Verizon has not met the burden of proof that they co-, that they tried to co-locate. They have not met the burden of truth that there's bad cell coverage. Is it the best in the world? Perhaps not. Is it bad? I don't think it's bad because I'm a Verizon subscriber and I get great cell coverage because I'm on my phone everyday on the way to school. I just want to conclude my time is almost up, by saying that we are not a combative group. We met with the church, that was our idea. We called Verizon, that was our idea. This school is in the spirit of collaboration. We love our community, we love our kids and everything we say tonight is in that spirit of cooperation and moving forward on a positive note. Thank you very much. Thank you, Sir. Matthew Tabak followed by Kathleen Davidson.

Altschul:

Thank you. According to the code variances shall only be issued upon a showing of good and sufficient cause. Verizon claims their good and sufficient cause is a coverage deficiency in the

areas of Fountain and Fairfax and that there is a service gap at peak times. This is despite however boasting of having the most extensive coverage in the United States. Verizon has not presented any evidence or concrete data that asserts...that supports this assertion of a coverage deficiency, which by the way, according to them only encompasses one intersection. The only thing they have done is give us a few screen shots that have not been verified by anyone who's an independent technical person. It's their assertion here's a screen shot that says it was taken this morning. We don't have any proof of that. Maybe it was taken a year ago. I have no idea. They need to, they need to provide documentable, verified proof that there is no gap. However, a service gap does not give Verizon the right to install a new tower The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and this is the most important thing here, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in California has already ruled on this. The court said that the Telecommunications Act of 1996 does not assure every wireless carrier a right to seamless coverage in every area it serves and that the inability to

cover, to cover a few blocks in a large city is as 1 2 a matter of law, not a significant gap. Moreover, Verizon wants to improve their capacity. 3 capacity means faster speed. We'd like to remind 4 5 the Commission that there is nothing in the Telecommunication Act that guarantees wireless 6 7 companies the right to increase speed. Nor does it guarantee them the right to address their future 8 9 needs. They must only address their needs now. 10 They talk a lot about the future, the 11 Telecommunications Act does not give them that 12 right. Moreover where is their alternate sites 13 analysis that they presented. They keep talking 14 about Hayworth. The fact that they said they can't 15 go there when they just talk about it, these are just assertions that are not backed up by any 16 17 documentable independent evidence. Altschul: 18 Could you please sir give that citation to the City 19 Attorney? Tabak: Which citation? The citation about the 20 Telecommunications, the Ninth Circuit? 21 Altschul: You just cited, you cited a case. 22 23 Tabak: You want me to give it to them now or later? 24 Altschul: Now would be a good time. When you finish

1 speaking. 2 It's Metro v. P.... Tabak: 3 Altschul: Excuse me, sir. Sir? Tabak: It's Metro PCS v. San Francisco. 4 Well, do you have it? 5 Altschul: Tabak: Do I have it? The entire court case, no I do not. 6 7 Altschul: Citation. 8 Tabak: Pardon me? 9 Altschul: The citation. Tabak: I don't have the actual citation. I have it right 10 Yes, I do. I do have the citation. 11 Altschul: Thank you. Okay, you'll give it to the City 12 13 Attorney. Thank you very much. Rachel, Kathleen 14 Davidson to be followed by Rachel Rogers. Davidson: Okay, good evening Commissioners. My name is 15 16 Kathleen Davidson and I have been a member of the 17 West Hollywood community for over 10 years. I 18 oppose this resolution. Please review my evidence 19 submitted at the beginning of this meeting. I hope 20 you have it in front of you. I have submitted 21 coverage maps of the area around 1271 North Fairfax from Verizon's website, Verizon.com. 22 This is the 23 coverage map that they provide to their customers 24 showing exceptional coverage. Also, I included a

coverage map of the same area from opensignal.com showing all networks providing service in this community. My third evidence is crime stats from November to December of 2015 in the one mile radius of St. Ambrose. The problem with lower antennas is that they are more easily accessible and subject to vandalism that will endanger public safety. very easy to climb up on that church roof and then pop in up through the window and get a hold of that equipment. I have no idea if this 1924 Bell Tower Foundation has been evaluated for the additional weight proposed. The generator at the rear of the building will have most likely sulfuric acid batteries which means water cannot put them out if there is a fire. Service technicians will also be on and around the school property to service that generator. Hopefully Verizon will disclose how often and when. I believe Verizon owes the city of West Hollywood and its people a specific and exhaustive list of alternative sites in addition to the two that were listed in their exhibit and that the names of the neighborhood watch groups that were mailed too are disclosed because right now it just says neighborhood watch groups. I have not

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

8

11

10

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

been able to get in touch with those captains.

Please consider a third party engineer when

measuring RF per required by FCC both before and
after proposed projects. Thank you.

Altschul:

Rachel Rogers, Rachel Rogers to be followed by Adam Silverstein.

Rogers:

My name is Rachel Rogers and I am a businesswoman who conducts a lot of business in the city of West Hollywood and particularly I do tax PR. I run corp, I run communications for a wide variety of tax companies. So the idea, and I do business anywhere that I can because that's the brilliance of tech is that you don't have to go to an office. I can do it from Starbuck's, I can do it from wherever I can do it and I'm always on my phone. So the idea that we have no coverage in this area is just ludicrous. I wouldn't be able to conduct business. So that's one point that I really would like to make. The second is that there was no attempt to co-locate and Verizon's permit should be denied because the applicant has not demonstrated the need for a tower in accordance with Section 19.36.350 of the Municipal Code and is not found the least intrusive site for the tower. This code

mandates that wireless transmission facilities be 1 2 located at least 1,000 feet apart from each other, according to Open Signal a Verizon cell tower in 3 St. Ambrose Church would be located less than 1,000 4 5 feet from six other cell towers. This includes four T-Mobile towers, a Verizon tower and an AT&T 6 7 tower across the street from the church. 8 Altschul: Thank you. Adam Silverstein to be followed by 9 Claire Rochen. Silverstein: 10 Hello, thank you. I worked in West Hollywood since 1996. 11 Altschul: State your name and city of residence please. 12 13 Silverstein: Adam Silverstein, Los Angeles. I'm a Verizon 14 customer and I use my phone on the property. 15 never had a problem once. When is a variance not a 16 variance? When an approved variance effectively 17 becomes a change in the Municipal Code because of 18 federal laws that dictate a permanent change in the 19 code which would not, which would not allow 20 discrimination against other wireless carriers 21 seeking the same variance. Approval of this 22 variance sets a precedent for the R-4B zone in the 23 West Hollywood Municipal Code for telecommunications facilities. Per the 24

3 4 5

6

7

8 9 10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Telecommunications Act of 1996 Section 704, prohibits any action that would discriminate between different providers of personal wireless services such as cellular wide area SMR and broadband PCS. It also prohibits any action that would ban all together the construction, modification or placement of these kinds of facilities in a particular area. If the West Hollywood Municipal Code needs to be changed, then it needs to go through the normal legislative process to amend the code. Verizon's requested variance violates the standard zoning requirement for cellular wireless cell phone antennas with an R-4B residential zone which is where St. Ambrose is located. West Hollywood Municipal Code 19.36.350 Section 3(c)(a) states wireless transmission facilities shall be allowed only if located on the rooftop of a building that is at least 80 feet in height or attached to the side of a rooftop, stairwell or other pre-existing rooftop structure on a building that is over 80 feet in height. Verizon's proposed cell tower will be mounted at a height of 62.5 feet, almost 18 feet below the minimum height stipulated in the code. Moreover,

3

4

the bell tower is not a rooftop. It's a single

structure that is only three feet from the

sidewalk. If the city of West Hollywood is willing

to let....

5 | Altschul:

Thank you.

6 || Silverstein:

All right.

7 | Altschul:

Claire Rochen to be followed by Valerie Weiss.

Rochen:

Good evening my name is Claire Rochen, I'm a

resident of the City of West Hollywood for the last

13 years. Verizon's permit should be denied for

another reason. Verizon's circumstances are not

dire enough to warrant variance approval. Doing so

sets another dangerous precedent for the city. The

West Hollywood code stipulates that a variance

shall be granted if failure to do so will result in

exceptional hardship to the applicant. The code

doesn't define exceptional hardship but the Oxford

English Dictionary does. It defines exceptional as

unusual and hardship as severe suffering. In this

way exceptional hardship means unusual severe

suffering. Verizon asserts that denying this

variance compromises its cell coverage and thereby

results in unusual severe suffering. We challenge

this assertion. Verizon has 186 billion dollar

8

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6 | Altschul:

7 || Weiss:

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

market capitalization, the largest wireless network in the United States and 102 million subscribers, a full third of the United States. If one less Verizon tower qualifies as exceptional hardship, then what doesn't? Thank you.

Valerie Weiss to be followed by Finest Bennett.

Hi, my name is Dr. Valerie Weiss. I live in Los

Angeles and work in West Hollywood and I have a

child at Larchmont Charter School. I am a doctor

onitia de Editimone charect boncer. I am a decedi

because I have a Ph.D. in biophysics from Harvard

Medical School and it's relevant because I'm going

to talk about materials. The tower is unsafe.

Verizon is requesting a minor conditional use

permit to erect the tower. Municipal Code 19.44.60

prohibits structures that endanger or otherwise

menace the health, interest and safety or general

welfare of persons residing or working near the

proposed use. Verizon's plan demonstrates that

this cell tower in St. Ambrose Church bell tower

will do just that in three ways. Here are the three

ways. First, the cell towers materials risk bell

tower fire and collapse. You've talked about the

materials in your slide. The enclosure housing the

antennas is made from fiber reinforced plastic or

6

7

15

16

17

18

1920

22

21

23

24

FRP is a wall finish meant for interior use. It is more flammable than wood. And it loses strength under increased temperatures. Yet Verizon will use the material to house heavy, heat generating equipment outdoors including nine eightfoot antenna, 12 remote radios, and two surge protectors. This poses a very real risk of fire and to structural integrity. Second, the Verizon tower may increase the risk of earthquake damage to St. Ambrose Church. Verizon will demolish and rebuild the top portion of the tower in order to install the cellular antennas. The bell tower is within walking distance of the active Hollywood earthquake fault line. A seismic assessment is nowhere in Verizon's application, nor are plans for a seismic retrofit of the tower. Third, we respectfully remind the Commission that behind the church and immediately next to the school parking lot Verizon wants to build a 12 foot by 14 foot enclosure that will hold radio units, and a generator with 54 gallons diesel tank of fuel. That's about four carloads of flammable, toxic gasoline within a few feet of 200 school children every day. The risks of fire and collapse are

especially acute because the bell tower sits only three feet from the sidewalk and on one of the busiest, city's busiest thoroughfares. The tower violates setback rules as well. Verizon's permit should be denied for another reason. The tower is not in accordance, it's, it should be a minimum of 13.5 feet back, it will only be 3.5 feet from the sidewalk.

Altschul:

Thank you. Finest Bennett to be followed by Katherine Daisy Gardner.

Bennett:

Hi, my name is Finest Bennett, a Larchmont Charter School parent, a 16 year resident and owner in West Hollywood. Verizon's assertion that erecting the tower results in no (INAUDIBLE) consequence to the church has not been fully vetted. Verizon's proposed a significant structural alteration at the top of the tower where the equipment is to be located. This necessitates an evaluation of the structural integrity of this tower base upon which all of this work rests and that it meets current standards. Otherwise it is rendered a pointless exercise. If the tower is found to be deficient and an enhancement is prepared to shore up those deficiencies then it is within the purview of the

Altschul:

22

23

24

planning board to assess the aesthetic impact of these enhancements and we can discard the dubious claim on the table currently that there are no aesthetic impacts. It's unclear how sealing off the bell tower constitutes no significant impact to the tower. Drawings are an abstract tool used to convey information but the tower is a three dimensional object. Verizon's own photographic exhibits convey the tower in its truer context as a three dimensional object with both positive and negative spatial features that are recognizable, discernible and impressionable. Sealing off the tower undermines these qualities. The Planner defined FRP as fiber reinforced plastic. Can it hold paint? The weight of the antennas? Can be it formed to hold a crisp line? Does it age? Does it age in the same manner as the surrounding materials? In the interest of authentic representation an onsite mock up can be constructed of the very design intended which could best demonstrate all of these traits in the manner they are intended to be applied. Thank you so much. Katherine Gardner to be followed by Andrea Bendewald.

Hi, I'm Katherine Daisy Gardner, Larchmont Charter School parent. I wanted to talk about aesthetics. Verizon's permit should be denied because the cell tower degrades the aesthetic integrity of an architecturally important West Hollywood building. This is a violation of L.A. County Code Ordinances Title 22 Planning and Zoning, Section 22.56.040 conditional use permits burden of proof. Now, the Verizon rep stated that the church was built in the That is partially true. The church was rebuilt in the 1950's but the original church was designed in 1924 by noted Los Angeles Church Architect Ross Montgomery. Ross Montgomery also built St. Cecilia's in Pasadena, St. Catalina's, or St. Catherine's on Catalina, St. Andrews; he rebuilt the Santa Barbara Mission and he designed the Calvary Cemetery Mausoleum, one of the most significant architectural monuments in the United He also worked briefly with Frank Lloyd The bell tower itself was either part of Montgomery's original design or it pays tribute to his style in the 1920's which is when he was experimenting with art deco, most notably in the Doheny Chapel. The tower's clean lines, minimalist

cross and metallic copper ball are important examples of the art deco style but the tower's most important detail is its windows. And you heard the representative say those would be blocked off to hide equipment. Now you can see these windows from several blocks away on Crescent and Fountain.

They're part of the West Hollywood skyline. They were designed to frame L.A.'s heavenly skies and blocking the window with nine antennas will destroy this visual statement, undermine the intent of its designer and more importantly altering the windows means altering the most striking architectural feature on this street. Thank you.

Altschul: Thank you. Andrea Bendewald to be followed by Mia,
Mia Mayano.

Bendewald:

Hi, I'm Andrea Bendewald, I'm a parent at
Larchmont. I want to talk about incompatible with
existing future land uses. The following
statements regard West Hollywood's resolution
number PC15-1161. Section 5D, compatibility with
existing and future land uses. Verizon's tower is
not in accordance with West Hollywood's Municipal
Code 19.52.040 which mandates that projects be
compatible with the existing and future land uses

Altschul:

|| Mavano:

20 II

22

21

23

24

on site and in the vicinity of the subject property. The existing land use for this site and the vicinity of the subject property is for a public school and contrary to Verizon's claims, LAUSD does not allow the sitting of cell towers near public schools. We are a public school. outlined in the resolution put forth by the Board of Larchmont Charter School, the health and safety of our students, teachers and staff are fundamental concerns of Larchmont Charter School. Because of the aforementioned safety hazard arising from the cell tower, fire, structural collapse, seismic risk; the project potentially endangers nearly 200 children and therefore is not compatible with the West Hollywood Municipal Code 19.52.040 and the Los Angeles County General Plan. Thank you.

Mia Mavano followed by Nona....

Hello, my name is Mia Mavano and I'm a parent at
Larchmont Charter School. Thank you so much for
hearing us. There was insufficient proof of
alternative site analysis as has been touched upon
previously. We demand that Verizon conduct and
provide proof of an alternative site analysis as
required by the city of West Hollywood to show that

24

there's no other place within the city where the There was also insufficient tower can be located. notification. We are requesting a continuance because Verizon violated resolution number PC15-1161 Section 2 notification by not properly informing Larchmont Charter School of the pending permit and tonight's hearing. Though our addresses are different, Larchmont Charter and St. Ambrose are located on the same site. Larchmont Charter School occupies the former campus of St. Ambrose We share the entire church property, the fencing surrounding the property and auditorium and the parking lot. Moreover the signs announcing Verizon's application and tonight's hearing were posted around the corner from the school, out of sight from parents as they entered and left the parking lot. A notification out of view from its recipients does not qualify as notification. Verizon is subject to accessibility improvements. Verizon intends to occupy space on the site with their equipment. The church and Verizon will enter into a lease agreement of which the church will be compensated for the use of site and facility. As a result, upgrades to the site and facility are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

||Altschul:

||Baron:

10

13 14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

proposed in order to facilitate this understanding.

This is a tenant improvement project and per the

current codes a percentage of the construction cost

of the project would need to be allocated to

accessibility improvements on the site and building

and Verizon has not provided any documentation

regarding this allocation. Thank you so much.

Michelle Baron followed by Nona Feld-, Fiedman.

Hi, I'm Michelle Baron, I'm a parent at Larchmont

Charter. I want to talk about the need for

emergency 911. Verizon claims in its documentation

that there is unreliable access to wireless service

for emergency or 911 use. We would agree that is

important. But what Verizon fails to mention is

that since 1997 FCC ruling 94-102 requires any

wireless carrier to connect any 911 call from any

cell phone. 911 calls are quaranteed to be

connected, that's the law. This is probably why

Verizon has not mentioned any dropped 911 calls or

mentioned any failure for 911 calls to go through

because they already do. What Verizon also didn't

tell the Commission is that the City of Los Angeles

has instituted LA-RICS or Los Angeles Regional

Interoperable Communications System. The system

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8 | Altschul:

Fiedman:

1 10011011

ul: Nona Fiedman followed by Grace Ong.

you.

Hi, my name is Nona Friedman. In summary, we urge you to deny this request for a variance and conditional use permit because it would set a dangerous precedent. It's grossly out of compliance with West Hollywood Code, it's not on a rooftop, it's 80 feet in height, it's not 80 feet in height, it's less than 1,000 feet away from eight other wireless facilities, St. Ambrose Church is not the least intrusive site. Federal law does not quarantee Verizon seamless coverage. no documentation or testing to prove their claim of a service gap or that they've attempted to colocate and finally, most importantly, it jeopardizes safety in terms of danger of fire or collapse. When Larchmont parents caught wind of the tower, many of its committed, many committed to

consists of 63 fixed towers and 15 mobile units

that use wireless technology to allow police and

firefighters to send and receive large amounts of

information. So not just in the area of Fountain

emergency service providers are covered.

and Fairfax, but in all of Los Angeles County. Our

pulling their kids from school if that tower goes up. This exodus would have a catastrophic impact on the school. We will lose many of the parents who fundraise, assist in the classroom, and teach after school. It will also damage the school's reputation and raise doubts about our campus. We may cease to exist. That's our reality that we have to face. I'm opposed to the tower. Thank you.

Altschul: Kristina Jasiukonis.

Jasiukonis: (INAUDIBLE).

Altschul: Thank you. Grace Ong.

Ong: Good evening

Good evening Commissioners, thank you for listening. I'm opposing Verizon's cell phone tower because I think they violate West Hollywood Municipal Code 19.74.020 notice of hearing that Section B(2) mailing. Notice shall be mailed or delivered at least 10 days before the hearing to the following, applicant and owner, local agencies, surrounding residents and property owners, persons requesting notice. I'm a parent from Larchmont Charter School. The first time I heard about the plan for this cell phone tower was only a few weeks ago. Starting around November 20, I started

24

talking to some of our neighbors. Everyone's response was that they were unaware about this They have never been informed by mail. Now plan. one parent from our school went to the City Council to get a copy of notification which supposedly was sent out to all the residents within 500 feet radius. I got a copy in my hand and started talking to more neighbors during Thanksgiving weekend. Only one said she had received the notification but it was unclear to her what the hearing was about. All other residents claim that they have never seen such mail. All together I believe I talked to 50 people live or work very closely to the cell phone tower, the future cell phone tower, that means that 98 percent of resident never received the mail or they are all disorganized. Our school office staff is extremely organized. We are also one tenant within the 500 feet radius of the future cell phone tower. We, too, have never received such notification. I only talk to a small number of residents around. I believe more people within the 500 feet radius was not properly informed about this hearing. Otherwise our turnout would be a lot better.

1 Altschul: Kristina Jasiukonis. Jasiukonis: Oh, I just agree with what's been said. Altschul: Thank you, thank you. Thank you. Yelena Viner, 3 no, no. Marelle Abaunza. 4 5 Abaunza: Hi, my name is Marelle Abaunza. I've been a resident of West Hollywood for 10 years and I've 6 7 watched the city grow into an amazing community that I'm incredibly proud of. I'm also a parent at 8 9 Larchmont School and I'm only going to say one 10 thing, which is, this day and age where we are so bombarded by technology there has, there has to be 11 12 places that are still sacred and a school and a 13 church should be two of those places. So in case 14 it isn't obvious to Verizon, there is a very 15 passionate community, very committed community, asking you to kindly please find somewhere else to 16 17 put your tower. Please. Altschul: Yelena, Yelena Viner to be followed by Polly Lin. 18 19 Viner: I came to support Grace and I, I heard many people 20 and Verizon and I feel that Verizon did not, failed 21 to show data gap in coverage and proof of, proof 22 should be on them to prove that they really need 23 this particular location. I think they need to

look further. They need to look maybe on Sunset as

you mentioned. There are a lot of commercial buildings there that are not next to the school. think people that their children go to school which is very close to where I live are very passionate about it and I think their, their voice needs to be heard. As a resident of West Hollywood, I think it might even, it might affect the future, you know, the future of West Hollywood of that, of that particular area where property owners will have a hard time to find new tenants especially ones with small children. Because tenants who have small children will definitely explore school surroundings and they will be very concerned about the tower. So they...that might lower the value of some, of some properties and that, there is also a hazard of the falling antenna, or it, just a hazard of having tower that might affect the, the school and people that live in West Hollywood area. Thank you, ma'am. Yelena? I just want to, Yelena is a property manager of an apartment building whom I spoke to

Altschul:

Ong:

21

22

when I was canvassing.

23 Altschul: Your name?

24 Ong: My name is Grace Ong. And her name is Yelena.

Altschul:

Thank you, thank you. Polly Lin to be followed by Eleanor Comegys.

Lin:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Good evening Commissioner, how are you. My name is Polly Lin. I work in West Hollywood for three I, I represent USA Printing which is located on Santa Monica Boulevard and Fairfax which is only one block away from St. Ambrose Church. The owner of our company is also the property owner of our workspace. One cell tower moving into the neighborhood will invite more, even more cell towers to move in. Our owner doesn't want this area to be blighted. He also doesn't want a tower to lower his property values. Many people in my company come to work either by bus or park in the neighborhood. We don't feel very safe walking by a tower that is loaded with many antennas. Hollywood is a very beautiful city compared to a lot of other cities in the greater Los Angeles area. We enjoy working here and all the nice shops We just don't want to see West Hollywood around. to become unsightly, ugly or uninviting to all the nice businesses around which we enjoy visiting after work. Thank you.

Altschul:

Eleanor Comegys to be followed by Amos Newman.

Comegys:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Good evening Commissioners. My name is Eleanor Comegys. I have been a resident of West Hollywood since 1991 and my family including my eight year old daughter and my husband and I live at 1233 North Orange Grove Avenue which is in the immediate vicinity of where the tower would be. I don't really have anything to add. Everybody has been so eloquent in their reasons, but I just want to say that living in the neighborhood I don't think people in the community have been accurately aware or have been noticed, or I'm sorry, have been notified of this tower. None of my neighbors knew about it. I received the notification as someone pointed out the sign is in an area where if you're not going down Fairfax you're not going to see it. And I think you would have a lot more people in this room if people who reside in the area knew that this cell tower was going up. Amos Newman to be followed by Dan Morin. Hi, I'm Amos Newman, I've been a resident of West Hollywood for 20 years now. I'll make this fast because I know David is starving. I just want to say that what's really great about West Hollywood,

it's true, right? What's really great about West

Altschul:

Newman:

22

23

24

19 20

21

24

22

23

Morin:

Altschul:

Hollywood is that we, we you know as a community, you know, can do this and can come before you guys and, and make what we all believe is, you know, is a passionate case. You guys enforce the rules and you know where do you draw the line? If you allow this variance at 60 feet is the next one at 40 feet? Is the next one a lamp post? Where, so where does that end? You know, the skyline and a couple of people have pointed it out, you know, the bell tower has a you can see through the bell tower, you can see the blue sky, it's a beautiful bell tower. It really highlights the street. That will be completely destroyed. The other thing I wanted to point out is, is it's not a coincidence that they've chose a church site to put their cell The reason they've done so is because tower. churches, they stay there forever. The church doesn't sell its property so what Verizon is essentially doing is making the primary purpose of this property a cell tower. So I, needless to say, I'm against it. Thank you.

Dan Morin to be followed by Poppie Harris.

Dan Morin, City of West Hollywood. Verizon seems to have no friends here this evening. I'm speaking

23

24

solely as a 37 year resident of West Hollywood. I would deny this request solely based on the inability of Verizon to completely and adequately articulate why they need this in the first place. It seems that they want to have coverage in every square inch of this territory and to me, and no pun intended, it seems to be overkill. I don't quite understand it and I think also it would have been helpful for Verizon to have some kind of rendering of what the bell tower will look like after the installation of, of these towers because I have no idea from what was shown on the screen of what's going to happen afterwards so maybe even just on aesthetic grounds I would oppose this. I also am curious and its not been mentioned although it's perhaps not part of the conversation, but I'm wondering what St. Ambrose or the Archdiocese of Los Angeles is being paid by Verizon to have this, these towers at this location. As a lapsed Catholic I appreciate that. I, I...that hasn't been raised and I'm sure that St. Ambrose and the Archdiocese is not giving this space away so where...how much are they getting? How much have they been paid and this has not been mentioned.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't know if it's germane to the conversation but it's certainly a question that entered my mind.

And therefore I conclude my comments and wish you all a very happy holiday.

Altschul:

Poppie, Poppie Harris to be followed by Karen Eyres.

Harris:

My name is Poppie Harris and I'm a resident of Hi. West Hollywood and have been for 20 years. question that I have is where were the alternative site maps Verizon showed during their presentation, were they available to the public before or is Verizon in violation of the Brown Act? I'd also like to say that while walking the streets and collecting hundreds of signatures in the area on North Orange Grove and Sunset, in particular Verizon showed a map of other cell sites by other providers in the area and they were not all on that I know this because I spoke to a gentleman at North Orange Grove and Sunset who has an AT&T one on the building next to his house. He will then, if this were to ever happen have it coming to the back of his house from the church. I oppose the cell tower. It is unsafe and not necessary. I'm confident another location could be found not on

2

3 4

5

_

6

7 || Altschul:

Eyres:

9

8

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

top of a school. There's a reason LAUSD doesn't allow it and neither should West Hollywood. If we don't begin to take a very conscious approach for approval these towers will be everywhere, even where not needed. Let's be careful and thoughtful before it's too late. Thank you.

Karen Eyres followed by Ana Palacios.

Good evening Commissioners. My name is Karen

Eyres, I'm a 13 year resident of West Hollywood.

I'm also a member of the Women's Advisory Board and

I'm here to appeal to you as a fellow public

servant. I know that coming up on your agenda

sometime is going to be the five year strategic

plan for aging in place and it's something that we

do, you know, as volunteers and public servants is

to look over these city plans and to make sure that

we're looking ahead to protect and provide safety

and resources for our community's most vulnerable

people. In the aging in place report we're looking

at of course the people who are looking to stay as

they get older in the City of West Hollywood.

Well, this I think is a similar situation where

we're charged with a task of looking after the

safety and welfare of some of our most vulnerable

1		community members which are children. And just I
2		would urge you to reject this proposal just based
3		on the idea that there could be any sort of danger
4		with this facility and what it entails, that the
5		safety and security and well being of the children
6		can be compromised in any way. You know, whether
7		it's one child or, or you know 250, it doesn't make
8		a difference. It's our responsibility to provide
9		them with that protection. Thank you.
10	Altschul:	Ana Palacios followed by Todd Feder.
11	Palacios:	Hi. I am Ana Palacios. I'm sorry but my English is
12		very, very bad. I need an interpreter.
13	Altschul:	Your name please.
14	Wiseman:	My name is Daniella Wiseman.
15	Altschul:	And your city of residence?
16	Wiseman:	We are members of Larchmont Charter.
17	Altschul:	And what is your city of residence?
18	Wiseman:	Los Angeles.
19	Altschul:	Thank you.
20	Wiseman	
21	(translation):	Thank you. I only would like to say that around
22		1,000 families have signed saying no to the cell
23		towers. Those families from West Hollywood you
24		represent. When I was asking for signatures to

Altschul:

3 || Feder:

those families and some kids came to me and asked me can I sign please? And that motivated me more and more to think that they have the right to, to give their opinion, so they decide on where to live and how to live. Please respect their rights. The majority of the people sitting here believe that there is not to be a cell tower around them.

Please. Our consciousness will be in peace knowing that these kids in their future or future generations will live in peace, with a future with no cell towers. Thank you so much. Thank you.

Hi, my name is Todd Feder, I concur with everything everyone said. I live at 1275 North Hayworth which is about 100 yards from the site. I didn't know anything about this until two days ago and only found out about it because somebody in my building slipped something underneath my door that was handed to her from someone on the street. No one in the building knew about it. The girl next door said she would, you know, if this passes she'll be moving out and, and my apartment looks right out on the church so I see that from where I live and I don't want that disrupted among other things and

that's it. Thank you.

2

Altschul:

Thank you. Isabella Oliver followed by Michael Wojtkielewicz. Isabella Oliver? Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

3

Oliver:

Hello. I'm Isabelle Oliver. I've been a resident of West Hollywood for 25 years and I live also about 100 feet from this proposed site and I see the bell tower. For one thing, what is a bell tower if, if the alcoves are closed? how that works and I'm sure it won't look very good but that's not really exactly what I'm so concerned about. I also just found out about this two days ago, just through an e-mail from a friend and I think, I actually know that if we were properly informed, that this room would be standing room I know that. And, and the stack that you have to read would be so giant and it's just so sad. I would really be compelled to think about moving if this did, does happen and if I had a child in that school, I would take my child out of that school. And if, if there was a cell phone tower on top of a school and I had just moved into the area, I would not ever bring my child into that school. And just one more thing that both of my

parents have Verizon and I have been with Verizon

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in the past living exactly where I live in that purple area where it's supposed to be, and there has been no problems with reception whatsoever. So thank you.

Altschul:

Michael, Michael Wojtkielewicz followed by Cynthia Blatt.

Wojtkielewicz: Commissioners, members of the public good evening.

My name is Michael Wojtkielewicz, resident of West Hollywood. Without intent or purpose I believe the question of whether a variance should be granted at the subject property at 1271 North Fairfax was actually answered by this very Commission two weeks On November 19th this body approved a variance or two for a property address at 1035 Vista Street zoned R-3D. The basis for that decision was called out by this Commission as a positive cumulative proposed design deemed exemplary offered in benefits to the immediate surrounds including the actual new four residential units proposed for this site. That stated, let me be clear in voicing that what is on tonight's review is no way, shape or form another 1035 Vista Street. The variance asked for tonight has no positive cumulative benefit for the R-4 zone

24

neighborhood around Fairfax and Fountain. Instead the variance would grant transformation of a sacred architectural element that references and symbolizes faith, desecrating it by allowing its prostitution as a sacrilegious Trojan horse masking the desired self interest of Verizon, a for profit commercial industry. This variance is just as those who have requested it, as well as any entity that would possibly grant it, iconoclastic. variance requested by Verizon a cell carrier that is known to self promote itself as mightier than thou makes mockery of the intended use of a bell tower belonging to an existing place of worship. Additionally, this non-required unrelated application appears the contradiction if not conflict to the tax exempt status of the land, which is a beneficiary to the beliefs and the needs of the faithful flock of parishioners who have offered up prayers for humanity for decades. proposal and the variance needed displays no benefit to or concern for the innocent children schooling at their desk or playing outdoors during recess on the property adjacent. Thank you so much.

Altschul:

Cynthia Blatt followed by Leslie O'Toole-Roque.

Blatt:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Hi, Cynthia Blatt, West Hollywood. First of all I'd just like to say that I agree with everything that was said tonight by this incredibly smart group that I wish I was a part of. But I did want to say a couple of things. One is that I actually thought that the categorical exemption that was granted for this under the, under CEQA Section 1503 was improperly granted because 1503 is defined and governed by the California Public Resources Code Section 21083 and in Section B3 of that code it says the environmental effects of a project will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings either directly or indirectly. Okay, so this may refer to the RF radiation but one of the parents who wrote a letter gave you guys a statutory citation to support this kind of opposition in the findings of the Second District Federal Court of Appeals which says that municipalities may use "prudent avoidance" to ask Verizon to choose another site if other options exist and their site of first choice is a densely populated municipal site such as a school or a hospital. So, I would say to Verizon keep your customer base and withdraw

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from this. Also, also there are other sites, this has been brought up several times today. So, thank you very much. I wish I had more to say but they said it much better. Thank you.

Leslie O'Toole-Roque followed by Mimi Quan.

Altschul:

O'Toole-Roque: Good evening Commissioners and members of the public, my name is Leslie O'Toole-Roque, I was a West Hollywood resident for eight years before I was lucky enough to purchase property in Spaulding Square where a historical district we comprise 4th Street, Orange Grove, Ogden, Gennesse and Spaulding between Sunset and Fountain. I knew of this because I also happen to be a parent of a Larchmont Charter School student, not at this campus. taught kindergarten, you know, potential kindergartens in 2007 and 2008, Larchmont School was far and away the most impressive. I went to the sites, you know, at St. Ambrose and it was absolutely phenomenal and as several people have said this evening, you know, the quality of the parents here is testament to the quality of the school. All of these people chose to send their parents to school. I'm also a very occasional member of the St. Ambrose congregation. My son was

1

christened there, baptized there, sorry. It was founded by Father O'Toole who's almost certainly related to me, this is extremely personal to me. This is the view from my porch, the St. Ambrose bell tower. It's a stunning view, our, you know, the eye line of many of us in Spaulding Square is already been damaged by enormous buildings going There's one on Hayworth Avenue that's already conflicting with our eye line. There are plenty of studies about the impact of cell towers on property I'm slightly embarrassed to be arguing on this point. I understand how fortunate I am, but there was a study conducted in 2014 by Tate (INAUDIBLE) Power, a website, it's a small study but it concludes this. The overwhelming majority of respondents 94 percent reported that cell towers and antennas in a neighborhood or in a building would impact interest in a property and the price they would be willing to pay for it. Seventy nine percent said under no circumstances would they ever purchase or rent a property within a few blocks of a cell tower or antenna. If my son was attending this campus, I would withdraw him from the school. It would be devastating, it's a fantastic school.

Thank you.

2

Altschul:

M. Quan:

Mimi Quan followed by Raymond Quan.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 | R. Quan:

Altschul:

In 2012, the Middle Class Tax Relief and Jobs Creation Act, Section 6409, would allow for a 20 foot increase in height of an existing structure, so if we're talking about esthetics in terms of the Bell Tower in the future, they can come and modify that, possibly 20 feet in height or in width as well. So what happens is when they...if there's an application process for it, the City cannot deny the co-location of any additional sites to that. So basically once you approve this site, then any future co-locations are kind of out of your hands, so it would impact the esthetics so they could stick things possibly to the outside of it and the City couldn't do anything about it. Also, you all have to always remember the bottom line is the motivation behind Verizon is always about how much money they're going to make, profits, and I just wanted to keep that in mind because, you know, when you're deciding these things, always think well what's their motivation, profits. Thank you. Raymond, Raymond Quan followed by David Sutton.

I'm Raymond Quan. I want to point out some real

1

basic here. If Verizon's trying to tell you and tell this audience that it's really necessary here, it's so necessary they can override your local codes using the Federal Telecom Act. Well, where is there need? Where is there documentation that it's good for this community? They have a...I'm looking at their job description, justification, this four pages, it's really less than that, and two-thirds of it is generic cell phone advertising. There's nothing specific except for a little paragraph about this area, there's that what you want to know, and where are the people in our audience saying they have a problem that needs to be filled? Look at their...what they submit to They submit these color book maps and they attack the open source maps, but they don't tell you that they're changing their own maps. Do you remember the map they showed you they'd done this morning? Did you notice it looked different? different color coded. It's different colors, the ones you got before and also the coverage area is bigger than it used to be. It's because they've changed the scale. They don't tell you that. Matter of fact, they eliminated the scale.

Altschul:

Sutton:

24

know, you used to say 95, 85, negative 75 decibels. Now it says good, fair and bad. Well what happened to the numbers? They dropped the numbers. there's some numbers. We did research on root We have objective third party data that actually root metrics is advertised on Verizon's own website that it's, it's an objective, showing that how good they are and root metrics shows they have very good signal. They're top rated signal, it's green, and that's what it's...they even have in this actual area of the application, it says negative 85 db. They don't give you any numbers. Negative 85 db is good, but they don't tell you, they came to tell you they're making you trust that they have a gap but they haven't proven that at There's no drive tests either. Thank you. all. David, David Sutton to be...David Sutton to be followed by Susan Levine. David Sutton? Good evening, thank you for letting us speak here. I'm a part of the same Ambrose Parish Council. am in favor of putting this device in our church depot. Just as a history part, when the Archdiocese in the past bought a property for church and school, they would buy the property,

23

24

they would build a school first and the parishioners would have their mass in the school gymnasium. So we're very aware of the need for safety of children even though there's been some instances where the church has failed in it. the, the pastor and the parishioners should be concerned as well because the device is going to be above our heads. I sing in the church choir and the property belongs to St. Ambrose and the archdiocese of Los Angeles. We want to have a happy relationship with those around us. We want to serve the community. They give a significant amount of money to us. We're poor, but we're still We need the money. I think it's in the there. neighborhood of \$25,000, which is a pittance but it may pay the salary of a secretary. We're struggling and we, we are concerned. Now there's two things that are operating here. One is fear and anger. If there were a danger then you are justified but the...all the conclusive evidence that has been put forth and studied by Father Dennis and the Archdiocese has shown that it is legal, that it is safe because the distance that's involved. I can look at the sun and I can burn my

1		eyeballs out in 20 seconds, but it doesn't mean
2		that I cannot step out and I get ultraviolet
3		radiation. So
4	Altschul:	Thank you, sir, your time is up.
5	Sutton:	Thank you.
6	DeLuccio:	I have a question. Sir?
7	Altschul:	Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
8	DeLuccio:	Sir, I have aI got confused, you said \$25,000,
9		what was that, for compensation for?
10	Sutton:	Somewhere in the neighborhood. I'm not an expert
11		on it.
12	DeLuccio:	Over what period of time is that? Just curious.
13	Sutton:	Per year.
14	DeLuccio:	A year, thank you.
15	Altschul:	Sir, I have a question also. Mr. Sutton? Just as
16		I tried to get Verizon to be somewhat customer
17		friendly, yourthe church's tenant is the charter
18		school and the tenant is saying to you they don't
19		like this and they don't like it big time. Don't
20		you think that being responsible to your tenant who
21		I assume pays the church a lot of money as rent has
22		something to say to you?
23	Sutton:	Well that's a significant thing because we might
24		have to close the church if, if the charter school

1		doesn't work out. However, the Archdiocese will
2		support St. Ambrose no matter what and I think
3		rather than trying to win this battle, which you
4		might lose the war but the, but the school might
5		eventually disappear from your midst. If there was
6		a real danger, they wouldn't put it in. There is
7		not a real danger.
8	Altschul:	Well perception sometimes overrules, you know,
9		anyeverybody's thoughts (talking over).
10	Sutton:	Well the pastor sleeps underneath the steeple there
11		and it, you know, he has studied it. The
12		parishioners have studied it, so I think you're
13		very biased against the (talking over).
14	Altschul:	All right, I, I'm just suggesting you take back to
15		whoever the powers that be are. The consideration
16		of your, your major source of funds.
17	Sutton:	It has been dually noted and studied.
18	Altschul:	Thank you. Thank you. This is not a dialogue,
19		it's a monologue.
20	Male:	Can I respond? 'Cause I had some additional
21		information to his statements.
22	Altschul:	Pardon?
23	Male:	No response? Okay.
24	Altschul:	No. Susanwhere are we? Susan Levine followed

1 ||

Levine:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by Villanueva.

Yes, hi, good evening. Thank you for having this hearing. I'm going to simply and strongly state that I, I, I am strongly against this tower and mainly because of the gross negligence of Verizon to inform the residential public. I live on Hayworth Avenue directly adjacent to the church tower and school. In fact, I have a square view of the tower and have lived there for 15 years and, and because I am a dog owner, I walk the, I'm on the streets often and if this was an issue, all of the people that have animals talk about things in the area and nobody knew about this. That is, that is gross negligence on behalf of a very large and intrusive structure and I'm not going to go into They're all very eloquently stated the reasons. tonight but it seems to me that it is a significant safety concern for the residents and the children and again, I'm just going to state, that is...there was very little information disseminated in the residential public about this and that is my number one concern and again, as another person said, the room would be packed and that I only recently found out about this and live 50 feet from this.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

very disturbing. Thank you and I hope we have a positive resolution.

||Altschul:

D. Villanueva. I don't have a first name, just D. Villanueva.

Villanueva:

Hi, good evening, my name is Demetria Villanueva and I am a resident of West Hollywood and have been so for 10 years. I live in the building directly behind St. Ambrose at 1270 North Hayworth. bedrooms are on the second floor and while the pastor may sleep beneath the steeple, our bedrooms are directly across from the bell tower. First of all, I am also a Verizon wireless customer and have been since 1997 and since I have been living in this facility, this building, I have received excellent coverage, both data, cellular, text, etc. We did not receive any significant notification and if it weren't for the activism of Grace Ong and stepping out to the community and notifying us, we probably would not be here today. I further wanted to say that I found the coverage maps of the area quite confusing. I didn't find that the legend was pretty detailed and I was just stumped. of my comment for today. Thank you so much.

Altschul:

Thank you. Dana Klein. Dana Klein and that'll be

our last speaker.

2

3

4

Klein:

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Altschul:

DeLuccio:

Klein:

Thank you. Dana?

I don't...no, we actually....

plans have been turned down.

Dena, like Pasadena.

parishioners here knew that we as a school have reached out to the church to try to come up with some negotiation that would make sense to understand that it's really a difficult financial state that they're in, in the same way that we're always in a difficult financial state, always trying to raise a ton of money and so we had a meeting to compromise and we were turned down from that meeting. We tried to talk. Our...the amount that we pay to the church is about 10 times what we're understanding that they're going to be getting from Verizon, so, so we're trying really hard to be a good tenant to them and try to figure

out how we can have a compromise, but we're not

come up with another plan and so far our other

okay with the cell tower going up. We just want to

Hi, my name is Dena Klein. I am a resident of Los

Angeles and I'm a parent at Larchmont Charter. I

just wanted to make sure that the public and the

DeLuccio:	Our role here is not really the finances but was
	your compromise a financial compromise?
Klein:	We came up with a bunch of different ideas and in
	fact we were really encouraged because when we had
	the meeting with the priest and the deacon, the
	deacon had come up with a great idea of some way
	that they could earn some additional revenue by
	using our shared space after hours and that was
	really encouraging to us 'cause we thought that
	that would be a great, a great option.
DeLuccio:	Thank you.
Klein:	Thank you.
Altschul:	Thank you. David?
Gillig:	The following people chose not to speak, but they
	wanted their views read into the record. The
	following people oppose staff's recommendation for
	approval: Cathy Blaivas and Stephanie Harker.
Altschul:	Thank you and now applicants, you will have eight
	minutes, up to eight minutes if you need it for
	completing your presentation and rebuttal combined.
Collier:	Thank you again. I really appreciate the community
	and everything I've heard this evening. Verizon
	Wireless really does care about every community we
	go into. I'm a strong proponent personally of
	DeLuccio: Klein: Altschul: Gillig:

charter schools. I highly back them up. sister in law that advocates for them, so I'm very much a part of the charter school community. As a Verizon Wireless employee I'd like to ask for a continuance respectfully from Planning Commission, if that's possible. I'd like to perform a third party drive test to show Verizon's wireless position on why we need the coverage and showing the gap so it's a little bit more explan-, explains a little bit more. I'd like to go into a little bit more detail on the alternative site analysis since I see there's a whole lot of question about that and if there is another alternative site, Verizon Wireless will definitely look at that. Ι'd like to discuss that with staff if that's possible if we do find something that is, that is agreeable and, and hopefully the Larchmont Charter School can help us out and, and make sure that.... Ma'am, are you the, the one in charge here of this So far. Well who would be the ultimate person in charge? I

Altschul:

application?

Collier: 21

Altschul: 22

23

24

mean there seems to be in my estimation at least a lack of preparation for, for coming to this

1 hearing. 2 Collier: Understood. Altschul: There was a tremendous amount of preparation and 3 time taken by the opponents of your application. 4 Collier: 5 And, and... 6 Altschul: They (talking over). 7 Collier: ...I, I appreciate that. Altschul: May I finish? 8 9 Collier: I, I think (talking over). Altschul: 10 May I finish? They have spent hours apparently. 11 This is one of the most prepared group of people I 12 have seen. Every time (clapping). Listen to that. 13 We have over the course of the years, not recently, 14 but over the course of the years we have had cell 15 phone applications that were opposed and dozens and 16 dozens and dozens of people have gotten up with the 17 same old hue and cry about how it is damaging to 18 their well being, health wise. We didn't hear that 19 tonight. We heard a group of people who did a 20 certain huge amount of homework, a certain huge 21 amount of thought, gave a huge, huge amount of 22 thought to the entire situation and we heard a 23 couple of people from Verizon who gave a huge 24

amount of thought to practically nothing.

And this

1		is not reasonable to come and ask for more time to
2		get your act together. Do you think so? You
3		cannot tie up hundreds of people by shoddy work.
4		That's my opinion. Now whether you get to continue
5		it or not is, is up to these seven people. I'm
6		only one vote. But that's, if you understand this
7		expression, grand chutzpah.
8	Collier:	And I'm sorry for that impression from Verizon
9		Wireless. We certainly would like toI think
10		sometimes maybe we get too involved into the
11		technological side of things and can't explain it
12		properly so that's what we (talking over).
13	Altschul:	There was no explanation at all. It was
14		gobbledygook and double talk.
15	Collier:	Understood.
16	Altschul:	Compared to what came out from your very well
17		educated and very well thought, thought out
18		opponents and as far as my thinking is concerned, I
19		would not grant a continuance and you can take the
20		case to the City Council.
21	Collier:	Understood.
22	Palmer:	Sir Chair? If that is the request of the
23		Applicant, however as you indicated earlier that
24		would be subject to a vote of the Commission.
	1	

1	Altschul:	We're going to vote.
2	Palmer:	Okay, great.
3	Altschul:	You don't need to, you don't need to remind us
4		(talking over).
5	DeLuccio:	Is there a streamlining, a streamlining act on
6		this?
7	Palmer:	No, thisthe Permit Streamlining Act is not
8		applicable to this type of project.
9	DeLuccio:	Okay, thank you.
10	Altschul:	Are you requesting continuance?
11	Collier:	Yes, sir.
12	Altschul:	Is there a motion to continue?
13	DeLuccio:	I think wewe might have dis
14	Altschul:	Just, you want to discuss?
15	DeLuccio:	Can we have discussion?
16	Altschul:	Go ahead.
17	DeLuccio:	Yeah, can we have a discussion?
18	Aghaei:	Do you have anything else to add Ms. Collier? To
19		yourdo you have anything else to add to your
20		rebuttal?
21	DeLuccio:	You have six more minutes. No?
22	Buckner:	You have significant amount of time for your
23		rebuttal if you want to take that.
24	Altschul:	Well she wants a continuance. She doesn't want to

go ahead with the application right now. 1 2 Aghaei: So.... Altschul: If she's denied the continuance, we'll let her have 3 the, the six more minutes and go ahead if she wants 4 5 it. DeLuccio: Why don't we leave the public hearing open for a 6 7 moment and have a discussion? Maybe we can call you back if we need to. Is that...would that work 8 9 or do you have...do you want to say something? 10 Aghaei: So you know, just thinking out loud, my...as Commissioner...as Chair Altschul just mentioned, 11 12 we've had a lot of these applications come before 13 us and, you know, a lot of the time it's, you know, 14 very run of the mill, but that's because we're 15 presented with the, you know, a proper book of, you 16 know, just everything we need, you know, all the 17 data, all the, the reports, and so on and so forth. 18 So it makes it, you know, it makes it impossible 19 for us to refute this type of situation. What we 20 have here, you know, is...you know, we're being 21 told that, you know, this is, you know, 22 everything...you know, this is what we need but I 23 don't, you know, I don't know where the other

towers are, I don't know what the other proposed

1		sites were, you know, it's just I'm, I'm being fed
2		this situation, I'm being fed a series of facts, so
3		you know, I, I just don't feel like I have a
4		complete view of the applicant's thought process to
5		understand howwhy this is necessary. (Talking
6		over). I understand per the City'syou know, as
7		the City Attorney mentioned earlier that, you know,
8		if it's necessary we have to move forward with this
9		and so on and so forth. They haven't really kind
10		of met that burden in my opinion. I don't know why
11		it's necessary. So IDon?
12	DeLuccio:	Well it's very subjective what they presented this
13		evening as far as the data goes and needing the
14		coverage or not needing the coverage. I wasn't
15		clear. I wasn't convinced they did, however,
16		looking at the esthetics also, did we have in your
17		presentation Dereck, did you show us what it's
18		going to look like? Can you put that up again?
19	Purificacion:	Yes.
20	DeLuccio:	Because I
21	Altschul:	Excuse me, this is not a motion on the item. This
22		is a motionthis is whether or not anybody wants
23		to make a motion for a continuance.
24	DeLuccio:	Well I'mI, I have some questions I would like to

1		ask at this time 'cause I'm not sure if I want a
2		continuance or I want to make a motion for denial.
3		So I need to
4	Altschul:	The only motion acceptable now is the motion for a
5		continuance, yes or no. If (talking over).
6	DeLuccio:	Are you making a motion for a continuance?
7	Altschul:	No. I'm asking (talking over).
8	DeLuccio:	Is somebody making a motion?
9	Altschul:	I'm asking if any one of the Commissioners are
10		making a motion for a continuance.
11	DeLuccio:	I'm not ready to 'cause I need to discuss this a
12		little bit more
13	Altschul:	No.
14	DeLuccio:	before I can make a motion.
14 15	DeLuccio: Altschul:	before I can make a motion. We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance,
15		We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance,
15 16	Altschul:	We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance, we will proceed with the hearing.
15 16 17	Altschul:	We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance, we will proceed with the hearing. Can we discuss the reasons why we would offer or
15 16 17 18	Altschul:	We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance, we will proceed with the hearing. Can we discuss the reasons why we would offer or not grant a continuance? I think that would be
15 16 17 18 19	Altschul:	We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance, we will proceed with the hearing. Can we discuss the reasons why we would offer or not grant a continuance? I think that would be prudent and to the benefit of the public (talking
15 16 17 18 19 20	Altschul: Jones:	We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance, we will proceed with the hearing. Can we discuss the reasons why we would offer or not grant a continuance? I think that would be prudent and to the benefit of the public (talking over).
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Altschul: Jones:	We can discuss it if, if there is no continuance, we will proceed with the hearing. Can we discuss the reasons why we would offer or not grant a continuance? I think that would be prudent and to the benefit of the public (talking over). And I, I need help in understanding ifthe

1	Purificacion:	The one that's up right now, yes.
2	DeLuccio:	And where did this come from that was in the yellow
3		folder?
4	Purificacion:	That came from the public.
5	DeLuccio:	Okay. Okay I'm not, I'm not in favor of a
6		continuance, I'm in favor of making a motion to
7		deny because I don't think esthetically (talking
8		over).
9	Altschul:	That's not appropriate right now.
10	DeLuccio:	Okay, then
11	Altschul:	They, they have not finished their hearing.
12	DeLuccio:	Well
13	Buckner:	Oh, you'reI'll tell you what, to finish this
14		off, I'll make a motion for continuance and if
15		there's a second, then we'll do a vote on it and
16		then we can move forward.
17	Altschul:	Is there a second for the motion for continuance?
18	DeLuccio:	I haven't heard enough yet to
19	Altschul:	The motion dies for lack of con-, lack of a second.
20		Ms. Collier, do you wish to continue please with
21		the hearing?
22	Collier:	No, we'll move forward. Thank you.
23	DeLuccio:	Then Iokay.
24	Altschul:	You'll move forward with the hearing? Yes, go

1		ahead.
2	Collier:	WithI'm sorry, I believe you wanted to move
3		forward since we aren't going to be able to do a
4		continuance, correct?
5	Altschul:	Yes, you have eight more minutes.
6	Buckner:	For your rebuttal. For your (talking over).
7	Altschul:	For your rebuttal and to move forward with whatever
8		it is you want to present.
9	Collier:	I'll go ahead and give up that time.
10	Altschul:	All right.
11	DeLuccio:	Are you going to close the hearing? I'll make a
12		motion.
13	Altschul:	Well let's leave it open.
14	DeLuccio:	I'd like to make a motion.
15	Altschul:	Go ahead, Donald.
16	DeLuccio:	I'm going to make a motion for denial and I'm going
17		to base it on the aesthetics. I really don't think
18		thewhat they're, they're planning to do here
19		esthetically looks compatible with the structure
20		and based on that, $I'm$, $I'm$, $I'm$ going to make
21		amy reason for denial is based on aesthetics.
22	Altschul:	Is there a second?
23	Palmer:	And forjust as a point of clarification for the
24		record, by aesthetics, are you referring to the
Į.	1	

closure of the windows in the bell tower? 1 DeLuccio: Yep. I don't think they work. I don't think 2 it's... 3 Palmer: Thank you. 4 5 DeLuccio: ...compatible, as compatible with the structure when...after it's complete. 6 Altschul: 7 Discussion. Sue? Okay, well I, I am not convinced that it's 8 Buckner: 9 compatible, that the esthetics of it, there's any 10 reason...that we can go forward on that basis. Ι don't think that Verizon has met its burden of 11 12 proving to us that it's necessary and that there's 13 no other location, there's no facts, no 14 documentation, no independent evidence to support 15 their contention that it's required and I just...there's not good or sufficient cause to do a 16 17 variance at this point. I just...I cannot support 18 this item. 19 DeLuccio: Yeah, 'cause also the var-, also my thing, I don't 20 think compat-, I don't know what they're going to 21 do with the structure on the ground also. 22 another issue. I, I don't see the treatment, any 23 esthetic treatment to how they're going to enclose 24 the structure on the ground floor either. That....

1	Buckner:	Or how it could be safe.
2	Altschul:	I believe the motion should be, be on the basis
3		that you brought up Donald and the mot-, and, and
4		all of the reasons that Sue brought up also.
5	DeLuccio:	Yes, absolutely, yeah, I, Ithat can be part of
6		my motion.
7	Altschul:	Any, any further discussion? Anybody else?
8	Lightfoot:	Well if we need any more reasons for the
9	Altschul:	Go ahead, Sheila.
10	Lightfoot:	for the motion to deny. I would just, I would
11		just refer to the additional correspondence, Item
12		10B, the first 11 pages, which is what, what the,
13		the Larchmont Charter School Board submitted
14		because they have it outlined very well for all of
15		the potential reasons for, for denying this. So
16		that's it.
17	Altschul:	Donald, will you agree to having that incorporated
18		into your motion?
19	DeLuccio:	The only thing that's part of the rec-, yes,
20		because that's part of the, the record this
21		evening.
22	Altschul:	And you'll accept that
23	Buckner:	I'd likeI will too and I'd also like to add that
24		the Ninth Circuit Court reasoning I think applies

1		here also.
2	Altschul:	Fine.
3	Palmer:	I would, I would caution the Commission to not
4		incorporate the Ninth Circuit ruling into your
5		findings for your motion. And I can, I can provide
6		some additional information, it's just the way
7		that, that case was quoted to you and presented to
8		you doesn't provide the full context of the, the
9		ruling of the court and I don't think it's, it
10		should be incorporated without that additional
11		information.
12	Jones:	What about the LAUSD resolutions pertaining to cell
13		siting next to schools? Can wecan that beI'm
14		asking if it can be a basis for us to deny it.
15	Palmer:	I would recommend that the Commission not do that.
16		You haven't seen those resolutions, you don't know
17		what they say and there are different jurisdiction
18		than you (talking over).
19	Jones:	For the benefit of the record, that's why I'm
20		asking.
21	Altschul:	Anybody else wish to add to the discussion?
22	Jones:	There's a lot I have to say.
23	Altschul:	Are we ready for a vote?
24	Jones:	But I don't know, I don't know that it matters at

this point. I just, I like to be as transparent as possible in the way that we think about the way that we make these decisions. I do want to make a few notes. First, I...there are lots of feelings in this room right now and I'm very impressed by the fact that so many people came out to speak tonight. For those of you who don't always attend Planning Commission meetings, this is a pretty, this is a pretty full house, so this is, this is a large, a large group. You know, I'm not...I guess I'm...there's a lot of feelings. I'm really interested in the facts and, you know, there are a lot of cell towers in the area. necessarily opposed one way or the other to cell I actually have lived in an area. live actually far from this site at all, a couple of blocks away where I didn't have cell coverage for almost two years, not, not ideal. But it seems to me that it is appellant upon the applicant here to do its due diligence, not just in showing us proof and the burden of proof and then working with the community, and I'm not inclined to support this particular project based on, based on...it just doesn't feel like this A+ student is very well

1		prepared in this incident and it's disappointing
2		'cause I just really don't feel like there's any
3		excuse. Again, I havethere's so many other
4		things I have to say. I do want to ask staff for
5		record, was everyone within a 500 foot radius of
6		this project noticed? Can we get that on record?
7	Purificacion:	Yes, they were noticed, November (talking over)
8		they were sent out by mail, correct. Oh and mail
9		and onsite posting.
10	Jones:	And the St. Ambrose building is not a registered
11		historic building, correct?
12	Purificacion:	That's correct.
13	Jones:	Okay. That's all, thanks.
14	Buckner:	Let me ask more question about the notice because
15		so many people said that they didn't get notice.
16		So when you mail it out, do you mail it out to
17		every address or is it mailed out to registered
18		voters? Howwhere do you get your list for
19		mailing?
20	DeGrazia:	We get our list for mailing from an outside
21		consultant and it goes to all addresses, so that
22		residents get it and we also send it to owners of
23		all of those properties as well.
24	DeLuccio:	And we do the mailing, the City does the mailing on

1 behalf... 2 Purificacion: Correct. DeLuccio: ...of the Applicant? 3 DeGrazia: Yes. 4 5 DeLuccio: Okay, thank you. Buckner: Thank you. 6 7 Altschul: Any other discussion? Well I remember if it 8 was...somebody said it was 2005 when the school 9 opened, just so that's 10 years ago and there was 10 considerable opposition to the school from the neighbors. All of a sudden they were all riders 11 12 and they all work during the daytime. And they 13 all, and they all had this huge fear that the 14 children playing in the playground were going to ruin their careers. And they got up one after the 15 16 other, remember Donald? DeLuccio: 17 I do. Altschul: They got up one after the other and we had to 18 19 listen for over an hour to this. Maybe a couple of 20 hours. And I don't know what happened to their 21 careers but look what happened to this wonderful 22 school. Congratulations to all of you parents. 23 The children raised you well. And thank you for 24 coming here and I expected to come here and listen

Altschul:

Gillia:

and fall asleep to the old harangue about the radiation. My goodness. What, what a wonderful, what a wonderful group you are. What a wonderful job you did for your kids. I don't know whether in the end it's...you know, the cell site should be there or shouldn't be there but that's not the point. The point is you have a cause, you, you carried that cause to the ultimate and your research and your preparation in your communication and in your, your love for your kids. If nobody else has anything to say, let's vote. Two more.

One more.

Aghaei: So we're voting to deny it?

The vote is to deny the application. All six are in, the vote is unanimous to deny. David? Hang on, wait, wait, we're not through. We're not through. David will, will tell you what happens next.

The resolution of the Planning Commission just approved which was a denial memorializes the Commission's final action on this matter. This action is subject to appeal to the City Council.

Appeals must be submitted within 10 calendar days from this date to the City Clerk's office. Appeals

1		must be in writing and accompanied by the required
2		fees. The City Clerk's office can provide appeal
3		forms and information about a waiver of fees.
4	Altschul:	We'll take a 10 minute break.
5	Altschul:	The meeting will come back to order.
б	Palmer:	Mr. Chair?
7	Altschul:	Yes?
8	Palmer:	I'd like to just provide a point of clarification
9		on the last item.
10	Altschul:	Yes.
11	Palmer:	The Commission voted to deny the project and we
12		will need to bring back to you a resolution of
13		denial so that will be before you at your next
14		meeting on January 21 st . I believe it would be on
15		the consent calendar and that will be the final
16		action on that item.
17	Altschul:	Correct. So that the appeal period starts then?
18	Palmer:	That is correct.
19	Altschul:	Fine, will somebody get in touch with the
20	Palmer:	We've advised the Applicant's representative.
21	Altschul:	Good. All right, the next item is Item 10.C., 1123
22		to 1129 North Detroit. The subject is thethe
23		Applicant is the West Hollywood Community Housing
24		Corporation. Rachel?

1 | Dimond: (INAUDIBLE). Thank you.

| Altschul: Your mic isn't on.

ITEM 10.C. 1123-1129 N. DETROIT STREET

Dimond:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Good evening, thank you Commissioners. Before you this evening is an application at 1123-1129 North Detroit Street called Blue Hibiscus. Included in this application are three requests for a demolition permit, development permit and a lot line adjustment, which is a lot tie in this case. The subject property is located on North Detroit Street between Santa Monica Boulevard and Lexington Avenue on the west side of the street and contains basically two sites. On the southern site is an existing 11 unit affordable housing project. sorry, eight unit affordable housing project and on the north lot there is two structures that contained three rental units. Again, there are three applications that you're looking at today. There are 11 existing units that are requested to be demolished in order to construct 22 affordable housing units. They're all one bedroom units ranging from 533 to 605 square feet. As part of the application, the applicant is requesting two setback modifications which are 10% reductions in

24

front and rear setbacks as well as two incentives out of the three that they're allowed to request as part of an affordable housing project, as well as a lot tie to combine the two lots into one development site. The front and rear setback modifications are shown on the screen. allowable setbacks in this district, or the minimum setbacks are 15 feet for the front and rear and they are requesting front and rear setbacks of 13 feet six inches and as a result of that, those first story setback reduction, the second story setback gets pushed in a little bit further. Additionally, there is a requirement as part of their incentives, they're requesting a reduction be required, habitable space on the front façade. City code requires that at least 50% of the first story of the front façade be habitable space. In this case, the office and lobby, which are considered habitable space are about 34% of the façade length and the second concession...or incentive requested is to allow for all of the common open space to be located on the roof. regulations allow 40% of that common open space to be on the rooftop and in this case they're

24

requesting all 1,000 square feet of common open space required to be on the roof and as you can see outlined in red, they have a rooftop deck that includes some garden beds and seating areas for residents. This is the front façade of the building. Essentially, the project which is a Spanish Colonial Revival style is four stories and it steps down to three stories on the northeast corner, stepping down to the adjacent building. This is a view of the rear façade and the south façade and you can see Detroit on the right of the screen as well as the north façade and we'll get to Stephanie Reich, the Urban Designer who will speak a little bit more to the design and some of the Design Review Subcommittee comments. receive 13 letters that are included in your packet including concerns from tenants about parking restrictions. Another letter about lack of involvement of the Neighborhood Watch groups and then one tenant submitted the remainder of the letters, specifically concerns were regarding Section VIII housing vouchers and then since publication we did receive one additional letter in support which is included on the table in front of

24

Also since the application or since the staff report was submitted to you we did have a number of conditions that I wanted to review and there is a supplemental memorandum on the table in front of you as well. We did have one Commissioner express concern to staff about deed restriction length and the ability for tenants to return to the project. The Applicant has committed to allowing tenants to return back to the project once it's complete. should you want to require these conditions with approval, there are two conditions that could be added, 1.7 and 1.8. 1.7 would allow current tenants to retain the right to move back into units in the new structure upon certificate of occupancy as long as they express interest within six months of their notice to vacate the existing and then condition 1.8 would deed restrict the units for a minimum of 55 years and that's our standard in West Hollywood. The Public Works Department also requested two conditions be added to the application and to the resolution 151163 including the requirement for a small site low impact development plan which is for storm water management as well as a sewer capacity availability

2

4

5

6 | Reich:

8

7

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 | Buckner:

request from the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Engineering. Both standard conditions that we place on projects, they just didn't make it into the resolution. And with that, I'll move to Stephanie Reich, the Urban Designer.

Good evening Commissioners, Stephanie Reich, Urban

Designer for the City of West Hollywood. project before you is a very good transition from the coming project at the Faith Plating site which is seven stories to the adjacent property which is a lower scale. It moves from four stories to three stories with a balcony at the street and facing the north side. The historic revival style is very complimentary to the building and to the neighborhood. The style has been carried out with integrity, with details that appear to be high quality. The Design Review Subcommittee spoke very favorably about the design with a request for a couple of minor modifications which we are, we are very certain that could be accomplished during the Plan Check period. If there are no other questions, we are here for questions or any comments you may have.

Stephanie, did the applicant agree to make these

1		modifications that were recommended or is it
2		something that still has to be decided in Plan
3		Check?
4	Reich:	The, the con-, those pieces are conditioned in the
5		approval and, and we will work with the applicant.
6		They are very minor modifications but because of
7		the speed at which it moved from the Design Review
8		Subcommittee to Planning Commission, those haven't
9		been worked out quite yet.
10	Lightfoot:	Something just very briefly, the rear setback, it
11		says that it's similar to the adjacent setbacks.
12		Can you tell me what the, what the adjacent
13		setbacks are?
14	Dimond:	You know, I don't have that information in front of
1 -		me.
15		
16	Lightfoot:	Do you recall if they are very similar? I know
	Lightfoot:	
16	Lightfoot:	Do you recall if they are very similar? I know
16 17	Lightfoot:	Do you recall if they are very similar? I know that the, the building in frontI don't, I don't
16 17 18	Lightfoot:	Do you recall if they are very similar? I know that the, the building in frontI don't, I don't know which is being considered the back, whether,
16 17 18 19	Lightfoot:	Do you recall if they are very similar? I know that the, the building in frontI don't, I don't know which is being considered the back, whether, you know, whether that is the side, whether that's
16 17 18 19 20	Lightfoot:	Do you recall if they are very similar? I know that the, the building in frontI don't, I don't know which is being considered the back, whether, you know, whether that is the side, whether that's the side setback for them, but they're asking for
16 17 18 19 20 21	Lightfoot:	Do you recall if they are very similar? I know that the, the building in frontI don't, I don't know which is being considered the back, whether, you know, whether that is the side, whether that's the side setback for them, but they're asking for it to be 13 feet six inches, which isn't that, you

1		property to the north but the property to the
2		south, which is the Domain project, essentially
3		there is no rear setback there and so it's actually
4		the building just runs across to theto Formosa.
5	Lightfoot:	Okay. All right, thank you.
б	DeLuccio:	Commissioner Lightfoot, were you the one who put
7		these additional, these conditions 1.7 and 1.8?
8	Lightfoot:	Yes, and I can either address those now or probably
9		it would be better if I addressed them later in
10		deliberations.
11	DeLuccio:	Yeah, and I have one question, I know that one of
12		the letters refer to there not being any guest
13		parking space and that's not required in this
14		project, correct?
15	Dimond:	That's correct, any project with over 25%
16		affordable housing on site is only required one
17		parking space per unit and no guest housingno
18		guest parking.
19	DeLuccio:	And then is thatis this street preferential
20		parking?
21	Dimond:	It is.
22	DeLuccio:	But, but in theand actually there's noI'm not
23		suggesting we do this if we move forward with this,
24		there's no restriction in here that says they can't

1		request guest parking spaces.
2	Dimond:	That's correct, there's actually a condition that
3		they can get a certain number of guest parking
4		spaces per year per tenant.
5	DeLuccio:	That's in here?
6	Dimond:	Yes.
7	DeLuccio:	Okay. I can, I can find it, thank you.
8	Lightfoot:	It's 1.6 I think.
9	DeLuccio:	You would know that.
10	Lightfoot:	No, no, no, actually it's, it's farther in there,
11		but it, it is in there.
12	DeLuccio:	Thank you.
13	Buckner:	No, it's not 1.6.
14	Lightfoot:	No, it's not.
15	DeLuccio:	I'm okay, I can find it myself. Thank you.
16	Altschul:	You ready? Applicant? Who is the applicant's
17		representative? Hi.
18	PUBLIC COMMENT	:
19	Conerly:	Hello. Good evening, my name is Robin Conerly and
20		I'm the Executive Director of West Hollywood
21		Community Housing Corporation and we're located on
22		Santa Monica Boulevard and Sierra Bonita which is
23		on the east side of the City and we're here to
24		thank you for considering our request to develop

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 || 5

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this, this project. Actually we, we're redeveloping it. It's two properties at 1123 and 1129 Detroit Street, into a multi-family project that will provide housing opportunities for 11 low income people. There will be no cell phone tower. With me today is Jesse Slansky, our Director of Real Estate Development, who will give you a background on the project and also here to present to you is our Architectural firm, (INAUDIBLE) represented by Lise Bornstein and Kristin Cosgrove and we also have our landscape architect Jill Blessley and we have many directors, several directors from our Board. I know we had quite a few community supporters, but at 9:00 they, they had to go home, so you will hear from some of those people. Thank you.

Slansky:

Good evening, Honorable Commissioners, my name is

Jesse Slansky, I'm the Director of Real Estate

Development at WHCHC. The Detroit bungalows were

built in the 1920's and we've owned them for over

25 years. Due to the property's age, extremely low

rents and escalating maintenance costs, the

bungalows operate at a loss. For years we've,

we've been struggling to maintain them to the high

24

standards we pride ourselves on. At the end of last year the opportunity arose to acquire the neighboring parcel. This acquisition would allow us to redevelop the property, increase the number of affordable units and create a brand new LEED certified building with modern amenities and features. We are committed to our residents. Every resident of the Detroit bungalows is guaranteed a unit at the new building. Every resident will receive generous relocation compensation that is well over the City's requirements. We've hired an experienced relocation consultant to provide the residents with as much assistance as they need to find temporary replacement housing during the construction period. We want to make this transition as minimally disruptive as possible. When contemplating the redevelopment, we looked at many possible concepts for the building. We've engaged with the residents throughout the design process and we're excited to have had near unanimous participation and support. We've engaged neighbors and community stakeholders. Based on the feedback we've received, we crafted the proposal being presented tonight. So now I'd

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

like to introduce our Architect Lise Bornstein who

Bornstein:

will present the proposed design concept. Hi, my name is Lise Bornstein. I just want to make sure to get back to our slides here. Okay. again my name is Lise Bornstein, I'm with (INAUDIBLE) Architects. I want to start with where we started with the project and that is with our design process. There we go. We met with Jesse and Robin from WHCHC many times to talk about different ways to organize the project site and different approaches we could use for the design and they shared with those ideas to the neighbors and to the current residents and we formed this sort of collective continuous feedback loop which sort of organically led us to a California Spanish revival style. It, it echoes the character of the area and it fits with the residential neighborhood that exists currently. We drew inspiration from many, many buildings through West Hollywood, architectural elements such as ornamental railings and bright colored tile and decorative fixtures, all of which have those unique and individual textures that are so unique to this style. And one of the most recognizable features of the California

24

Spanish style is a casual yet composed arrangement of massing. It's, it's almost like a village, a composition, in its composition. So we used these and further articulated the façade with careful balance of solids and voids and different ways we articulated the balconies, lowering roofs and projecting with bay windows to create a rhythm and cadence across the façade that really brought a human scale to the project. Large windows are found throughout the project and not only bring a lot of light and air into the, into the units, but are eccentric and eclectic in their styles and differentiated so that residents can find an individuality in place within their new home. Another large massing move that we made in the project was to step back at the fourth floor and that would allow us to transition to the...our neighbors at the north and what that did was it gave us the opportunity for really great roof deck where we have container gardens and places for residents to sit and enjoy the afternoon and clustered around that we have all of our amenities for the project, residential services. There is a laundry room and a gym and a community room with a

24

teaching kitchen that opens out into the, opens out into the deck and we really see this as the heart of the building. This is the social center of the building to promote those connections that make a community. We wanted to bring that idea community and connection down to the ground level. Detroit Street is a beautiful street to walk along and we wanted to focus a lot of our design energy at, continuing that traditional, that, that pedestrian tradition. So we made moves such as we divided the driveway entry into two separate entries, arched entries separated. You'll see the plan on the left There's a planting strip in the center to side. again create that human scale to the ground plane and we recessed the entry to create a welcoming feel for visitors and residents as they enter the project and have this shady trellised front patio where residents can sit out and wait for a ride or simply just people watch. We also put attention to introducing finer details at, at the ground level, tiles and textures within the ground plane that again enhance and enrich the pedestrian experience. One of the most important elements of our ground floor is, ground level experience is the landscape.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

Blessley:

Yes, good evening, I'm Jill Blessley with (INAUDIBLE) Landscape Architects. In approaching the landscaping for this project, we wanted to play off the Spanish style architecture and introduce a lot of Mediterranean style plants. We, we are of course looking at drought tolerant landscaping and that plays well into the Mediterranean scene. we are...also want to have a lot of color and, and seasonal color insofar as what's within the drought tolerant restrictions and with quite a range of plant pallet, but predom-, color pallet, but predominantly blues and lavenders and some yellows and also pick up on the, the burgundy tones on the building itself, so we have some plants with a, with a rust color foliage as well. So I've lost my, lost my...there we are.

It is one of...we are targeting a LEED gold

certification. We will have many sustainable

items, moves in the building including solar hot

will be a really important part in creating that

She's our landscape Architect to kind of run

through the landscape that she has designed.

water and recycled content materials, but landscape

home feeling and I want to introduce Jill Blessley.

Altschul:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I stopped the clock.

Blessley:

The...so the...and the front Okay, thank you. entry area we do anticipate that people might be sitting out there waiting for rides, so that will be very floral and with a lot of detail and interest with lavender with blue hibiscus, of course, some russet colored euphorbias and some low flowering acholeas and low flower-, low flower-, lots of, lots of flower color in there. We have introduced a trellis element over the entry so we're intending to put bougainvillea on that. of the comments that came up in the Design Review Board was softening the, the stair tower, so we're now intending to introduce a...it doesn't show on this drawing because we haven't caught up to it yet, but introduce a green screen panel with some flowering trumpet vines to buffer that main south stair tower. One aspect of this project we also have...in the rear yard we've got a big Malacca tree which is drought tolerant, will also will scale to the building and we have in the...I've lost it again. We also have some tall shrubs in the back that will help buffer that west façade so these plants will get up to about 18-20 feet, so to

1		scale that. We also want to point out that much of
2		our landscaping is on dirt or in fact all of it at
3		grade level is on dirt so we will have bigger
4		plants than we would otherwise have. Thank you.
5	Altschul:	Thank you. Any disclosures? Sheila?
6	Lightfoot:	Yes, I had e-mail communication with the applicant,
7		all contained within the staff report.
8	Altschul:	Sue?
9	Buckner:	No. Mr. Chair, none.
10	Altschul:	David?
11	Aghaei:	I met with the applicant team and everything we
12		discussed is in the staff report.
13	Altschul:	Donald?
14	DeLuccio:	I received an e-mail from the applicant but we, we
15		never had a further communication.
16	Altschul:	Stacey
17	Jones:	I met with the applicant on site and we discussed
18		what was contained in the staff report.
19	Altschul:	And I met with the applicants in their office and
20		discussed the project and the design and the
21		models. We'll open the public testimony. Dan
22		Morin? Dan Morin?
23	Morin:	Present.
24	Altschul:	Oh yeah, yeah.

Morin:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Somebody left their pen. Was this Verizon? Anyway, Dan Morin, City of West Hollywood. My only concern about this pro-, I mean everybody...I didn't go to the meeting that talked about the architecture, but from what I read and from what I've seen, the architecture is certainly not as bad as some of the...actually it's very good. other very bad...I don't know, I call them IKEA homes, but my concern, I know that the corporation has mentioned that the people in these bungalows right now will be getting monies to be able to sustain them. My concern is if the project, and we all know how this possibly goes down, if the project, the construction does not come in on time and is extensively delayed, are there protections in place for the tenants in the event that that should happen. That's my greatest concern. concern again is that the tenants are able to sustain themselves during the construction and are able to return to this beautiful building. Thank you.

21

22

23

24

Altschul: Michael Wojtkielevicz to be followed by Karen O'Keefe.

Wojtkielevicz: Is it three minutes or two?

Altschul: Three.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Wojtkielevicz: Three, thank you, John. Good evening once again,

Commissioners, members of the public, Michael Wojtkielevicz, resident of West Hollywood. a year ago a seemingly simple decision was requested which was to authorize approval for funds marking the start of redevelopment for 1123-1129 North Detroit Street, better known as the home of the Detroit Bungalows. On the surface, this appeared a relatively easy decision to make even though the existing bungalow units had undergone upgrades including accessibility some seven years prior and yes, for others, the yes or no choice at hand seemed a no brainer because after all the new project will provide more affordable housing. However, as with most things in life, reality was not just black and white. It was an important fact worthy of consideration. Should the new project target only those who held a special needs classification, then any existing resident of the bungalows who fell outside this category no matter how long they lived there, no matter their poverty level, no matter the fact that they may be of

senior age, they would in fact become displaced

23

24

permanently. For the first time WHCHC was making a decision whose consequence would not only temporarily displace their own residents, but perhaps actually permanently displace longstanding West Hollywood residents. This was a milestone. As such, at the time I could not lend support given the unknown of whether there was one singular existing resident that would face displacement permanently or whether there were multiple households. The action being taken was the equivalent of being Ellised out except for this case it was being basically Ellised out of Federally funded affordable housing. disclaimer regarding this was made to the public two weeks later on October 20th within City Council paperwork for agenda Item 5.A. On page four, under tenant relocation assistance, one simple line read, "Eligible existing residents will be given the right of first refusal to become tenants in the new development." So as we know today, the proposed project before us is only 22 units, all of which is defined to serve those of special needs. That said, having been assured that there are now provisions to bring any existing Detroit Bungalow

Altschul:

Mendez:

1

2

3 4

5

6 |

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

resident who falls outside of the special needs classification, I can in good faith and

wholeheartedly support this project. Thank you.

Thank you. Karen O'Keefe to be followed by Ramon

Mendez. Karen O'Keefe? Not here. Ramon Mendez.

Good evening members of the Planning Commission and

staff. My name is Ramon Mendez, I'm a 24 year

resident of West Hollywood, a 23 year member of the

Board of Directors and I have the honor of being

Board Chair for the last five years of West

Hollywood's Community Housing Corporation. All

board members have their personal motivation to

volunteer their time. Mine for the last 23 years

has been that we have been providing permanent

affordable housing for seniors, low income

families, people with HIV and AIDS, people with

special needs, challenges and most recently

transition age youth in our Sobea Courtyard

project. Our Board of Directors were 15 members,

we have about half of the Board here, if you could

raise your hand. Nine of the Board members here

are WEHO residents, three of those nine residents

are residents of three of our projects and you'll

be hearing from a few of them tonight. Our mission

21

22

23

24

is to develop safe, decent and affordable housing for people with limited incomes including those with special needs and which enhances the community and supports economic diversity. We envision sustainable communities of healthy, diverse neighborhoods within the Greater Los Angeles area. A primary role of being a Board member is to ensure the corporation's activities meet our mission and Blue Hibiscus is indisputably does achieve this. By increasing the availability of permanent affordable units in 22 units, to 22 units in this beautiful building means that at least 22 individuals will contribute to the economic diversity for the City for many years to come. ask the Planning Commission to please approve Blue Thank you very much. Hibiscus.

Altschul:

Denson:

Good evening, my name is Clara Denson and I'm an 11 year resident of West Hollywood. I've also served on several...on the Board of Directors in the capacity of Vice Chair and currently the Board's secretary. I'm also a resident of the Havenhurst Building. I have been so for the last...for going on 11 years now and being here is an honor and a

Clara Denson to be followed by Duke Mason.

privilege to tell you how much it has changed my life. You know, we can be complacent at times in our life, things go and, and you're happy and, and all of a sudden it takes something to just shock you and that's what happened to me in 2000 when I had a very thriving corporate career in fundraising and all of a sudden I'm looking at possibly being seated in this wheelchair and have been now going on 15 years. It threw my life in, I know, needless to say, just behooves me. Well, I found out about West Hollywood Housing Corporation and I entered the lottery through the help of the Westside Independent Living Agency and my life has changed and now I'm, I'm thriving, I'm on the Board. When I came into West Hollywood and into...and they gave me the keys to my apartment and said do you want to see your new home, and it is just that. It is my I'm able to share it with other people, very diverse culture and we're there for each other. We're able to thrive. We're able to feel safe and we're able to hold your head up high and be a part of...please support this project. We are so needy of affordable housing and we need this. So I ask you please, it's just amazing what they do and, and

Altschul: 21

20

22

23

24

Mason:

I'm just grateful to be here. I'm proud to be a resident and to be, to be of service to the...on the Board and I can just tell you, you have no idea what it does for someone that life has just changed all of a sudden on a dime, on a whim, and to know that you have a place that's yours and it's safe, and that you can thrive, you can learn to do things that you thought were...you were not going to be able to do. You have support. You have people around you that will come to you and reach out to Park Resident Services, I had been sick and I have a tendency to isolate. Well they hadn't heard from me and hadn't heard from me. I, anything I could do by phone, I did by phone and all of a sudden there was a knock at my door and there was She's one of our public, our Resident Marisol. Services and she brought me noodles, chicken noodle You have no idea what that did for me. so I say, please support this project. It's brilliant, it's beautiful and thank you. Thank you. Duke Mason to be followed by Tiffany Lowery. Hi, I'm Duke Mason, proud resident of West

Hollywood. I'm also a member of the Board of

Directors of the Housing Corporation and also a member of the City's Lesbian and Gay Advisory I'm here tonight to support the project for Board. many reasons, some of which are very personal to I joined the Board recently because of the WHCHC's commitment to the future. They know that the number one issue facing many of our citizens today is the lack of affordable housing. Many of these citizens are transition age youth who would, according to statistics, end up on the streets just a couple of years after cycling out of County foster programs. I want to make sure that West Hollywood lives up to its legacy as a progressive inclusive City for all, for the next generation and the Blue Hibiscus project sticks to our roots and Thank you very much. value.

Altschul:

||Lowery:

20

21

22

23

24

Thank you, Tiffany Lowery followed by Alex Bazley. Hi, good evening Commissioners. My name is Tiffany Lowery and I am a new member on the Board, WHCHC. Like Clara, she spoke so eloquently. I am also a resident of the Courtyard at La Brea. I've been there two years. I am someone whose life has been totally impacted as well. About two years ago, I had been in the food and beverage industry for

24

probably 18 years, bartending, waitressing, cocktailing, you name it. I had completely worn out the cartilage in my hip, my right hip. I could barely walk, I was on a cane, and I had to stop working. I was living right up the street on Poinsettia, the same neighborhood, paying fair market rent, \$1,400, \$1,450 a month and all of a sudden my life was turned upside down, financially, emotionally, not to mention the physical pain. had control over nothing at that point. I got an e-mail, I got an e-mail one day and everything changed from there. It was from the Actor's Fund and I thought wow, I'm going to jump on this, but there's no way out of thousands of applicants and sure enough, I got the lottery and from that day forward everything just fell into place and my life changed overnight, literally. I was able to take what I had, move in, everything just worked out. I was able to get back on my feet. I'm finishing a Master's now in clinical psychology. Affordable housing is so needed. I worked every day, I had three jobs. It's not about... I know there's stigmas that we all have and I have to admit I probably did too. The types of people that live in

2

3

4 5

6

7 || Altschul:

Bazley:

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

affordable housing. What does that mean for our community? It means that good people, good people have the opportunity to have a good place to live, a safe place to live and I'm just grateful that I was lucky enough to be a part of that and I ask for your guys' support. Thank you.

Alex Bazley to be followed by Karl Lott.

Hello Commissioners, first let me thank you all for your service to the community by serving on this

body. We really appreciate it. I know it's really tough work. My name is Alexander Bazley. I've been a resident of West Hollywood for about eight

years and I currently serve on the board of Directors for the WHCHC. I also manage the West

Hollywood Gateway on Santa Monica Boulevard and La

Brea as well as other retail shopping centers in

Orange County and Los Angeles. I would like to

read a letter of support that has been transcribed

by current residents of the Detroit Bungalows that

also includes an important request. Dear

Commissioners, we are all current residents of the

Detroit Bungalows who support the Blue Hibiscus

project and look forward to moving in when it is

completed. However, we are concerned about the

1

question of quest parking. Every unit in the building will have dedicated parking space and will take cars off the street that are currently being parked there since Detroit Bungalows has no off street parking. However, the Blue Hibiscus will have no guest parking and we know from talking with some of our neighbors who live in the Dylan, that the residents of the building are not eligible for preferential parking permits, but must park either in the Dylan garage or at a meter. We understand that this will be up to the Planning Commission to decide whether or not we can continue to purchase preferential guest permits as we can do right now. We hope the Commission will decide to grant us this privilege. We are a low income community which means that most of our friends are also low income and we fear that if our friends cannot park on the street they will not...or they may simply find excuses not to come see us in the evening. We hope the Commissioners will consider this request and we respectfully urge them to rule in our favor. Sincerely, Mark Miller, Kenneth Campbell, David Polocin, James Hayes, Mary Diaz, and Greg Sanders. Thank you.

Altschul:

Thank you, Mark...Karl Lott to be followed by Mark Edwards.

Lott:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Good evening, I'm Karl Lott, I've lived in the City of West Hollywood for 27 years. I'm on the Board of Directors at WHCHC. One of the reasons I joined the Board is because I love the diversity in West Hollywood. This is such a great community and we really need economic diversity. There is such a need for affordable housing and serving on, on the Board of Directors of WHCHC has let me see how great that need is and I just have a couple of points to note to you, to point out how, how that need is continuing to grow. Just a couple of years ago when we opened another project with 42 units, we received 2,400 applications for those 42 units. It's likely we're going to receive that many again for the, for the new projects. The needs continue to grow and the Blue Hibiscus project where there's 22 units will be a small step, but it's an important step in that direction. We really do need this project and I, I urge you to support it. Thank you.

22

Altschul: Mark Edwards.

24 | Edwards:

Mr. Chair, fellow...I mean Mr. Chair,

23

24

Commissioners, my name is Mark Edwards. represent the applicant. I've been doing the community outreach, but I'm here to share two inputs from community members who support the The first person is Andrew Falk. lives at 1133 North Formosa Avenue. He is President of the Homeowner's Association and he wanted to share that he thinks the Detroit could use a little sprucing up as it is, in his opinion, the shabbiest street in West Hollywood I bet and we certainly need more affordable housing. all the construction is getting annoying, but a 22 unit building is a much smaller deal that these several hundred unit buildings popping up around here and the other two low income, but basically he wanted to say that the other two low income buildings are built by WHCHC on Detroit. He really likes them. He thinks they are a wonderful addition to the community. And then the other person is Lynn Russell who lives and has a business in the City of West Hollywood, and she wanted me to share that the Blue Hibiscus project on Detroit is an outstanding project worthy of your approval, clearly has reached high standards with its

esthetics and sustainability goals giving special attention to consideration of future residents and compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood. It is my understanding that all current residents of the Detroit Bungalows have the right to move into the building at their current rent rate or if appropriate, might even qualify for one of the specific designations lessening their rent. The WHCHC will assume all relocation expenses. This particular project underscores the contribution of several WHCHC projects, particularly the outstanding location on Havenhurst, which appears exemplary in many respects. Please consider a unanimous approval. Thank you.

Altschul:

David?

Gillig:

The following people chose not to speak but they wanted their views read into the record. They are all in support of staff's recommendation of approval. Cathy Blaivas, Stephanie Harker, Estevan Montemayer, Karen O'Keefe, and Frederick Mintchell. Thank you. And applicant's rebuttal, Robin, Jesse, who? There was nobody testifying saying anything against it, so there's not much to rebut.

Altschul:

Conerly:

I just want to reiterate that all of the residents

Slansky:

We....

of the Detroit Bungalows may be moved back into the 1 2 building when it is done. And I think Jesse can talk a little bit, there was a question about what 3 if the construction takes a long period of time? 4 5 Slansky: Oh, thank you. Conerly: Yes, that's.... 6 7 Slansky: Sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off. The, the City of West Hollywood's current required relocation 8 9 payment for qualified tenants which is usually just 10 defined as seniors or people with disabilities is 11 \$17,000. We are paying on average \$40,000 to each 12 tenant, more than double what's required by the 13 City. So yes, there is a risk that there could be 14 delays, but we are more than doubling the current 15 City requirement in terms of relocation compensation and any relocation compensation 16 17 remaining when they move back, residents are 18 entitled to keep. We're not going to be reducing 19 benefits if they...if the project is faster, 20 completed faster and they move back earlier, 21 everyone's entitled to their full relocation 22 compensation. 23 Altschul: What if El Nino comes and rains delay construction?

1	Altschul:	Are there reserve funds to assist for longer
2		assistance, longer subsidies (talking over).
3	Slansky:	Well wesorry, I don't mean to cut you off.
4		The
5	Altschul:	No, you get the idea.
б	Slansky:	I get the idea. We are estimating that the
7		displace-, that the time of displacement would be
8		24 months. The relocation compensation is based on
9		42 months. So, we have not quite doubled, but
10		almost (talking over). We have, we have about a
11		year and a half additional built in.
12	Altschul:	What about the question that one of your witnesses
13		brought up about the parking for guests?
14	Slansky:	We fully support that. WeI mean we would like
15		the residents to be eligible to have preferential
16		parking permits.
17	Altschul:	All right, thank you. Anything Robin? Thank you.
18	Conerly:	That's it.
19	Lightfoot:	I have a, I have a very just elementary question
20		and maybe the, maybe the architect is the one that
21		can answer it. It seems silly but originally I had
22		heard one of the comments from the Design Review
23		Subcommittee about the, the laundry room and the
24		gym being on the upper floor and, you know, I was
	I	

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

9

Bornstein:

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Lightfoot:

Lightfoot:

Bornstein:

Bornstein: 24

Okay.

Absolutely, yes.

look at all the community space and all of that, I thought well, gee, how nice, put it in your laundry and, you know, go and have a cup of coffee or whatever, but I just wondered do...what do you do extra since that is above apartments? Whether it's the gym or the laundry, what do you do extra so there's no disturbance below?

thinking that doesn't seem right. However, when I

Hi, my name is Lise again. You know, this is something that we did consider and it was brought up in one of the early meetings with the neighbors, and so we have been asking around. We would engage in an acoustic consultant whose specialty this is, but in the past our experience has been that there are isolators that we can introduce, there are thicker underlayments that we can introduce to the floor assembly that helped mitigate the vibration and noise, and that typically works and, and there's like...they would help us with the specs but it's, it's a fairly straightforward mitigation.

Okay. You, you are taking that into consideration?

Oh, absolutely.

1	Lightfoot:	Okay. Thanks.
2	Jones:	I'd like to ask a quick question. Actually, that's
3		a good question too, but following up on that. Was
4		the question that was raised at the Design Review
5		Subcommittee by the otherby I don't know who it
6		was, but the person who brought this up about the
7		laundry and the gym, was it a question of noise or
8		was it a question of access?
9	Slansky:	My understanding was a question of noise and
10		vibration.
11	Jones:	Okay, great, thank you.
12	Altschul:	Donald?
13	DeLuccio:	Nothing.
14	Aghaei:	Yeah, I, I was at the meeting. I think it was also
15		an issue of plumbing, 'cause people were worried
16		that if, you know, for whatever reason the plumbing
17		backed up that there'd be a leak in the units
18		below, so that was the concern, but that was more,
19		you know, that's a practical issue of Building &
20		Safety (talking over).
21	DeLuccio:	Yeah, my, my question had to do with, there's a
22		couple of conditions I guess Commissioner Lightfoot
23		is proposing that, that go into the resolution.
24		You okay, you're okay with those?
1	i .	

1	Lightfoot:	Yes.
2	DeLuccio:	And when do you plan on starting construction and,
3		and asking folks to relocate?
4	Slansky:	We currently anticipatingcurrently anticipate
5		giving notices to vacate withI mean I want to
6		say within the next 30 days, but we're not going to
7		give people notices on Christmas Eve.
8	DeLuccio:	You already have theyou're not going to give
9		them notices
10	Slansky:	I said we're not giving peop-, I, I want to say in
11		the next 30 days or so, but we're not giving people
12		notices on Christmas Eve.
13	DeLuccio:	Oh, okay. Nice of you.
14	Slansky:	Weif people get, people get 120 days and then
15		any eligible tenants which are tenants who are 62
16		and older or disabled can extend the term for one
17		year.
18	DeLuccio:	To stay there.
19	Slansky:	So we don'twe do not anticipate starting
20		construction until December of next year.
21	DeLuccio:	Okay and my other question is, the conditionwhen
22		theywhen you give notice, is there some kind of
23		packet you give them 'cause how do theyI think
24		everybody wouldobviously they would probably
l l	1	

1		know it, that they can express an interest and move
2		back in, but they have to give that to you in
3		writing within six months if they have an interest
4		to, to come back, isbut is that something that
5		you, you explain to them when they leave?
6	Slansky:	Yes. This, this is a new, newly presented
7		condition to us, but we absolutely have no problem
8		including that in the relocation package.
9	DeLuccio:	Is that something you, you do anyway? That you
10		plan to do anyway?
11	Slansky:	Yes.
12	DeLuccio:	And I maywhat I'm saying is it documented in
13		writing when you, when you give your notice to
14		them, the 120 day notice is it?
15	Slansky:	Yes.
16	DeLuccio:	Well longer to age 50seniors, etc., do you put
17		that in writing that they, they can express an
18		interest to come back?
19	Slansky:	Yeah.
20	DeLuccio:	Okay, thank you.
21	Lightfoot:	Okay, Jesse, also along those lines, you sent me,
22		you sent me the, the fact sheet and that's what I
23		used to serve as a basis for what I thought should
24		go into the resolution. Because as this project

has gone along, what really garnered the community support was the fact that, you know, that you're quaranteeing that all of the existing residents can So there is several points on the, on the go back. fact sheet that you gave me and the language that is going into this, into this condition is a little more vague, which I'm not really that happy about, but along the lines of what Donald was asking, I think that we need to know that there is a process in place by which no one is going to fall through the cracks. You know it's like, that opt out, you know, the choice whether you can opt out versus have to positively opt in. So I don't know exactly what the process is, but I would like the condition that we have to be a little more, a little more concrete. Would you be opposed, opposed to that? No, we're not opposed. Okay, very good, thank you. Were you thinking maybe that there be...in the packet some kind of form that they could fill out that they could present that would clearly

Lightfoot:

23

24

Slansky:

Buckner:

Lightfoot:

Yes, and, and almost, you know, and I would rather see it be something where they would have to say

demonstrate their interest in writing.

1		that they did not want to come back. You know, or,
2		or it has to go, it has to go through rent
3		stabilization or, you know, something like that.
4	Slansky:	My only concern with having it be an opt out
5		instead of an opt in is that the demand for these
6		units is overwhelming, so to hold a unit and then
7		find out that someone doesn't want it
8	Lightfoot:	Oh, no, no, no, I meant like immediately. I, I
9		didn't mean like down the, you know, down the road
10		and I don't know whether rent stabilization could
11		be theyou know, could oversee that or whatever.
12		I'd just like something a little more concrete in
13		the condition.
14	DeLuccio:	Yes, Iwhen I was asking, you know, is that when
15		you give them their notice, their 120 day notice,
16		that it'sand it's part of that noticing.
17	Slansky:	Absolutely.
18	DeLuccio:	And they're obviously going to receive that notice
19		and do they need to sign that, acknowledge that
20		they received it, the notice?
21	Slansky:	I believe the answer is yes, but I don't (talking
22		over).
23	DeLuccio:	Yeah, I would rather 'cause then'cause you're
24		going to notify them and then you're going to make
	1	

1 a payment to them. 2 Slansky: Yes. DeLuccio: So they obviously...yeah, okay. And Elizabeth 3 Savage is here from Rent Stabilization, can she 4 5 perhaps (talking over). 6 Lightfoot: Yes, maybe, maybe (talking over) staff can help us 7 out with this. 8 DeLuccio: Yeah. Thank you. 9 Lightfoot: Thank you, Jesse. Good evening, good evening Commissioners, it's nice 10 Savage: 11 to see you all again and Mr. Chair as well. 12 Elizabeth Savage, Director of Rent Stab-, of Human 13 Services and Rent Stabilization. There are two 14 factors in a condition. One is making the condition and the other is the comfort level that 15 it would be fulfilled. This developer at 1234 16 17 Hayworth, when it was the fully Ellis Building by a 18 private developer, pursued every prior resident and 19 found many, many, many of them, as much as 20 possible, that had other tragic situations. 21 of the prior residents had passed away, but as soon 22 as they got the property, they were able to bring 23 back, I think it was seven or eight residents to

1234 Hayworth, some of whom are still there today.

24

So they have a track record in being able to do this, which is important. You can add a condition if you want to, that's your prerogative, but you know, an opting out or opting in, the assurance is that, that people have a full opportunity to, to come back to a high quality product and this developer can bring us that. They've assured the community publicly over and over again if they violate that, it's not a good thing. They wouldn't do that. We have...I have confidence in their capacity to do this. The work that they did in bringing folks back at 1234 Hayworth I have to tell you was extraordinary. I go to housing conferences, I know what they do and don't do, and, and they provided much care for who had been West Hollywood residents to have them come back. that's my two cents on it. If you want us to interact with the Housing Corp on this further, we can do that. We work with them closely, regularly on these things. If there are any blips or things like that, we stay in close communication additionally. So if there's a condition or some sort of oversight you want us to do, we typically don't do that. Governing bodies don't govern the

developer in that way.

DeLuccio:

Conerly:

And it's in the record this evening actually.

walk that our tenants get to walk with us and that

our relocation consultant follows and we're happy

We also have...because we're using Federal funds in the project, we have a very detailed relocation plan, which we're happy to share with you and it really is the, the path, the path that we have to

10 | Altschul:

Is that it, Sheila?

to share that with you.

Lightfoot:

Yeah, I, I think maybe we might be able to tighten up the language just, just a little bit. It says, it says current tenant shall retain the right to move into units in the new structure upon certification of occupancy so long as interest to move in is expressed in writing by the tenant to the applicant within six months of being issued a Notice to Vacate. That sounds, that sounds like the, the residents have to take it upon themselves to write a letter in the proper structure, you know, and get that off. That doesn't sound like it's something that's part of the package where, you know, they can check a box and say yes, they want to come back and I'd like to see it something

24

more like that. 1 You're having expressed the concern tonight will 2 Savage: make us extra vigilant about it. Remember that the 3 Housing Division signs off on the Certificate of 4 5 Occupancy and we would not sign it until we had assurances and some paperwork from them, so I 6 7 would, I would say don't worry. They're not going to risk their public reputation and we're not going 8 9 to let people fall through the cracks. Lightfoot: 10 Yes, and I do know how to go, go back and watch 11 videos of these, of these meetings, so thank you 12 very much. And if I could just add on to that, the, the way 13 Palmer: that the condition is worded, it does not preclude 14 15 a form being provided in the packet which would allow a resident to check a box and just sign their 16 17 That can be done as well. name. I would...personally I would...I think that would 18 Buckner: 19 be a worthwhile thing to do so that that...we have 20 very specific because I would hate for somebody not 21 be able or be uncomfortable about signing, sending 22 a letter, making sure it has the right

word...verbiage in it to indicate that they are

interested in returning.

1 Lightfoot: Thank you, Sue. Yeah, I think so. Altschul: Do you want Ms. Savage to hold their hand and make 2 the mark? 3 Buckner: No. 4 No, that wouldn't be necessary but if we could 5 Lightfoot: change the language somehow to make it, you know, a 6 7 form, return the form that's included, that would be included in their package or something like 8 9 that. Could you help us here? Palmer: 10 Sure. The condition is drafted just to say that 11 something in writing needs to be received and that 12 really preserves the record so that, you know, the 13 units aren't being held without some sort of 14 evidence that the unit is desired by a person who 15 would, would fill that unit, so it's balancing, you know, a couple of different needs here and, you 16 17 know, again the requirement that it's in writing 18 doesn't preclude what it sounds like you are 19 looking for which is just the easiest way possible for.... 20 Lightfoot: 21 Okay. Okay, but how about that, you know, 22 that...the provided form, the provided form 23 expressing the applicant's desire be returned 24 with...in six months, something like that.

1	Palmer:	Yes, we can
2	Lightfoot:	The provided form, a provided form, somethingcan
3		we change it like that?
4	Palmer:	Yes, we, we can definitely add in a reference to a
5		form that will be provided with the, the relocation
6		package.
7	Lightfoot:	Okay.
8	Buckner:	And it should say six months after they issue the
9		notice has been (INAUDIBLE). Itthe language of
10		being issued a notice, it should be within six
11		months after issued a Notice to Vacate. That's
12		much clearer I think.
13	Dimond:	We can just add a sentence after that that states
14		that a form shall be (talking over).
15	Altschul:	Sheila, is that sufficient?
16	Lightfoot:	Yeah.
17	Altschul:	All right and is there any more, is there any more
18		discussion or any more comments?
19	DeLuccio:	I move the item.
20	Altschul:	Let's then close the public testimony portion of
21		the public hearing.
22	DeLuccio:	I made a motion to approve the project with the
23		conditions, outlined condition 1.7, modified, with
24		your modified language, condition 1.8 and condition
1	i .	

6.14 and condition 6.15 and City Attorney, we don't 1 2 need to bring this...this does not need to come back to us, right? 'Cause you can incorporate this 3 right into the resolution. 4 That's correct. With the, the caveat that I just 5 Palmer: want to read the exact language into the record so 6 7 that it's clear. I would propose revising condition 1.7 to read, current tenants shall retain 8 9 the right to move into units in the new structure 10 upon Certificate of Occupancy so long as interest to move in is expressed in writing by the tenant to 11 12 the applicant within six months of receipt of a 13 Notice to Vacate the existing premises which may be 14 satisfied by completing and returning a form to be 15 provided in the relocation package. DeLuccio: Great. 16 17 Altschul: Very good. Lightfoot: Yes, that's wonderful. 18 19 DeLuccio: The only thing I was struggling with on this was I 20 know that there was a notice, somebody, I'm sorry, at least one, was it a tenant of the building wrote 21 22 a notice or some tenants about waiving the 23 condition in here having to do with prefer-, not 24 allowing permits, parking permits. My preference

would be just to leave the condition in here. 1 2 don't really feel I'd want to take it out. I just think it's going to start a precedent and I would 3 imagine if it's an affordable housing building, are 4 5 all 22 spaces going to be used anyway? closed the public hearing, so you can't really 6 7 answer the question and also there is an opportunity to, to get I think a generous amount of 8 9 guest parking permits each year. So.... Lightfoot: 10 Oh, actually, you know, I think this, this might be 11 a good time to, to make a recommendation because I 12 do not think that the daily parking passes are now 13 available at Plummer Park. Can someone confirm 14 whether or not that's the case? Dimond: We don't know at this point. 15 Lightfoot: Well you know, because if someone has a car and 16 17 they're going to be parking there, they can 18 certainly drive to get one of the, one of the daily 19 parking passes. If someone does not own a car and 20 they have a spot, then their guests can park in 21 their spot. So you know, I think actually this 22 might...you know, they're better off than some of 23 the other units. But I think that we should make a 24 recommendation that parking permits become

1		available at Plummer Park for people to pick up. I
2		think now it's City Hall, the Sheriff's Station and
3		Kings Road parking lot. So just as an aside maybe
4		we can make that as a recommendation to the
5		Council.
б	DeLuccio:	Was that partwouldn't be part of this
7		resolution?
8	Lightfoot:	No, no.
9	DeLuccio:	I guess it's an aside.
10	Lightfoot:	No.
11	DeLuccio:	And, and, and also as far as, obviously I made a
12		motion to, to approve their project. I think it's
13		a wonderful project and we need, we need that
14		additional housing and, and it's so well designed
15		so congratulations to the Housing Corporation and
16		to all the tenants and hopefully they'll all
17		return.
18	Aghaei:	And I second the motion.
19	DeLuccio:	Thank you.
20	Lightfoot:	Yes, and thank you for such a beautiful project.
21	Altschul:	All right, everybody vote please.
22	Jones:	I actuallyI just want to be clear on the
23		parking. So we are not moving forward with
24		preferential parking?

1 DeLuccio: No, we're leaving...no, we're not. We're leaving the condition in here where.... 2 Condition that.... 3 Jones: DeLuccio: They cannot, they cannot have parking permits, 4 5 preferential parking. Altschul: We have five votes, one more please. 6 7 DeLuccio: They cannot park, they cannot have parking permits, preferential parking permits but they can have 8 9 visitor parking permits. Altschul: 10 We have five votes, one more please. Thank you. 11 Motion passed unanimously. Thank you all very 12 much. David? 13 Gillig: The Resolution the Planning Commission just 14 approved memorializes the Commission's final action 15 on this matter. This action is subject to appeal to the City Council. Appeals must be submitted 16 17 within 10 calendar days from this date to the City 18 Clerk's office. Appeals must be in writing and 19 accompanied by the required fees. The City Clerk's 20 Office can provide appeal forms and information 21 about waiver of fees. Altschul: 22 Item 11.A. was passed to the Consent Calendar. 23 Item 11.B, the Planning Commission meeting 24 scheduled for the next calendar year. David, is

that you? 1 2 ITEM 11.B. PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING SCHEDULE FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2016 3 DeGrazia: There is no presentation. If the Commission feels 4 5 comfortable with the dates, they can go ahead and adopt that and if there are changes, you can let us 6 7 know as well. 8 DeLuccio: Is it...well right now we're adopting is the only 9 one you canceled. You don't have any cancellations 10 on that, do you? The first of January only. Gillig: Yeah, that's all we have at this time. 11 12 Traditionally throughout the year we will ask the 13 Commission regarding specific holidays and dates as 14 we get closer depending on how busy the schedule 15 is. 16 DeLuccio: Okay, sounds fine. I'm fine with that. That's fine. 17 Altschul: DeLuccio: 18 Yeah. 19 Altschul: All right, moving on. Unfinished Business, 12.A. 20 was adopted...was moved to Consent Calendar and 21 passed. No excluded Consent Calendar. David, your 22 update? 23 DeGrazia: No real update tonight. I just wanted to let 24 everyone know our next two meetings are canceled,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the 17th of 2015 and then January 7th, 2016. Our next meeting will be on January 21st, 2016.

Buckner: Well that means Happy New Year's everybody.

4 | Altschul: Happy New Year.

Buckner: And, and (talking over) holiday season, Merry

Christmas, Happy Hanukah, all that.

Altschul: David, any public comment? David, any public

comment?

ITEM 15. PUBLIC COMMENT

Gillig: Yes, we have two, our first speaker is Don Morin.

Morin: Again, Dan Morin, City of West Hollywood, and all

you lovely people out in the dark. I was very

impressed by the zeal of the opponents of the

towers at St. Ambrose. I just wish that that zeal

would also...and, and this...and the, the comments

from the members of the Commission regarding the

individuals who showed up tonight in force to

oppose Verizon, which shot themselves in the foot

royally, would be translated into...oh, before I

have a senior moment, I digress for a moment and

no, I'll just do this for the public record because

the WHCHC, I hope I said that right, it took me

forever to say GLCSC when I was at Aid's Project

Los Angeles. That was about three months but now

they're changed the name. Anyway, this 22 unit apartment building on, on Detroit, I would hope that the monies or the WHCHC would definitely petition the City Council to approve construction of affordable housing at the lot the City now owns at the corner, at the southwest corner of Crescent Heights and Santa Monica Boulevard, the former Walgreen's site. I've heard rumors that that's going to be possibly a parking lot, which is appalling to me. The City is in desperate need of affordable housing and I can't imagine a better location than to have it go up on there. So that's my recommendation to the people who are not in the room, WHCHC, to the members of the Commission, to the City Council, etc., and so on. But getting back to the zeal of the people who are opposed to the tower, I was ambivalent when I came into the I, as I said, I think Verizon did an incredibly poor job on a presentation for a multibillion dollar company, but I caution the people who came up to me with positive comments after I had some comments of my own that Verizon unlike people who appeal your decisions to move construction along, Verizon has enormous wealth to

21

22

23

24

get the best possible attorneys you can imagine and they are certainly not going to take this lying I just wish that the people that were here down. who were applauding, who were congratulating each other, I said to a few of them, where are you when the Commission...when you people approved the demolition of affordable housing that's just coming down the pike like there's no tomorrow. wish that they would translate that energy in trying to get affordable housing in the City. It's just a very...I guess if I doesn't affect you personally, unfortunately there's no motivation and I wish you a very happy holiday. Again, now that there's only us intimate group here.

Altschul: Thank you. Michael Wojtkielevicz.

Wojtkielevicz: So one last time, Michael Wojtkielevicz, City of West Hollywood. I want to thank you all, especially those Commissioners who were here a year ago because ironically tonight or this date pretty much signifies a year which I came before you on the issue of Saul Towers. Not that I needed to because my circumstance was that AT&T needed no Planning Commission review. AT&T was taking advantage of the fact that a mixed use development

24

came upon the boulevard at Sierra Bonita, zoned C-3, three stories, 30 feet, got double bonus, five stories, 50 feet and that was their sweet spot, 50 They needed no public, no public review, no feet. public notification and I came before you after presenting a petition to City Council on November 3rd, 2014 of 200 signatures following it up on the 17th of November stating that in equity that happens when you force people onto the boulevard and what is happening in... I was hoping to talk about this on the aging in place thing is because the City is changing. The village is gone and some of the people who are being... I use the word forced, it's an option. You know, when, when you're, you're pushed onto the boulevard, low income, next year you're going to have somebody selling units of senior at Avalon Bay. It's 24/7 noise. Your health especially for those disabled which is already compromised leads more likely to stress, hypertension, diabetes, and other things. So I think this, this is something that I'm going to talk to Elizabeth Savage about more, but I'm going to be bringing it up as, as to the appropriateness for some, some, some segments of

1		our society being put onto the boulevard. The best
2		thing about the Blue Hibiscus is this, everyone
3		gets to come back and trust me, it wasn't a typo
4		last year, I was in the meetings. The person, the
5		person who said how important affordable housing is
6		to her, and I get that because it is to me, they
7		voted for the potential permanent displacement of
8		longstanding West Hollywood residents. I took a
9		stance. I was the only one. It wasn't easy. It's
10		cost me and that's okay, because it was worth it,
11		but the second thing best about Blue Hibiscus is
12		that it's not on the boulevard. So you made a very
13		special evening even much more special. You could
14		tell that you all were enjoying it and so were we.
15		Thank you.
16	Altschul:	Thank you. Items from Commissioners? Sheila?

ITEM 16. ITEMS FROM COMMISSIONERS

18 | Lightfoot: Merry Christmas and Happy New Year.

Altschul: Thank you, Sue?

20 Buckner: Same here, look forward to seeing you next year.

Altschul: David?

17

19

21

22 Aghaei: Same here, thank you.

23 | Altschul: Donald?

24 | **DeLuccio:** Ditto.

Planning Commission Minutes December 3, 2015 Page 173 of 175

Altschul: Stacy? Jones: Same. ITEM 17. ADJOURNMENT Altschul: And same for me. This meeting is adjourned until January 21st, Thursday, in this room, 2016. //kmrg

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

PASSED, **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** by the Planning Commission of the City of West Hollywood at a regular meeting held this 21st day of January, 2016 by the following vote:

AYES:

Commissioner:

Buckner, DeLuccio, Jones, Lightfoot, Vice-Chair

Aghaei, Chair Altschul.

NOES:

Commissioner:

None.

ABSENT:

Commissioner:

None.

ABSTAIN: Commissioner:

Huebner.

JOHN ALTSCHUL, CHAIRPERSON

1 attround

ATTEST:

DAVID K. GILLIG, COMMISSION SECRETARY

CERTIFICATE AND

DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

I, KIMBERLY MCVAY, hereby declare as follows:

I am located at 21220 Devonshire Street, Suite 202-B, Chatsworth, California 91311. I am the person who transcribed the foregoing Planning Commission Meeting minutes of December 3, 2015, in its entirety.

I have transcribed this transcript to the best of my ability and certify that this written transcript is a true and accurate account thereof. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties in the foregoing matter or in any way interested in the outcome of the matter set forth in this transcript.

EXECUTED this 31st day of December 2015 at Chatsworth, California.

KIMBERLY MCVAY

Written Communications, Inc.