#### Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) - Summary Filed for the July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 Period

Name of Successor Agency:		West Hollywood							
Name of County:		Los Angeles							
Currer	nt Period Requested Fu	nding for Outstanding Debt or Obliga	ation	Six-Month	n Total				
	Enforceable Obligation		t Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Funding						
Α	Sources (B+C+D):			\$	4,072				
В	Bond Proceeds Fu		-						
С	Reserve Balance F	unding (ROPS Detail)			-				
D	D Other Funding (ROPS Detail)								
Ε	Enforceable Obligation	ons Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G	G):	\$	2,673,871				
F	Non-Administrative	Costs (ROPS Detail)			2,423,871				
G	Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)								
н	H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):								
Succe	ssor Agency Self-Repo	rted Prior Period Adjustment to Curre	ent Period RPTTF Requested Funding						
1	Enforceable Obligation	s funded with RPTTF (E):			2,673,871				
J	J Less Prior Period Adjustment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments Column S)								
K	K Adjusted Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding (I-J)								
Count	y Auditor Controller Re	ported Prior Period Adjustment to Cu	urrent Period RPTTF Requested Funding						
L		s funded with RPTTF (E):	·		2,673,871				
М	Less Prior Period Adju	stment (Report of Prior Period Adjustme	ents Column AA)		_				
N	Adjusted Current Per	iod RPTTF Requested Funding (L-M)			2,673,871				
	ation of Oversight Board	Chairman: of the Health and Safety code, I							
		a true and accurate Recognized	Name		Title				
Obligation	tion Payment Schedule for	or the above named agency.	/s/						
			Signature		Date				

# Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 14-15A - ROPS Detail July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

						(Report Amounts in v								
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	K L	M N	0		Р
			Contract/Agreement	Contract/Agreement				Total Outstanding		Non-Redevelopment Property (Non-RPTTF)	Funding Source Tax Trust Fund RP	ITF		
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Execution Date	Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Debt or Obligation \$ 113,729,383	Retired	Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance \$ - \$ -	Other Funds         Non-Admin           \$ 4,072         \$ 2,423,871	Admin \$ 250,000	Six-M	Month Total 2,677,943
•	1 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds (Non-Housing Principal)	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	9/1/2003	9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Principal due on bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	Redevelopment Project Area	-	Y				\$	
	2 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds (Non-Housing Interest)	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10		9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Interest due on bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	-	Y				\$	
	3 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds (Non-Housing Fees)	Fees	9/1/2003	9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Fees due on bonds issued to fund nor housing projects	Redevelopment Project Area	-	Y				\$	
	4 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds (Housing Principal)	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10		9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Principal due on bonds issued to fund housing projects	Redevelopment Project Area	-	Y				\$	
	5 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds (Housing Interest)	Before 12/31/10	9/1/2003	9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Interest due on bonds issued to fund housing projects	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	-	Y				\$	
	5 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds (Housing Fees)	Fees	9/1/2003	9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Fees due on bonds issued to fund housing projects	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	-	Y				\$	
	7 2011 Tax Allocation Non-Housing Bonds Series A (Principal)	12/31/10	3/1/2011	9/1/2042	Bank of New York	Principal due on bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	Redevelopment Project Area	28,565,000	N		275,000		\$	275,000
	3 2011 Tax Allocation Non-Housing Bonds Series A (Interest)	12/31/10	3/1/2011	9/1/2042	Bank of New York	Interest due on bonds issued to fund non-housing projects	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	43,215,769	N		1,033,944		\$	1,033,944
	2011 Tax Allocation Non-Housing Bonds Series A (Fees)	Fees	3/1/2011	9/1/2042	Bank of New York	Fees due on bonds issued to fund nor housing projects	Redevelopment Project Area	44,520	N		-		\$	
	2011 Tax Allocation Housing Bonds Series B (Principal)	12/31/10	3/1/2011	9/1/2042	Bank of New York	Principal due on bonds issued to fund housing projects	Redevelopment Project Area	8,790,000	N		50,000		\$	50,000
	1 2011 Tax Allocation Housing Bonds Series B (Interest)	12/31/10	3/1/2011	9/1/2042	Bank of New York	Interest due on bonds issued to fund housing projects	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	18,230,319	N		411,744		\$	411,744
	2 2011 Tax Allocation Housing Bonds Series B (Fees)		3/1/2011	9/1/2042	Bank of New York	Fees due on bonds issued to fund housing projects	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	44,520	N		-		\$	
15	5 ALA – Janet Witkin Center Project (Oversight [Employee] Costs)	Project Management Costs		6/30/2014		Direct employee costs to manage and oversee the terms and conditions of the agreements, including project management, during pre-development and construction.	Redevelopment Project Area t		N				\$	
	7 La Brea Courtyard Project (Permanent Financing Loan)	OPA/DDA/Constructi on		9/1/2042	West Hollywood Community Housing Corporation	Permanent financing for a 32-unit low and moderate income affordable housing project.	Redevelopment Project Area		N					
18	3 La Brea Courtyard Project (Oversight [Employee] Costs)	Project Management Costs	2/1/2012	6/30/2014	Successor Housing Agency	Direct employee costs to manage and oversee the terms and conditions of the agreements, including project management, during pre-development and construction.	Redevelopment Project Area t		N					
	West Hollywood Community Housing Corporation - Disabled Access Improvements and Rehabilitation of Low and Moderate Income Affordable Housing Projects			9/1/2042	Corporation	Construction and permanent financing for the completion of renovations to existing low and moderate income affordable housing projects owned by the West Hollywood Community Housing Corporation in the East Side Project Area.	Redevelopment Project Area		N					
20	Phase 1 - Plummer Park Master Plan (Hard & Soft Improvement Costs)	OPA/DDA/Constructi on	3/1/2011	9/1/2042	City of West Hollywood	Implementation of Phase 1 of the Plummer Park Master Plan, including renovation and expansion of the park (located within the East Side Redevelopment Project Area).			N					

# Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 14-15A - ROPS Detail July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

						, ,	,									
A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0		P
										Non-Redev	elopment Property (Non-RPTTF)	Funding Source Tax Trust Fund	RP <sup>*</sup>	TTF		
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	Six-Mo	onth Total
21	Phase 1 - Plummer Park Master Plan (Oversight [Employee] Costs)	Project Management Costs	2/1/2012	6/30/2014	City of West Hollywood	implementation of Phase 1 of the	East Side Redevelopment Project Area		N							
23	Audit Services Required by AB x1 26, as Amended by AB 1484	Dissolution Audits	8/6/2012	6/30/2015	Lance, Soll & Lunghard	Annual Financial Audit	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	7,867	N			4,072	3,795		\$	7,867
	Writ of Mandate in Los Angeles Unified School District v. County of Los Angeles	Litigation	6/15/2012	6/30/2015	Los Angeles Unified School District	The difference in the amount WHCDC paid and the amount the County calculates as owed that is consistent with the Court's order, plus 7% interest and less the amount diverted by the county and to be paid out.	Redevelopment Project Area	-	N				-		\$	
25	Successor Agency Administrative Costs/Budget	Admin Costs	7/1/2014	6/30/2015	Successor Agency to the West Hollywood Community Development Commission	Various administrative costs for continued operation of the Successor Agency to the West Hollywood Community Development Commission during the 2014-15 fiscal year.	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	250,000	N					250,000	\$	250,000
	2013 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds (Principal)	Bonds Issued After 12/31/10	12/24/2013	9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Principal due on bonds issued to refund the 2003 tax allocation bonds, as part of the LA County pooled refunding program	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	9,370,000	N				425,000		\$	425,000
	2013 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds (Interest)	Bonds Issued After 12/31/10	12/24/2013	9/1/2033	Bank of New York	Interest due on bonds issued to refund the 2003 tax allocation bonds, as part of the LA County pooled refunding program	Redevelopment Project Area	5,166,388	N				222,138		\$	222,138
	2013 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds (Fees)	Fees	12/24/2013	9/1/2033	Bank of New York	due on the bonds issued to refund the 2003 tax allocation bonds, as part of the LA County pooled refunding	Project Area	40,000					2,000		\$	2,000
	2013 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds (Fees)	Fees	12/24/2013	9/1/2033	Union Bank	Fees for the JPA Trustee, due on the bonds issued to refund the 2003 tax allocation bonds, as part of the LA County pooled refunding program	East Side Redevelopment Project Area	5,000	N				250		\$	250

### Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 14-15A - Report of Cash Balances (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

			\ \ \	THOUSE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	,			
	suant to Health and Safety Code section 34177(I), Redevelopment Property	Tax Trust Fund (F	RPTTF) may be lis	ted as a source of pay	ment on the ROPS	S, but only to the exten	no other funding s	ource is available or when payment from property
taxı	evenues is required by an enforceable obligation.			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	ı
		Rond P	roceeds	Reserve I	Ralanco	Other	RPTTF	
		Bolla F	Toceeus	iveseive i		Other	KFIII	†
					Prior ROPS RPTTF			
		Bonds Issued	Bonds Issued	Prior ROPS period	distributed as	Rent,		
		on or before	on or after	balances and DDR	reserve for next	Grants,	Non-Admin and	
	Cash Balance Information by ROPS Period	12/31/10	01/01/11	balances retained	bond payment	Interest, Etc.	Admin	Comments
	PS 13-14A Actuals (07/01/13 - 12/31/13)							
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/13)							The \$2,439,258 RPTTF balance on 7/1/13
	Note that for the RPTTF, 1 + 2 should tie to columns J and O in the Report of Prior Period Adjustments (PPAs)							includes \$2,419,624 in RPTTF funding for the
	or rior roma rajustinome (r r rio)							ROPS 13-14A period (received on 6/2/13) and \$19,634 in unspent RPTTF from the 12-13B
			32,066,405			4,072	2,439,258	
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 12/31/13)							
	Note that the RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 13-14A distribution from the County Auditor-Controller during June 2013		6,231					
3	Expenditures for ROPS 13-14A Enforceable Obligations (Actual		0,231					
	12/31/13)							
	Note that for the RPTTF, 3 + 4 should tie to columns L and Q in the Report							
	of PPAs						2,419,403	
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 12/31/13)  Note that the RPTTF amount should only include the retention of reserves							
	for debt service approved in ROPS 13-14A							
5	ROPS 13-14A RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment							
	Note that the RPTTF amount should tie to column S in the Report of PPAs.			No entry required			004	
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance			Π		Γ	221	
"	C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	<b>s</b> -	\$ 32,072,636	<b>e</b>	-	\$ 4,072	\$ 19,634	
		<del>-</del>	\$ 32,072,030		<u> </u>	4,072	<b>3</b> 19,034	
	PS 13-14B Estimate (01/01/14 - 06/30/14)							
'	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 01/01/14) (C, D, E, G = 4 + 6, F = H4 + F4 + F6, and H = 5 + 6)							
<u> </u>		-	\$ 32,072,636	-	-	\$ 4,072	\$ 19,855	
8	Revenue/Income (Estimate 06/30/14)  Note that the RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 13-14B distribution							
	from the County Auditor-Controller during January 2014						1,811,707	
9	Expenditures for 13-14B Enforceable Obligations (Estimate 06/30/14)						·	
<u></u>							1,831,341	
10	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Estimate 06/30/14)  Note that the RPTTF amounts may include the retention of reserves for							
	debt service approved in ROPS 13-14B							
11	Ending Estimated Available Cash Balance (7 + 8 - 9 -10)	Φ.	¢ 22.070.000	¢.	¢	£ 4.070	<b>6</b> 004	
		\$ -	\$ 32,072,636	<b>-</b>	-	\$ 4,072	\$ 221	

#### Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 14-15A - Report of Prior Period Adjustments

Reported for the ROPS 13-14A (July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34186 (a)
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars) ROPS 13-14A CAC PPA: To be completed by the CAC upon submittal of the ROPS 14-15A by the SA to Finance and ROPS 13-14A Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS 13-14A (July through December 2013) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 14-15A (July through December 2014) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the CAC. Note that CACs will need to enter their own formulas at the line item level pursuant to the manner in which they calculate the PPA. Also note that the admin amounts do not need to be listed at the line item level and may be entered the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. as a lump sum. AA Non-RPTTF Expenditures RPTTF Expenditures RPTTF Expenditures Net CAC Non-Net SA Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 14-15A Requested RPTTF) Admin and Admir (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 14-15A Requested RPTTF) Available RPTTF (ROPS 13-14A stributed + all othe available as of 07/1/13) Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the Available RPTTF (ROPS 13-14A stributed + all other ailable as of 07/1/13 Difference (If K is less than L the difference is zero) Net Difference CAC Comments 2,294,624 180,882 183,420 1,622 2,294,624 \$ 180,882 183,420 1,622 2,294,403 180,882 183,420 1,622 2,294,624 180,882 125,000 125.000 \$ 125,000 \$ 125,000 \$ (Non-Housing Fees 2003 Tax Allocatio 79,118 79,118 Bonds (Housing Principal) 5 2003 Tax Allocation 80,228 80.228 80.228 80.228 5 2003 Tax Allocatio Bonds (Housing Interest) 6 2003 Tax Allocatio Bonds (Housing Fees) 7 2011 Tax Allocatio Non-Housing Bond Series A (Principal) 8 2011 Tax Allocatio Non-Housing Bonds Series A (Interest) 9 2011 Tax Allocatio Non-Housing Bonds 265.000 265,000 265,000 265.000 1,038,250 Non-Housing Bond Housing Bonds Series B (Principal) 2011 Tax Allocatio Housing Bonds 412,756 412,756 412,756 412,756 Loan)
5 ALA – Janet Witkii Center Project (Oversight (Oversight [Employee] Costs)

16 La Brea Courtyard Project (Pre-Development Loan)

17 La Brea Courtyard Project Project (Permanent Financing Loan) B La Brea Courtyard Project (Oversight [Employee] Costs)

19 West Hollywood
Community Housin
Corporation Disabled Access Improvements and Rehabilitation of Lov Rehabilitation of Lo
and Moderate
Income Affordable
Housing Projects
20 Phase 1 - Plumme
Park Master Plan
(Hard & San Costs
11 Phase 1 - Plumme
Park Master Plan
(Oversight
Employee] Costs)
25 PY11-12 (July 201
Jan 2012) Passethrough Payments Jan 2012) Passthrough Payments

23 Audit Services
Required by AB x1
26, as Amended by
AB 1484

24 Writ of Mandate in
Los Angeles Unifier
School District v.
County of Los 7,638 7,638 \$ 7,638 7,417 \$ Angeles
Successor Agence
Administrative
Costs/Budget 125,000 125,000

	Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule 14-15A - Notes  July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014
Item #	Notes/Comments
1	This item was retired on December 24, 2013. The 2003 bonds were refunded as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
2	This item was retired on December 24, 2013. The 2003 bonds were refunded as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
3	This item was retired on December 24, 2013. The 2003 bonds were refunded as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
4	This item was retired on December 24, 2013. The 2003 bonds were refunded as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
5	This item was retired on December 24, 2013. The 2003 bonds were refunded as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
6	This item was retired on December 24, 2013. The 2003 bonds were refunded as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
7	No notes or comments for this enforceable obligation.
8	No notes or comments for this enforceable obligation.
9	No notes or comments for this enforceable obligation.
10	No notes or comments for this enforceable obligation.
11	No notes or comments for this enforceable obligation.
12	No notes or comments for this enforceable obligation.
15	This enforceable obligation was denied/disallowed by the State Department of Finance starting with the ROPS 3 time period; it was approved by the State Department of Finance for the ROPS 1 and 2 time periods. At this time, the Successor Agency continues to disagree with the State Department of Finance's determination regarding this enforceable obligation. The submittal of ROPS 14-15A is made under protest and is not intended, nor has the effect, of waiving the City's and Successor Agency's right to insist that the enforceable obligation is valid and should be included on ROPS 14-15A or the right to pursue legal action against the State Department of Finance, or other parties, regarding this enforceable obligation.
17	This enforceable obligation was denied/disallowed by the State Department of Finance starting with the ROPS 3 time period; it was approved by the State Department of Finance for the ROPS 1 and 2 time periods. At this time, the Successor Agency continues to disagree with the State Department of Finance's determination regarding this enforceable obligation. The submittal of ROPS 14-15A is made under protest and is not intended, nor has the effect, of waiving the City's and Successor Agency's right to insist that the enforceable obligation is valid and should be included on ROPS 14-15A or the right to pursue legal action against the State Department of Finance, or other parties, regarding this enforceable obligation.
18	This enforceable obligation was denied/disallowed by the State Department of Finance starting with the ROPS 3 time period; it was approved by the State Department of Finance for the ROPS 1 and 2 time periods. At this time, the Successor Agency continues to disagree with the State Department of Finance's determination regarding this enforceable obligation. The submittal of ROPS 14-15A is made under protest and is not intended, nor has the effect, of waiving the City's and Successor Agency's right to insist that the enforceable obligation is valid and should be included on ROPS 14-15A or the right to pursue legal action against the State Department of Finance, or other parties, regarding this enforceable obligation.
19	This enforceable obligation was denied/disallowed by the State Department of Finance starting with the ROPS 3 time period; it was approved by the State Department of Finance for the ROPS 1 and 2 time periods. At this time, the Successor Agency continues to disagree with the State Department of Finance's determination regarding this enforceable obligation. The submittal of ROPS 14-15A is made under protest and is not intended, nor has the effect, of waiving the City's and Successor Agency's right to insist that the enforceable obligation is valid and should be included on ROPS 14-15A or the right to pursue legal action against the State Department of Finance, or other parties, regarding this enforceable obligation.
20	This enforceable obligation was denied/disallowed by the State Department of Finance starting with the ROPS 3 time period; it was approved by the State Department of Finance for the ROPS 1 and 2 time periods. At this time, the Successor Agency continues to disagree with the State Department of Finance's determination regarding this enforceable obligation. The submittal of ROPS 14-15A is made under protest and is not intended, nor has the effect, of waiving the City's and Successor Agency's right to insist that the enforceable obligation is valid and should be included on ROPS 14-15A or the right to pursue legal action against the State Department of Finance, or other parties, regarding this enforceable obligation.
21	This enforceable obligation was denied/disallowed by the State Department of Finance starting with the ROPS 3 time period; it was approved by the State Department of Finance for the ROPS 1 and 2 time periods. At this time, the Successor Agency continues to disagree with the State Department of Finance's determination regarding this enforceable obligation. The submittal of ROPS 14-15A is made under protest and is not intended, nor has the effect, of waiving the City's and Successor Agency's right to insist that the enforceable obligation is valid and should be included on ROPS 14-15A or the right to pursue legal action against the State Department of Finance, or other parties, regarding this enforceable obligation.
23	Auditing and accounting services to complete reports, as required by AB x1 26, as amended by AB 1484.
24	On June 15, 2012, a writ of mandate was issued in Los Angeles Unified School District v. City of Los Angeles, which imposes additional obligations on Los Angeles County and successor agencies within the County regarding pass-through payment calculations. First, the County Auditor-Controller must recalculate pass-through payments for each fiscal year from 2003-04 through January 31, 2012, consistent with the Court's order, and notify each successor agency of the correct amount. Successor agencies must then calculate the amount of statutory pass-through payments due to LAUSD pursuant to the writ of mandate, including 7% interest on the amount due. In June 2013, the Court ruled on the second phase of the case, siding again with LAUSD. It is unclear if the County Auditor-Controller will appeal the decision. It is anticipated that a new Writ will be issued, incorporating the decision on the second phase of the case. The amount owed by the Successor Agency must be listed on subsequent ROPS and the full amount owed must be remitted to LAUSD. It is likely the County Auditor-Controller will be required to calculate the amount owed by the Successor Agency,
	once the recalculated pass-through payment amounts are received, Successor Agency staff will place that payment amount on a subsequent ROPS.

	Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule 14-15A - Notes July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014
Item #	Notes/Comments
25	See Successor Agency Administrative Budget for the period July 2014 through June 2015 (attached). The Successor Agency is requesting the full \$250,000 administrative allowance for fiscal-year 2014-15 on ROPS 14-15A. The Successor Agency will not request any administrative allowance funding on ROPS 14-15B. As part of the refunding process for the 2003 Bonds the Successor Agency was notified by the underwriter, for the 2013 Refunding Bonds, that they would be required to request the full debt service amount (for each calendar year), for all of their bonds, on the first ROPS of each calendar year (the "B" period). With this in mind, the Successor Agency will need to request RPTTF funds to cover the full amount of debt service, for the 2015 calendar year, on ROPS 14-15B. This request would not leave enough RPTTF funding to cover the Successor Agency's \$125,000 administrative allowance for that period. With that in mind, the Successor Agency is requesting the full \$250,000 administrative allowance for fiscal-year 2014-15 in the ROPS 14-15A period. It is the Successor Agency's understanding that the County of Los Angeles had various conversations with the State Department of Finance regarding this, and the State Department of Finance was accepting of this request.
26	This item is a new enforceable obligation. It is the principal payment on the 2013 Successor Agency Refunding Bonds (which refunded the 2003 Bonds to provide debt service savings). These bonds were issued as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
27	This item is a new enforceable obligation. It is the interest payment on the 2013 Successor Agency Refunding Bonds (which refunded the 2003 Bonds to provide debt service savings). These bonds were issued as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
28	This item is a new enforceable obligation. It is the fees for the local agency trustee for the 2013 Successor Agency Refunding Bonds (which refunded the 2003 Bonds to provide debt service savings). These bonds were issued as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.
29	This item is a new enforceable obligation. It is the fees for the JPA trustee for the 2013 Successor Agency Refunding Bonds (which refunded the 2003 Bonds to provide debt service savings). These bonds were issued as part of the Los Angeles County pooled bond refunding program. The refunding was approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Resolution No. 13-011, which was subsequently approved by DOF on November 1, 2013.