APPENDIX E SEWER STUDY

SEWER AREA STUDY

FOR

Domain Apartments 7141 – 7155 Santa Monica Boulevard West Hollywood, CA

Prepared for:

GLJ Partners 9034 West Sunset Boulevard West Hollywood, CA 90069 310.547.0900

Prepared By:

PSOMAS 28480 Avenue Stanford, Suite 200 Santa Clarita, CA 91355 661.219.6000 661.775.2718 fax

October, 2012



David S. Martin

RCE 74143

Exp. 06/30/13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SE	CTION	PAGE
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	ONSITE SEWER AREA STUDY	
3.0	OFFSITE SEWER AREA STUDY	2
4.0	DISCUSSION	3
5.0	CONCLUSION	4
6.0	REFERENCES	

APPENDICIES

- Appendix 1 AREA STUDY MAPS -Sewer Area Study Map
- Appendix 2 FLOW CALCULATIONS -Flow Master Existing, Proposed, Capacity Calculations
- Appendix 3 DESIGN CRITERIA -Peak Factor City of Los Angeles -Keith Companies, 1992 Sewer Study Exhibit & Flow Calculations
- Appendix 4 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION -Land Use Maps -Architectural Plans
- Appendix 5 COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS WILL SERVE LETTER -Domain West Hollywood, May 14, 2012
- Appendix 6 SEWER CAPACITY AVAILABILITY REVIEW -City of Los Angeles, October 25, 2012

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Domain Apartments project site is located on the north side of Santa Monica Boulevard between Formosa Avenue and Detroit Street. The existing site address spans over 7141 - 7155 Santa Monica Boulevard and 1107 - 1117 Detroit Street, West Hollywood, California. The existing site contains several two-story buildings and gated surface parking. The site is zoned Commercial, Arterial (CA) per the City of West Hollywood Zoning Map and General Land Use Plan. (See Appendix 4).



The Domain Apartments is a mixed-use project with proposed restaurant and retail space on the ground floor level. Approximately 166 residential units are proposed in the 6-story building. Two levels of subterranean parking are proposed, which will provide 214 residential parking spaces and the ground level parking will provide 46 commercial parking spaces.

2.0 ONSITE SEWER AREA STUDY

The existing project site sewer discharge was calculated using the City of West Hollywood "Sewer Capacity Study Requirements." Assumed to be "commercial" the sewer generation rate of 80 gallons per day per 1,000SF was used to calculate the existing site sewer discharge.

Land Use	Area	Unit Flow (Gal/Day)	Q _{AF}
СА	57,965 SF	80 GPD/1000 S.F.	4,637 GPD (0.007 CFS)

Sewer discharge for the proposed project has been calculated using the City of West Hollywood "Sewer Capacity Study Requirements." The project components and corresponding sewer generation rates are tabulated on the following sheet.

Proposed Sewer Discharge:

Land Use	Area/Unit	Unit Flow (Gal/Day)	Q _{AF} (Avg Daily Flow)
Residential: Apt-Studio	51 DU	80	4,080 GPD
Residential: Apt 1 Bedroom	77 DU	120	9,240 GPD
Residential: Apt-2 Bedroom	38 DU	160	6,080 GPD
Retail	6,787 SF	80/1000 SF	543 GPD
Restaurant: Full Service Indoor Seating	100 SEATS*	30/SEAT	3,000 GPD
		TOTAL	22,943 GPD (0.035 CFS)

*100 seats assumed based on 2,500 sf indoor space.

Project components are based on the current architectural plans and have been included in Appendix 4.

3.0 OFFSITE SEWER AREA STUDY

Existing flows beginning at the intersection of Santa Monica Boulevard and Formosa Avenue have been used from the existing sewer area study performed for the expansion of the Warner Studio Lot by The Keith Companies, March 1992. A sewer capacity analysis is shown for the existing 8-inch sewer along Formosa Avenue from the project site to the 15-inch main in Poinsettia Place.

The existing 8-inch sewer along Formosa is within the City of West Hollywood between Santa Monica Boulevard and Romaine Street. The sewer is within the City of Los Angeles for portions south of Romaine Street. Existing pipe diameters and slopes were found from As-Built data from the City of West Hollywood and from Navigate LA for areas in the City of Los Angeles.

Tributary areas are shown in the Sewer Area Study Exhibit in Appendix 1. The land use for each tributary area was found according to the City of West Hollywood and City of Los Angeles zoning and land use maps. The corresponding sewer generation rates are shown in Table 1. The sewer generation rates are used from the "Formosa Specific Plan Project" Sewer Study by Kimley-Horn and Associates, June 2008. The average daily flows were calculated and the peak factor was applied per the corresponding city guidelines. The City of West Hollywood uses a 2.5 peak factor for pipes less than 15-inch in diameter, and 2.0 peak factor for pipes greater than 15-inch in diameter. City of Los Angeles Peak Factor is based on average dry weather flow in cubic feet per second and can be found in Appendix 3.

The existing condition sewer study analysis is shown in Table 2. Existing conditions show the segments of the 8-inch main within the City of Los Angeles, just south of Willoughby Street, are deficient and flowing near to full capacity. It should also be noted that the segment just south of Romaine Street (Manhole #96 to #95) is flowing at approximately 62% full at peak flows. Table 3 presents the proposed condition with the addition of the Domain Apartment flows.

SEWAGE LOADING FACTORS									
LAND USE DI	ESCRIPTION				AVERAGE	DAILY FLOW			
CITY OF W.H.	CITY OF L.A	DENSITY	UNITS/ACRE	UNIT FLOW (GPD)	GPD PER ACRE	CFS PER ACRE			
CC1	(FAR 1.5)	65,000 SF/ACRE	65,000 SF/ACRE	100/1,000 SF	6,500	0.01			
CR	(FAR 3.0)	131,000 SF/ACRE	131,000 SF/ACRE	100/1,000 SF	13,100	0.02			
	PF-1	PUBLIC FACILITY*			3878	0.006			
	MR1-2	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL			5170	0.008			
	R1	1DU/5,000SF	8.7 DU/ACRE	330/DU	2871	0.004			
	R2	1DU/2,500SF	17.42 DU/ACRE	330/DU	5749	0.009			
	R3	1DU/800 SF	54DU/ACRE	330/DU	17820	0.03			
	C4				3878	0.006			

*PUBLIC FACILITY IS A DWP ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION-ASSUMED LIGHT COMMERCIAL

NOTE: LOADING FACTORS BASED ON FORMOSA SPECIFIC PLAN SEWER STUDY, KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOC., 2008

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER: DOMAIN APARTMENTS 1GLJ010200 PSOMAS PREPARED BY:

Table 2: Sewer Area Study Analysis for Domain Apartments - Existing Condition

Street	Seg	ment	**	**Pipe	*Capacity	Area	Area	No. of	Zoning Coeff.	Calculated	**Cumulative Calculated	Peak Factor	Poak Flow	Commont	Flow	0.5 Pipe Size or	%	Full		Mitigatio	on
Name	MH No.	MH No.	Size (in)	Slope (%)	1/2 Full (<u><</u> 15") 3/4 Full (>15" (cfs) (cfs)	') No.	(acres)	Zone	(cfs/ac or cfs/unit)	(cfs)	Flow (cfs)	(See Note 1)	FEAKFIOW	Comment	Depth	0.75 Pipe Size	Flow Depth / Pipe Size	Calculated Flow / Capacity	Replace	Size (in)	1/2 Full Capacity (cfs)
Santa Monica Blvd						**								Existing flow based on 1992 Sewe	er						
	Х	100	8	(2.40%	0.94					0.188	0.188	2.50	0.470	Study "Warner Studios"	0.23	0.33	35%	50%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	100	99	8	(1.28%	0.68						0.188	2.50	0.470	City of West Hollywood	0.27	0.33	41%	69%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	99	98	8	(1.28%	0.68	A	1.2	CR	0.02	0.024	0.212	2.50	0.530	Data Per As-Built Records	0.29	0.33	44%	78%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	98	97	8	(1.28%	0.68	В	3.3	CC1	0.01	0.033	0.245	2.50	0.613		0.31	0.33	47%	90%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave (Romaine)	97	96	8	(2.36%	0.86	С	2.8	CR	0.02	0.056	0.301	3.00	0.903	City of Los Angeles	0.34	0.33	51%	105%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	96	95	8	(1.40%	0.66	D	0.8	PF	0.006	0.005	0.306	3.00	0.917	Data Per Navigate LA							
						E	0.6	MR1	0.008	0.005	0.311	2.95	0.916		0.41	0.33	62%	139%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave (Willoughby)	95	94	8	(0.80%	0.50	F	0.8	PF	0.006	0.005	0.315	2.95	0.930								
						G	2.7	MR1	0.008	0.022	0.337	2.95	0.994		0.54	0.33	81%	199%	Yes	12	1.48
Formosa Ave	94	93	8	(0.80%	0.50	Н	1.7	R3	0.003	0.005	0.342	2.95	1.009		0.55	0.33	83%	202%	Yes	12	1.48
Formosa Ave (Waring)	93	92	8	(2.28%	0.85		1.6	R2	0.009	0.014	0.357	2.90	1.034		0.38	0.33	57%	122%	Yes	12	2.50
Formosa Ave	92	91	8	(1.32%	0.65	J	0.7	R2	0.009	0.006	0.363	2.90	1.052		0.46	0.33	69%	162%	Yes	12	1.90
Alley	91	90	8	(0.44%	0.37	K	0.7	R2	0.009	0.006	0.369	2.90	1.070		FULL	0.33	#VALUE!	289%	Yes	12	1.10
Alley	90	89	8	(0.40%	0.36	L	0.3	C4	0.006	0.002	0.371	2.90	1.076		FULL	0.33	#VALUE!	299%	Yes	12	1.05
Alley (Alta Vista)	89	88	8	(0.41%	0.36	М	0.4	C4	0.006	0.002	0.373	2.90	1.083								
						N	3.2	R1	0.004	0.013	0.386	2.90	1.120								
						0	3.7	R3	0.003	0.011	0.397	2.89	1.148		FULL	0.33	#VALUE!	319%	Yes	12	1.06
Alley	88	87	8	(1.20%	0.62	Р	0.3	C4	0.006	0.002	0.399	2.89	1.153								
						Q	0.4	C4	0.006	0.002	0.401	2.89	1.160		0.52	0.33	78%	187%	Yes	12	1.81

Note:
 City of West Hollywood Sewer Capacity Study Requirements (2.5 Peak Factor <15" Diameter, 2.0 Peak Factor >15" Diameter) City of Los Angeles Sewer Capacity Study Peak Flows per Figure F235
 Manning's n value 0.013 for City of West Hollywood, n=0.014 for City of Los Angeles

* Calculated using Kutter's Formula with n=0.013 (as in S-C4 graph in PC Procedure Manual)

** Based on current land use and coefficients per LA County (Attach supporting calculations)
 *** Numbers in () indicate existing sewer pipes

10/25/2012

PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER: DOMAIN APARTMENTS 1GLJ010200 PSOMAS PREPARED BY:

Table 3: Sewer Area Study Analysis for Domain Apartments - Proposed Condition

Street	Segment MH No. MH No.		***Pipe	*Ca	pacity	Area	Area	No. of	Zoning	Calculated	**Cumulative	Peak Factor			Flow	0.5 Pipe	%	5 Full		Mitigation	
Name			Size Slop (in) (%)	e 1/2 Full (<u><</u> 15" (cfs)	3/4 Full (>15") (cfs)	No.	(acres)	Units or Zone	(cfs/ac or cfs/unit)	Flow (cfs)	Flow (cfs)	(See Note 1)	Peak Flow	Comment	Depth	0.75 Pipe Size	Flow Depth / Pipe Size	Calculated Flow / Capacity	Replace	Size (in)	1/2 Full Capacity (cfs)
Santa Monica Blvd						**					0.181	2.50	0.453	Existing flow based on 1992 Sewer	r						
	Х	100	8 (2.40	%) 0.94		DOMAIN	APT FLC	W		0.035	0.216	2.50	0.540	Study "Warner Studios"	0.24	0.33	36%	57%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	100	99	8 (1.28	%) 0.68							0.216	2.50	0.540	City of West Hollywood	0.29	0.33	44%	79%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	99	98	8 (1.28	%) 0.68		Α	1.2	CR	0.02	0.023	0.239	2.50	0.598		0.31	0.33	47%	88%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	98	97	8 (1.28	%) 0.68		В	3.3	CC1	0.01	0.033	0.272	2.50	0.680		0.33	0.33	50%	100%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave (Romaine)	97	96	8 (2.36	%) 0.86		С	2.8	CR	0.02	0.056	0.328	3.00	0.984	City of Los Angeles	0.36	0.33	54%	114%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave	96	95	8 (1.40	%) 0.66		D	0.8	PF	0.006	0.005	0.333	3.00	0.998	Data Per Navigate LA							
						E	0.6	MR1	0.008	0.005	0.338	2.95	0.996		0.43	0.33	65%	151%	No	-	-
Formosa Ave (Willoughby)	95	94	8 (0.80	%) 0.50		F	0.8	PF	0.006	0.005	0.342	2.95	1.010								
						G	2.7	MR1	0.008	0.022	0.364	2.95	1.074		0.60	0.33	90%	215%	Yes	12	1.48
Formosa Ave	94	93	8 (0.80	%) 0.50		Н	1.7	R3	0.003	0.005	0.369	2.95	1.089		FULL	0.33	#VALUE!	218%	Yes	12	1.48
Formosa Ave (Waring)	93	92	8 (2.28	%) 0.85			1.6	R2	0.009	0.014	0.384	2.90	1.112		0.39	0.33	59%	131%	Yes	12	2.50
Formosa Ave	92	91	8 (1.32	%) 0.65		J	0.7	R2	0.009	0.006	0.390	2.90	1.130		0.48	0.33	72%	174%	Yes	12	1.90
Alley	91	90	8 (0.44	%) 0.37		K	0.7	R2	0.009	0.006	0.396	2.90	1.149		FULL	0.33	#VALUE!	310%	Yes	12	1.10
Alley	90	89	8 (0.40	%) 0.36		L	0.3	C4	0.006	0.002	0.398	2.90	1.154		FULL	0.33	#VALUE!	321%	Yes	12	1.05
Alley (Alta Vista)	89	88	8 (0.41	%) 0.36		М	0.4	C4	0.006	0.002	0.400	2.90	1.161								
						N	3.2	R1	0.004	0.013	0.413	2.88	1.190								
						0	3.7	R3	0.003	0.011	0.424	2.87	1.217		FULL	0.33	#VALUE!	338%	Yes	12	1.06
Alley	88	87	8 (1.20	%) 0.62		Р	0.3	C4	0.006	0.002	0.426	2.86	1.218								
						Q	0.4	C4	0.006	0.002	0.428	2.86	1.225		0.54	0.33	81%	198%	Yes	12	1.81

Note:

City of West Hollywood Sewer Capacity Study Requirements (2.5 Peak Factor <15" Diameter, 2.0 Peak Factor >15" Diameter) City of Los Angeles Sewer Capacity Study Peak Flows per Figure F235
 Manning's n value 0.013 for City of West Hollywood, n=0.014 for City of Los Angeles

* Calculated using Kutter's Formula with n=0.013 (as in S-C4 graph in PC Procedure Manual) ** Based on current land use and coefficients per LA County (Attach supporting calculations) *** Numbers in () indicate existing sewer pipes

10/25/2012

4.0 **DISCUSSION**

As previously stated the existing conditions show the sewer in Formosa Street south of Willoughby Avenue is flowing over design capacity and suggest that mitigation may be required by the local authorities. However, the County has indicated that they are able to receive the flows from the Domain Apartments and only require a sewer connection fee to be paid (See Appendix 5) and the City of Los Angeles indicated in a Sewer Capacity Availability Request (SCAR) dated October 25, 2012 (See Appendix 6) that the existing system can accommodate the estimated project flows.

SCAR findings are only valid for a period of 180 days, which may prompt a new SCAR to be requested when the project is ultimately constructed and connected to the existing system. If at that time the SCAR finds the existing system has insufficient capacity, improvements to the sewer system will be required. Two improvements have been identified and the first more costly option would be the upsizing of the deficient 8" sewer along Formosa Avenue from Willoughby to Poinsettia Place, roughly 1,860ft. The second more cost effective option would be to deviate upstream flows at Willoughby to an existing trunk sewer in Detroit Street.

The second option would install a12-inch sewer along Willoughby Avenue between Formosa Avenue and Detroit Street, roughly 330 linear feet. The 12-inch extension would join an existing manhole at the intersection of Detroit Street. The Formosa Avenue flows would bypass the deficient segments downstream of Willoughby Avenue.

Although shown flowing slightly over the typical 50% design capacity, the segment of pipe in Formosa Avenue between Romaine Street and Willoughby Avenue need not be replaced as it is capable of handling peak flows without any surcharge.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the results received from the current City of Los Angeles SCAR no mitigation is necessary.

Since SCAR results are only valid for 180 days a new SCAR may be required in the future and would trigger the need for improvement or not at that time. If the need for improvements is triggered, the addition of a 12-inch sewer line along Willoughby Avenue, from the Formosa sewer to the 21-inch sewer trunk line in Detroit Street will provide adequate mitigation.

6.0 REFERENCES

City of West Hollywood Department of Public Works, Engineering Division, Sewer Capacity Study Requirements

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, *Guidelines for Wastewater Pump Station Design and Plan Submittal Procedures* (LACDPW Waterworks and Sewer Maintenance Division, June 2005)

Bentley Flowmaster, Service Pack 3, Bentley Systems, 2005

Sewer Study Formosa Specific Plan Project, 7141-7155 Santa Monica Boulevard and 1107-1117 Detroit Street, West Hollywood, California, 90046 (Kimley-Horn and Associates June 30, 2008)

SEWER AREA STUDY MAP



Domain Apartments

LEGEND:

	CITY BOUNDARY
	PORTION OF EXISTING
	SEVVER STUDY BOUNDARY, 1992
	SEWER AREA BOUNDARY
	EXISTING SEWER MAIN
	PROPOSED SEWER MAIN
¹⁰⁰	EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE
¹⁰⁰	PROPOSED SEWER MANHOLE
	SEWER FLOW DIRECTION
	A SUBAREA
AREA (ACRES) -	AVG. DAILY FLOW (CFS)

Domain Apartments Sewer Area Study Exhibit



DATE: 10-05-12 JOB No:1GLJ010200

REVISED ON: SHEET 1 OF 1

DOM 01

FLOWMASTER CALCULATIONS

Existing, Proposed and Capacity Calculations

		Roughness	Channel	Normal					Maximum	Discharge
Beach	Friction Method	Coefficient	Slone (ft/ft)	Denth (ft)	Diameter (ft)	Discharge (ft ³ /s)	Percent Full (%)	Velocity (ft/s)	Discharge (ft ³ /s)	Full (ft ³ /s) Flow Type
	Manning Formula	0.012	0.024	0.22	0.67	0.47	24.2	1 16	2 02	1 97 SuperCritical
	Manning Formula	0.013	0.024	0.23	0.67	0.47	34.2	4.40	2.02	1.07 Super Critical
	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.27	0.67	0.47	40.4	3.50	1.47	1.37 Super Critical
09 07 EXIST	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.23	0.07	0.53	43.1	3.07	1.47	1.37 Super Critical
97.96 EXIST	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.31	0.67	0.01	40.3 51.4	5.81	1.47	1.37 Super Critical
96.95 EXIST	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0230	0.34	0.67	0.9	51.4	J // 11	1.80	1.75 Super Critical
	Manning Formula	0.014	0.014	0.41	0.67	0.92	91	2.20	1.45	1 SubCritical
93-94_EXIST	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.54	0.67	1.01	01	3.28	1.08	1 SubCritical
94-93_EXIST	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.33	0.67	1.01	56.4	5.09	1.08	1 7 SuperCritical
93-92_EXIST	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0228	0.38	0.07	1.05	50.4 68.6	J.03	1.82	1.7 Super Critical
92-91_EXIST	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0132	0.40	0.67	1.03	49.5	4.12	1.39	0.75 SubCritical
	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0044	0.33	0.07	1.07	43.3	2.12	0.8	0.75 SubCritical
90-09_EXIST	Manning Formula	0.014	0.004	0.34	0.67	1.00	51.1	2.03	0.78	0.71 SubCritical
89-88_EXIST	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0041	0.34	0.67	1.15	30.3	2.23	1 22	1.22 SubCritical
88-87_EXIST	Walling Formula	0.014	0.012	0.52	0.07	1.10	11.2	4.01	1.52	1.23 Subcritical
X 100 PROP	Manning Formula	0.012	0.024	0.24	0.67	0.54	26.7	1 61	2.02	1.97 SuperCritical
	Manning Formula	0.013	0.024	0.24	0.67	0.54	30.7	4.04	2.02	1.07 SuperCritical
	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.29	0.67	0.34	45.0	3.09	1.47	1.37 SuperCritical
99-98_PROP	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.31	0.67	0.6	40.2	3.79	1.47	1.37 SuperCritical
	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.55	0.67	0.08	49.0	5.91	1.47	1.37 SuperCritical
	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0230	0.50	0.67	0.98	54.1	3.1	1.60	1.75 SuperCritical
	Manning Formula	0.014	0.014	0.43	0.67	1.07	04.0	4.17	1.43	1.55 Super Critical
93-94_PROP	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.0	0.67	1.07	90.0	3.23	1.08	1 OB Cub Critical
94-93_PROP	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.57	0.67	1.09	85.0	5.52	1.10	1.08 SUDCITICAL
93-92_PROP	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0228	0.39	0.67	1.11	59.1	5.18	1.82	1.7 SuperCritical
92-91_PROP	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0132	0.48	0.67	1.13	72.5	4.17	1.39	1.29 Supercritical
91-90_PROP	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0044	0.35	0.67	1.15	52.2	2.17	0.8	0.75 Subcritical
90-89_PROP	Manning Formula	0.014	0.004	0.36	0.67	1.15	54	2.1	0.76	0.71 SubCritical
89-88_PROP	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0041	0.35	0.67	1.21	53.1	2.27	0.83	0.77 SubCritical
88-87_PROP	Ivianning Formula	0.014	0.012	0.54	0.67	1.22	81.2	4.02	1.32	1.23 SUDCITICAL
X 100 CAR 50%	Manning Formula	0.012	0.024	0.22	0.67	0.94	50	5.26	2.02	1 97 SuperCritical
100 00 CAR 50%	Manning Formula	0.013	0.024	0.33	0.07	0.94	50	3.30	2.02	1.07 Super Critical
100-99_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.33	0.67	0.08	50	3.92	1.47	1.37 SuperCritical
99-96_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.33	0.67	0.08	50	3.92	1.47	1.37 SuperCritical
98-97_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.013	0.0128	0.33	0.67	0.68	50	3.92	1.47	1.37 SuperCritical
97-96_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0230	0.33	0.67	0.80	50	4.94	1.60	1.75 SuperCritical
96-95_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.014	0.33	0.67	0.00	50	3.0	1.43	1.55 Super Critical
95-94_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.33	0.67	0.5	50	2.00	1.08	1 SubCritical
94-95_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.33	0.67	0.5	50	2.00	1.00	1 7 SuperCritical
93-92_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0228	0.33	0.67	0.85	50	4.60	1.82	1.7 SuperCritical
92-91_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0132	0.33	0.67	0.03	50	2.09	1.59	0.75 Supercritical
91-90_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0044	0.33	0.67	0.37	50	2.15	0.8	0.75 SubCritical
90-89_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.004	0.33	0.67	0.30	50	2.03	0.78	0.71 SubCritical
89-86_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0041	0.34	0.67	0.50	50	2.00	0.77	1.22 SuperCritical
88-87_CAP-50%	Manning Formula	0.014	0.012	0.34	0.67	0.62	50	3.53	1.32	1.23 Supercritical
	Manning Formula	0.014	0.000	0.5	1	1 40	50	2 77	2.40	2 OF SuperCritical
04.02 CAD 50% MITICATE	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.5	1	1.48	50	3.//	3.18	2.90 SuperCritical
94-95_CAP-50%-WITIGATE	Manning Formula	0.014	0.008	0.5	1	1.48	50	3.77	3.18	2.96 SuperCritical
93-92_CAP-50%-WILLGATE	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0228	0.5	1	2.5	50	6.36	5.37	5 Supercritical
92-91_CAP-50%-WITIGATE	Mapping Formula	0.014	0.0132	0.5	1	1.9	50	4.84	4.09	3.8 Supercritical
91-90_CAP-50%-NITIGATE	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0044	0.5	1	1.1	50	2.79	2.36	2.19 SUDCritical
90-89_CAP-50%-IVITIGATE	Manning Formula	0.014	0.004	0.5	1	1.05	50	2.66	2.25	2.09 SUDCritical
89-88_CAP-50%-WILLGATE	Manning Formula	0.014	0.0041	0.5	1	1.06	50	2.7	2.28	2.12 SUDCritical
00-0/_CAP-3U%-WITIGATE	ivianning Formula	0.014	0.012	0.5	1	1.81	50	4.61	3.9	3.62 Supercritical

DESIGN CRITERIA

-Peak Factor City of Los Angeles -Keith Companies, 1992 Sewer Study Exhibit & Flow Calculations



Average Dry Weather Flow - Peak Dry Weather Flow Chart Figure F235



FORMOSA AVENUE & POINSETTIA PLACE SEWERS WITH OPTION A STUDIO EXPANSION ULTIMATE SEWER FLOWS TO ROMAINE STREET

	Land Use G.P. Code	Area (Acres)	Load Factor (GPD/Acre)	A.D.F. (GPD)
"B" LINE - POINSETTIA PLACE				
	R1.1 R1.2 R2 R3.2 C2.1A	4.12 4.40 7.32 5.54 4.08	2,870 4,500 6,300 5,400 6,500	11,824 19,800 46,116 29,916 <u>26,520</u>
• Total at Santa Monica Boulevard				134,176
	C2.1A C2.1B Warner Studio	1.86 1.45	6,500 6,500	12,090 9,425 <u>10,469</u>
Total at Romaine Street				166,160
A LINE - FORMOSA AVENUE				
	R1.2 R3.2 R3.3 C2.1A C3A	4.65 6.32 8.77 2.18 .55	4,500 5,400 5,400 6,500 8,700	20,925 34,128 47,358 14,170 <u>4,785</u>
• Total at Santa Monica Boulevard				121,366
	C2.1B Warner Studio	2.76	6,500	17,940 94,522
 Total at Romaine Street 			· /	233,828
	EXIST. FLO USED IN ST 0.188 CFS	W FUDY		

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

-Land Use Maps -Architectural Plans



Density (FAR)	Height
1.0	25 ft/2 stories
1.0	25 ft/2 stories
1.5	35 ft/3 stories
2.0	45 ft/4 stories
2.5	60 ft/5 stories
3.0	90 ft/8 stories

Overlay	Zoning	Districts
---------	--------	-----------

٨
P

Mixed-Use Incentive Overlay Zone

- Commercial-Only Overlay Zone

Other Zoning Districts

PDCSP - Pacific Design Center Specific Plan





DOMAIN

PROJECT ADDRESS: 7141 - 7155 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA



	CA (Commercial, Arterial)	
SETBACKS - WHMC 19 10.040 Table 2-6		State Contract Controls
CA 7000	ALLOWARIE	-
CA Zone	ALLOWABLE	PROPUSED
Front	none	0'-0"
	To it, it adjacent to a parcel in a residential zoning district, or	
Side & Rear	more as necessary to provide a minimum separation or 15 ft.	Varies - See Sheet
	between commercial and residential structures; none required	A6.01
Streat side, sesses lat	otherwise.	01.01
street side, corner lot	No minimum required; a maximum or 25 ft. is allowed.	00-
	in the proposed project is adjacent to an K-1, K-2, K-3, or K-4	
Mixed-Use Incentive Overlay Zone	residential zoning district, die 25 reet of the structure located	
Adjacency (19.10.050 Commercial	closest to the residential zoning district shall be limited to 35 ft.	Height Varies - See
Development Incentives)	in neight, and the impact of the structure shall be mitigated to	Sheet Ab.01
	additional landscape treatment	
BUILDABLE AREA AND DENSITY BONUS	additional nanciscape deadlient.	
OT ARFA - CA Zone		57 965 (1 22 00)
		57,905 (1.55 AC)
TOTAL		57,965
CA ZONE ALLOWABLE DENSITY	FAR	ALLOWABLE AREA
		(SF)
A Para SAP	2.60	144 013 5
Mixed Lice FAR	0.50	144,912.5
FAR Refore Affordable	3.00	173 895 0
Affordable Housing Density home /25%	5.00	1/5,895.0
2 OI I	0.75	43,473.75
Total Allowable Project CAP	3.75	217 369 75
Total Allowable Troject TAI	5.75	217,300.73
		217,368.75
ALLOWABLE HEIGHT		
DA ZOIVE ALLOWABLE HEIGHT		CONTRACTOR STATES CONTRACTOR
	S.ST EDLO	
	5-ST, 60'-0"	
	5-ST, 60'-0" Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0" (Concession 1)	70'-0" Max (Varies)
	5-57, 60'-0" Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0" (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70'-0"
	5-57, 60'-0" Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0" (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories)
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 19.22	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 1050	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories)
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 19.2	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2030	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70'-0" (6 Stories)
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 19.22	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2010 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality
NCUSIONARY HOUSING WAH M.C.: 1982 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw to the non-inclusionary units, or if it would	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2020 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 19.22 "Frojects of forty-one units or more. To the non-inclusionary units, or if it would nousing needs of the City. 20 percent of th	5-57, 60'-0" Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0" (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2050 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve e gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl	70°-0" Max (Varles) 70°-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation
NGUISIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw o he non-inclusionary units, or if it would not be for the City, 20 percent of it used, units provide chall be a minimum	5-57, 6/3-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2010 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size of result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve ag ross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the floor of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 50° square feet v	70°-0" Max (Varles) 70°-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation rith finishes and
NEUSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 1982 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Two to he non-inclusionary units, or I' it would ousing needs of the City, 20 percent of d used, units provided shall be a minimum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2050 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation vith finishes and
NEUSIONARY HOUSING WH MICL 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. To the non-inclusionary units, or if it would nousing needs of the City. 20 percent of th sused, units provided shall be a minimum pplances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60'-0" Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0" (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2050 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet w	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable looor area calculation (th finishes and
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M. C. 19.2. "Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or if it would the non-inclusionary units, or if it would uousing needs of the City, 20 percent of the used, units provided shall be a minimum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 6/-0" Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0" (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2030 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve re gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the alfordable loor area calculation ith finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED
NEUSIONARY HOUSING WARM CEISOR "Projects of forty-one units or more. The the non-inclusionary units, or if it would nousing needs of the City, 20 percent of the sued, units provided shall be a minimum ippliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Borus: 1 Story, 10'-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2050 entry percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable sig fresult in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi to of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the alfordable loor area calculation thit finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%)
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 19-22 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would ousing needs of the City, 20 percent of the used, units provided shall be a minimum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and party percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve a gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the f of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable coor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%)
NEUSIONARY HOUSING/WH M.C. 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Two the non-inclusionary units, or I' it would uousing needs of the City, 20 percent of the used, units provided shall be a minimum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10'-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2050) enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable sig result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve te gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed	70'-0" Max (Varies) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oror area calculation or area calculation with finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%)
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 19.22 ""Frojects of forty-one units or more. Tw to the non-inclusionary units, or if it would nowing needs of the City, 20 percent of it s used, units provided shall be a minimum appliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional indusionary units and units that better serve grows residential floor area of all non-indusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed	70-0" Max (Varles) 70-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oor area calculation thit finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 33 (20%)
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or I' it would nousing needs of the City, 20 percent of used, units provided shall be a molinuu appliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2030 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED	70°-0° Max (Varies) 70°-0° (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%)
NGUISIONARY HOUSING WALM.C. 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would oussing needs of the City, 20 percent of it s used, units provided shall be a minimum pipliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional indusionary units and units that better serve groups residential floor area of all non-indusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET FARTS UNITS PROPOSED	70-0" Max (Varles) 70-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the alfordable loor area calculation tith finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 13 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 133 (60%)
NCULSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or if it would to using needs of the City, 20 percent of the sued, units provided shall be a molinum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height Allowable Height Allowable Height result in additional inclusionary units and units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve re gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation tith finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (80%) 166
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 29.22 **Frojects of forty-one units or more. Tw o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would ousing needs of the City, 20 percent of it s used, units provided shall be a minimum appliances of "builder's quality" or better.	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the set of the unit count provided as units of comparable size arrows residential industry units and units that better some terrors residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 550 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oror area calculation thit finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 139 (40%)
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 1922 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or if it would uousing needs of the City, 20 percent of it used, units provided shall be a mice of the city pplaances of "builder's quality" or better. ************************************	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 8050 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parkine	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 138 (80%) 166 51 0
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M C 19/2 **Frojects of forty-one units or more. Tw o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would not the forth Chy. 20 percent of the sude, units provided shall be a minimum pplances of "builder's quality" or better. *ROGRAM/AREA SUMMARY DEFEROPOSED FLOOP (AREA) Basement Parking [-2] Basement Farking [-3]	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the store of the unit count provided as units of comparable size arrow residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square fleet v for one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square fleet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking Residential Parking	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable oror area calculation tith finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 133 (60%) 166 6 6 6
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M. C. 1922 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw to he non-inclusionary units, or if it would nousing needs of the City, 20 percent of it used, units provided shall be a mice of the the suppliances of "builder's quality" or better. *ROGRAM/AREA UIIMONDY OF PROPOSED FLOOK-AREAL Basement Parking [-2] Tasament Parking [-2] Tasament Parking [-1] The Floor (01)	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 8050 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking Residential Parking	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation of the finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 13 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 138 (80%) 166 515 0 0 24 515
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M C 19/2 **Frojects of forty-one units or more. Tu o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would nowing needs of the City, 20 percent of the used, units provided shall be a minipage pplances of "builder's quality" or better. **ROGRAM/AREA SIMMANY GETEROPOSED FLOOR AREA IN Samman Parking (2) Samman Parking (2) Sam	5-57, 6-9° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the second second second second second second second result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve arrow residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 600 square fleet v bedrom second se	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oor area calculation tith finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 133 (80%) 166 5 5 6 0 0 24,535 5 160
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 19:22 **Projects of forty-one units or more. In the non-inclusionary units, or if it would nousing needs of the City, 20 percent of d used, units provided shall be a mice of the city pipilances of "builder's quality" or better. *ROGRAM/AREA UNIMENT OF PROPOSED FLOOKAREA Basement Parking [-1] in: Floor (01) Becond Floor (02)	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 2030 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS (CUUDED IN EAR Residential Parking Residential Parking.	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 138 (80%) 166 5° 0 0 24 535 34 510 34 570
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M C 19/2 **Frojects of forty-one units or more. Tu to the non-indusionary units, or if it would housing needs of the City, 20 percent of the used, units provided shall be a minipage pplances of "builder's quality" or better. PROGRAM/AREA RUMMANY OFFIRADOSED FLOOR AREA IN assement Arking (2) tirs: Floor (01) second Floor (02) Third Floor (03)	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the second seco	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable oor area calculation thit finishes a calculation thit finishes and the finishes and 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 133 (80%) 166 5 5 5 5 6 0 0 2 4,550 33,720 33,720 33,720
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 1922 **Projects of forty-one units or more. The the non-inclusionary units, or if it would uousing needs of the City, 20 percent of it used, units provided shall be a mice of the particle of the City of the City of the City where the City of the City of the City where the City of the City of the City where the City of the City of the City assement Parking (-1) there floor (02) mort Floor (03) worth Floor (05)	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 8050 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking Residential Parking Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 133 (80%) 166 5° 0 0 24 535 34,510 34,570 31,882 30,885
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WHIM C 1922 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tu o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would ousing needs of the City, 20 percent of the used, units provided shall be a mixed shall be a mixed particular of the City of the City of the City provided shall be a mixed shall be a mixed provided shall be a mixed shall be a mixed reoGRAM/AREA MIMMARY OF PROPOSED [LOOK AREALIN assement Parking [2] The Diory (Shall City the Book (Shall City) The Gook (Shall City) with Floor (Os) ath Floor (Os) ath Floor (Os)	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the set of the unit count provided as units of comparable size result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve arrows residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 600 square fleet w Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS (CUUED IN 1678) Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oror area calculation tith finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ⁻² (10%) 17 ⁻² (10%) 133 (80%) 166 5-3 5-3 34,550 33,2780 33,2852 27,574
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M. C. 1922 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw to he non-inclusionary units, or if it would nousing needs of the City, 20 percent of the sued, units provided shall be a minimum pplances of "builder's quality" or better. **ROGRAM/AREA WIMMENT OF PROPOSED TOOK AREA WIMMENT OF PROPOSED TOOK AREA WIMMENT OF IROPOSED TOOK AREA WIMMENT O	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height 8050 enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz enty percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz errors residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet w Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking Residential	70°-0° Max (Varles) 70°-0° (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation of the finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 13 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 138 (80%) 138 (80%) 138 (80%) 139 (80%)
NGUISIONARY HOUSING WHIM C 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Two o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would ousing needs of the City, 20 percent of it used, units provided shall be a mixing ppliances of "builder's quality" or better. PROGRAM/AREA UNITABLY OFFEROPOSICID FLOOI: AREA WINTABLY OFFEROPOSICID FLOOI: AREA Bacoment Parking (-2) assement Parking (-2) Ives Floor (00) with Floor (00) with Floor (00)	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the second provided as units of comparable size arrow percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size arrow residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 600 square fleet v to one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 600 square fleet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking Residential Parking Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential TOTAL ZONING FLOOR AREA PROPOSED	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oor area calculation thit finishes and thit finishes and thit finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finis
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WAH M, C 1922 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or if it would rousing needs of the City, 20 percent of the used, units provided shall be a minimum pplances of "builder's quality" or better. *ROGRAM/AREA WIMMARY OF PROPOSED FLOOR AREA Basement Parking [-2] Basement Parking [-2]	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height Concession 1) Total Allowable Height concernent of the unit count provided as units of comparable siz errors residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi concerner Units Proposed Concerner Units Proposed TOTAL INCLISIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLISIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking. Residential Parking. Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential TOTAL ZONING FLOOR AREA PROPOSED TOTAL ZONING FLOOR AREA PROPOSED FAR	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 166 S16 0 0 24,535 34,510 34,780 34,510 34,
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W.H.M.C. 1922 ***Frojects of forty-one units or more. Tw o the non-inclusionary units, or if it would susked, units provided shall be a minimum ppillances of "builder's quality" or better. ***COGRAM/AREA UNIMASY OF BROROSEO FLOOFAREAN Basement Parking (-1) **** Floor (01) second Floor (02) Third Floor (03) Suth Floor (04) Hith Floor (05) Basement Parking (-1) ************************************	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size are gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the floor of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 500 quare feet v bod one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 500 quare feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL MARKET RATE UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS CEUDED IN 578 Residential Parking Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oror area calculation thit finishes a calculation thit finishes and thit finishes and thit finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes and the finishes a
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WAH M. C. 19-22 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or if it would orousing needs of the City, 2D percent of the sued, units provided shall be a minimum pplances of "builder's quality" or better. *ROGRAM/AREA WIMMARY OF PROPOSED FLOOR AREA Basement Parking [-2] Basement Parking [-	5-5T, 6-70" Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0" (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height around the unit count provided as units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve the gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi of one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet w Low Income Units Proposed Low Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking. Residential Parking. Residential Resi	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 133 (60%) 166 Si 0 0 24,555 34,510 34,780 34,5100 34,5100 34
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WH M.C. 1922 **Frojects of forty-one units or more. Tw o the non-inclusionary units, or fit would sound, needs of the City. 20 percent of 1 sound, units provided shall be a minimum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better. **ROGRAMMARSA UNIMARY OF PROPOSED FLOOP AREAN Basement Parking (-1) Tirk Floor (01) second Floor (02) Third Floor (03) South Floor (04) Hith Floor (05) Saint Floor (06)	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the store of the unit count provided as units of comparable size arrow percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size arrow percent of the unit count provided as units of comparable size arrow to stational inclusionary units and units that better serve arrow residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the floor to one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 500 square fleet with Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking Residential R	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oror area calculation thit finishes a calculation thit finishes and thit finishes and thit finishes and the finishes and the finishest of the finishest and the finishest and the finishest of the finishest and the finishest and the finishest and the finishest and the finishest and the finishest and the finishest and the finishest and the finishest an
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING WAH M.C. 19-22 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or if it would orousing needs of the City, 2D percent of the sued, units provided shall be a minimum pplances of "builder's quality" or better. *ROGRAM/AREA WIMMARY OF PROPOSED FLOOR AREA Basement Parking [-2] Basement Parking [-2	5-57, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height Total Allowable Height Total Allowable Height testift in additional inclusionary units and units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve e gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi tor one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v Low Income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLISIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLISIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking, Residential Parking, Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential TOTAL ZONING FLOOR AREA PROPOSED FAR	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 16 ² (10%) 34 (20%) 166 St 0 0 0 24,555 34,510 34,780 31,862 30,865 27,574 184,126 3.18 St 140,844 2,435
NGUISIONARY HOUSING WHMC 1922 "Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw o he non-inclusionary units, or if it would suad, units provided shall be a minimum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better. PROGRAMVARSA UNIMARY OF PROPOSED FOOD AREAN Sament Parking (-1) Tirk Floor (01) econf Floor (02) Third Floor (03) Suth Floor (04) tith Floor (05) Sath Floor (06)	S-5T, 60-0° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height and the unit count provided as units of comparable sit result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve gross residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fl for one bedrom and a minimum interior area of 500 square fleet v low income Units Proposed Moderate Income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking Residential Parking Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential TOTAL ZONING FLOOR AREA PROPOSED FAR	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Stories) e and finish quality the affordable oror area calculation thit finishes a calculation thit finishes and this finishes and thit finishes and the finishes and the finishest of the finishest and the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest of the finishest
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING W4H M, C 1922 **Projects of forty-one units or more. Tw the non-inclusionary units, or if it would ousing needs of the City, 2D percent of the sued, units provided shall be a minimum ppliances of "builder's quality" or better. 	5-5T, 6-2° Affordable Housing Height Bonus: 1 Story, 10°-0° (Concession 1) Total Allowable Height Total Allowable Height result in additional inclusionary units and units of comparable siz result in additional inclusionary units and units that better serve rors residential floor area of all non-inclusionary units. If the fi for he bedrom and a minimum interior area of 650 square feet v low income Units Proposed TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS PROPOSED TOTAL PROJECT UNITS Residential Parking, Residential Parking, Residential Residential Residential TOTAL ZONING FLOOR AREA PROPOSED FAR TOTAL LEASABLE FLOOR AREA PROPOSED TOTAL LEASABLE FLOOR AREA PROPOSED TOTAL LEASABLE FLOOR AREA PROPOSED	70'-0" Max (Varles) 70'-0" (6 Storles) e and finish quality the affordable loor area calculation (th finishes and # UNITS PROPOSED 16 ² (10%) 17 ² (10%) 33 (20%) 133 (60%) 166 55 0 0 0 24,535 34,510 34,770 31,862 33,34,510 34,770 31,862 33,34,510 34,770 31,862 30,865 27,574 3134,622 30,865 27,574 3140,844 2,495 6,787 130,126

PROPOSED FLOOR AREA		
LOOR LEVEL	PROGRAM	SF
BASEMENT PARKING (-2)		
area Not Included in FAR	Parking Level -2	17,157
	TOTAL FLOOR AREA (NOT INCLUDED IN FAR)	17,157
ASEMENT PARKING (-1)		
Area Not Included in FAR	Parking Level -1	55,817
	TOTAL FLOOR AREA (NOT INCLUDED IN FAR)	55,817
LOOR (01)		
Area Not Included in FAR	Parking Level 1	
loor Area Included in FAR	Retail	9,305
	Residential Amenity	2,015
	Commercial Circulation (includes stairs, elevators, corr.)	4,422
	Residential Units	8,793
	TOTAL INCLUDED IN FAR CALC.	24,535
LOOR (02)		
loor Area Included in FAR	Residential Amenity	1,600
	Residential Units	27,391
	Residential Circulation (includes stairs, elev., corr., trash)	5,519
	TOTAL INCLUDED IN FAR CALC.	34,510
LOOR (03)		SCIENCES.
loor Area Included in FAR	Residential Units	29,020
	Residential Circulation (includes stairs, elev., corr., trash)	5,760
	TOTAL INCLUDED IN FAR CALC.	34,780
LOOR (04)		
loor Area Included in FAR	Residential Units	27,216
	Residential Circulation (includes stairs, elev., corr., trash)	4,646
1000 (ar)	TOTAL INCLUDED IN FAR CALC.	31,862
LOOR (05)		
loor Area Included in FAR	Residential Units	26,221
	Residential Circulation (includes stairs, elev., corr., trash)	4,644
	TOTAL INCLODED IN FAR CALC.	30,865
loor Area looluded in FAR	Residential Heits	22.241
loor Area included in FAR	Residential Units	22,341
	Residential Circulation (includes stairs, elev., corr., trash)	4,565
	Residential Amenity	668
	TOTAL INCLUDED IN FAB CALC	27.574
	TOTAL INCLODED IN FAR CALC.	21,514
		101 105
	TOTAL FLOOR AREA PROPOSED	184,126
	TOTAL ALLOWABLE FLOOR AREA	217,368.7
PEN SPACE REQUIRED W.H.M.C.	19.35.280	
OMMON OPEN SPACE		

PRIVATE OPEN SPACE PR

d Level Open Space

sion 7', 33% open perimeter

e required for each unit (19.28.280

OWNER GLI PARTNERS 9034 WEST SUNSET BOULEVARD WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA 90069 ATTN: MARK GABAY TEL:(310) 247-0500 FAX:(310) 247-1525 ARCHITECT STUDIO ONE ELEVEN A DIVISION OP ERKOWITZ + RUTH ARCHII 111 WEST OCEAN BOLLEVARD, 20TH FLOO LONG BEACH, GA 90802 ATTN: ALAN PULLMAN TEL:[562] 901-1500 FAX:[562] 901-1501

PROJECT TEAM

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT EPT DESIGN 844 EAST GREEN ST., SUITE 201 PASADENA, CA 91101 ATTN: BEN MCCOY TEL: (626) 795-2008 FAX: (626) 795-2547

LAND USE CONSULTANT JEFFREY SEYMOUR SEYMOUR CONSULTING GROUP 2815 TOWNSGATE ROAD, SUITE 140 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91361

SANTA MONICA + FORMOSA VIEW

SHEET #	DESCRIPTION
TS.01	TITLE SHEET
T5.02	TITLE SHEET
A0.01	ALTA SURVEY
A0.02	EXISTING SITE CONDITION
A0.03	DESIGN CONCEPT
A0.05	SITE PLAN
A1.01	VIGNETTE 1
A1.02	VIGNETTE 2
A1.03	VIGNETTE 3
A1.04	VIGNETTE 4
A1.05	VIGNETTES P2 LEVEL PLAN
A2 07	PILEVEL PLAN
A2.03	GROUND LEVEL PLAN
A2.04	SECOND LEVEL PLAN
A2.05	THIRD LEVEL PLAN
A2.06	FOURTH LEVEL PLAN
A2.08	SIXTH LEVEL PLAN
A2.09	ROOF PLAN
A3.01	ELEVATIONS
A3.02	ELEVATIONS
A4.01	SECTION
A4.02	SECTION
A5.01	DETAIL WALL SECTION/ELEVATION
A5.02	DETAIL WALL SECTION/ELEVATION
A5.03	DETAIL WALL SECTION/ELEVATION
A5.04	DETAIL WALL SECTION/ELEVATION
A6.02	BUILDING HEIGHT DIAGRAM
A6.03	GROUND LEVEL CIRCULATION DIAGRA
A6.04	RESIDENTIAL LEVEL CIRCULATION DIAG
A6.05	GREEN BUILDING PROGRAM POINT SY
A6.06	COMMON OPEN SPACE DIAGRAMS
A6.08	PRIVATE OPEN SPACE DIAGRAMS
A6.09	PRIVATE OPEN SPACE DIAGRAMS
A6.10	PRIVATE OPEN SPACE DIAGRAMS
LN.01	LANDSCAPE
LN.02	LANDSCAPE
LN.04	LANDSCAPE
LN.05	LANDSCAPE
LN.05	LANDSCAPE

leven	(562) 901-1500 (t)
witz+Ruth Archifects	(562)901-1501 (f)
studi 🧿 🕦 📵	111 West Ocean Boulevard 20th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Domain Apartments

WEST HOLLYWOOD, CALIFORNIA

1 05.25.12

Job No. 12.038.00

Date: 05.25.2012 Scale: no scale TITLE SHEET

TS.01 NOT ISSUED FOR CO

COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS WILL SERVE LETTER --Domain West Hollywood, May 14, 2012



COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601-1400 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998 Telephone: (562) 699-7411, FAX: (562) 699-5422 www.lacsd.org

GRACE ROBINSON CHAN Chief Engineer and General Manager

May 14, 2012

Ref. File No: 2238480

Ms. Christina Leeper Development Services Coordinator GLJ Partners 5780 Fleet Street, Suite 130 Carlsbad, CA 92008

Dear Ms. Leeper:

Domain West Hollywood

This is in reply to your request for a will serve letter for the subject project, which was received by the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (Districts) on May 4, 2012. The proposed development is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of District No. 4. We offer the following comments regarding sewerage service:

- 1. Wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the City of Los Angeles Hyperion Treatment System. Questions regarding sewerage service for the proposed project should also be directed to the City of Los Angeles' Department of Public Works.
- 2. The expected increase in average wastewater flow from the project site is 21,174 gallons per day. For a copy of the Districts' average wastewater generation factors, go to <u>www.lacsd.org</u>, Information Center, Will Serve Program/Buildover Procedures, Obtain Will Serve Letter, and click on the appropriate link on page 2.
- 3. The Districts are authorized by the California Health and Safety Code to charge a fee for the privilege of connecting (directly or indirectly) to the Districts' Sewerage System or increasing the strength or quantity of wastewater attributable to a particular parcel or operation already connected. This connection fee is a capital facilities fee that is imposed in an amount sufficient to construct an incremental expansion of the Sewerage System to accommodate the proposed project. Payment of a connection fee will be required before a permit to connect to the sewer is issued. For a copy of the Connection Fee Information Sheet, go to www.lacsd.org, Information Center, Will Serve Program/Buildover Procedures, Obtain Will Serve Letter, and click on the appropriate link on page 2. For more specific information regarding the connection fee application procedure and fees, please contact the Connection Fee Counter at extension 2727.
- 4. In order for the Districts to conform to the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA), the design capacities of the Districts' wastewater treatment facilities are based on the regional growth forecast adopted by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). Specific policies included in the development of the SCAG regional growth forecast are incorporated into

clean air plans, which are prepared by the South Coast and Antelope Valley Air Quality Management Districts in order to improve air quality in the South Coast and Mojave Desert Air Basins as mandated by the CAA. All expansions of Districts' facilities must be sized and service phased in a manner that will be consistent with the SCAG regional growth forecast for the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, and Imperial. The available capacity of the Districts' treatment facilities will, therefore, be limited to levels associated with the approved growth identified by SCAG. As such, this letter does not constitute a guarantee of wastewater service, but is to advise you that the Districts intend to provide this service up to the levels that are legally permitted and to inform you of the currently existing capacity and any proposed expansion of the Districts' facilities.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at (562) 908-4288, extension 2717.

Very truly yours,

Grace Robinson Chan

Miana

Adriana Raza Customer Service Specialist Facilities Planning Department

AR: ar

SEWER CAPACITY AVAILABILITY REVIEW

-City of Los Angeles, October 25, 2012

BOE Central Dist Fax:2134827007 Oct 15 2012 10:20 P. 01 DPW ENGINEERING CENTRAL 0R 25 75 087691 10/15/12 09:38AM City of Los Angeles Bureau of Engineering 51 704 SCARF 10,000-50,000 1.30 X \$1,300.00 Sewer Capacity Availability Request (SCAR) \$1,200.00 SERTII CENTER TIN FEF To: Bureau of Sanitation 1.00 X \$26.00 The following request is submitted to you on behalf of the applicant requesting to connect to the public sewer system. Please verify that capacity exists at \$26.00 the requested location for the proposed developments shown below. The results are good for 180 days from the date of server capacity approval from the Bureau of Sanitation. 24 303 EQUIP & TRAINING SURCHARGE MIN Bureau of Sanitation. .00 X \$91.00 \$91.00 Job Address: SCARF ភ ដិស 7155 SANTA MONIC Date Submitted: 10/15/12 Request Will Serve Letter.1 8140. 705-4410 Applicant: Jeremy Johnson - Psomas (661)Phone: \$1 9417 Address: 28480 'Avenue Stanford Svite 200 .00 1-0**7**.90 Far City: Valencia State CA Zip: 9/355 Wye Map: Email: johnson @psomos. com BPA No. WEST SIMMS Map - Maintenance Hole Locations Street Name U/S MH D/S MH Diameter DMANE KD inch $H(\emptyset$ al inch na 06C 5=0.0128 3 inch MIXED USE: Proposed Project Description: Apartments w/ restvarant, retail (166 units, 2, 495 fit returnent, 6,787 fit retail) Proposed Use Description Quantity Flow K-2 = 38 66 UNITS 080 GPD SPACE 2 GPD 3. GPD 4. GPD 5 GPÐ Leavired PROPOSED TOTAL FLOW: 1111 19,000 GPD \$1,417,00 PAID, 10/15/2012. LYU)00[Remarks: OPERATED BY COUNT SEVER MAIN 0R NEED SEWER PERMIT PRIOR TO CONNECTION. NEED BOS C Permanent Connections Notes: Results are good for 180 days CAPACITY AVAILABLE: 位 YES D NO from date of approval by the Bureau of Sanitation. 10/23/12 Heeves Mario Conditional/Temporary Connections: Refer to Remarks, pipes Date Approved: 16124 Revena Approved by: Submitted by: **Bureau of Sanitation** Bureau of Engineering Phone: 323-342-1562 District: -7030 Phone: (2(3))TONYWOOD CITY, Sewer 213148 7007 ND CONTRACTS CONTROT PAD, 10/15 MOWERY, OR DAVID CHEUNG.